Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews

eISSN: 2582-9394

Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/msarr

Journal homepage: https://magnascientiapub.com/journals/msarr/

Magna Scientia
Open access publication

(Review Article)

Check for updates

The contribution of data-driven geotechnical assessments to infrastructure development and national economy

Abayomi Abraham Adesina [1], Azubuike Chukwudi Okwandu [2] and Zamathula Queen Sikhakhane Nwokediegwu [3,*]

[1] *Independent Researcher, Ohio, USA.*
[2] *Arkifill Resources Limited, Portharcourt, Rivers State Nigeria.*
[3] *Independent Researcher, Durban, South Africa.*

Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 11(01), 368–384

Publication history: Received on 14 May 2024; revised on 26 June 2024; accepted on 28 June 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/msarr.2024.11.1.0101

Abstract

In the evolving landscape of geotechnical engineering, the advent of data-driven assessments heralds a paradigm shift, promising to redefine the contours of infrastructure development. This paper embarks on an intellectual quest to elucidate the evolution, significance, and transformative impact of these assessments, weaving through the complex interplay between technological advancements and traditional methodologies. Anchored in a robust methodological framework, the study employs a thematic analysis of peer-reviewed literature, aiming to distill the essence of data-driven approaches within the realm of geotechnical engineering and their consequential role in sculpting resilient, sustainable infrastructure.

The scope of this scholarly endeavor spans an examination of the economic implications, challenges, and future directions of geotechnical assessments, culminating in strategic recommendations poised to navigate the labyrinth of implementation hurdles. Key findings illuminate the enhanced quality, safety, and economic efficiency engendered by these modern methodologies, juxtaposed against the backdrop of traditional practices. The study's conclusions advocate for a harmonious integration of green technologies, stakeholder engagement, and policy reformulation, underscoring the imperative for a concerted effort towards sustainable infrastructure development.

In essence, this paper not only achieves its aim of delineating the pivotal role of data-driven geotechnical assessments but also charts a course towards a future where infrastructure development is synonymous with innovation, sustainability, and economic viability. Recommendations call for an increased infusion of green investments and the fostering of collaborative frameworks, heralding a new dawn in the quest for resilient infrastructure systems.

Keywords: Geotechnical Engineering; Data-Driven Assessments; Infrastructure Development; Sustainable Infrastructure; Technological Advancements; Implementation Challenges.

1. Introduction

1.1. Evolution of Geotechnical Assessments in Engineering

The evolution of geotechnical assessments in engineering has been marked by significant advancements and paradigm shifts, particularly in the adoption of data-driven approaches. Traditionally, geotechnical engineering relied heavily on empirical methods and physical modeling, which, while effective, often lacked the precision and adaptability required for complex and varied geological conditions. The introduction of European standards, emphasizing the theory of

* Corresponding author: Zamathula Queen Sikhakhane Nwokediegwu

reliability as the foundation for design, represented a major step forward in the field. Bogusz and Godlewski (2019) highlight the importance of understanding the underlying uncertainties in geotechnical practice, a challenge that has driven the field towards more sophisticated and nuanced methodologies.

The transition to data-driven models has been catalyzed by the rapid development of sensing, digitalization technologies, and the advent of big data. Wang et al. (2022) discuss the paradigm shift from traditional physics-based models to those that are data-driven, noting the adaptability of these models to the vast and complex datasets now available. This shift is not merely technological but represents a fundamental change in the approach to geotechnical assessments, where data analytics plays a crucial role in decision-making and knowledge extraction from geo-data.

Zhao et al. (2022) provide a practical example of this evolution through the development of a novel back-analysis framework that combines reduced-order models, grey wolf optimization, and numerical technology. This approach, which leverages data-driven models for the calibration of geomaterial properties, exemplifies the field's move towards more accurate, efficient, and adaptable methodologies. The ability to accurately model and predict the behavior of geotechnical structures based on real-world data marks a significant advancement in the field.

Furthermore, the application of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning technologies in geotechnical engineering, as discussed by Lu and Burton (2023), underscores the ongoing transformation of the field. AI and data-driven methods are increasingly being applied to a range of challenges in geotechnical engineering, from ground motion characterization to the seismic behavior of structures. This integration of AI technologies represents the cutting edge of geotechnical assessments, offering unprecedented precision and efficiency.

The evolution of geotechnical assessments has been driven by the need for more reliable, efficient, and adaptable methodologies capable of addressing the complex challenges inherent in geotechnical engineering. The shift towards data-driven approaches and the integration of AI technologies are indicative of the field's ongoing transformation. These advancements not only enhance the accuracy and efficiency of geotechnical assessments but also open new avenues for research and application in engineering practice.

The implications of these developments are far-reaching, affecting not only the technical aspects of geotechnical engineering but also its economic and environmental impacts. As the field continues to evolve, the adoption of these advanced methodologies is expected to lead to safer, more sustainable, and cost-effective engineering solutions. The journey from empirical methods to data-driven and AI-integrated approaches reflects the dynamic nature of geotechnical engineering, a field that remains at the forefront of technological and methodological innovation.

1.2. Importance of Geotechnical Assessments in Infrastructure

The significance of geotechnical assessments in the realm of infrastructure development cannot be overstated. These assessments provide critical insights into the subsurface conditions, which are essential for the safe, sustainable, and cost-effective design and construction of infrastructure projects. Samuelsson, Spross and Larsson (2023) emphasize the integration of life-cycle environmental impact and costs into the geotechnical design process, highlighting the role of geotechnical engineering in promoting sustainability in construction projects. This approach not only addresses the environmental and economic aspects of infrastructure projects but also ensures that the geotechnical work is aligned with broader sustainability goals.

In challenging environments, such as rugged mountainous terrains, the importance of geotechnical assessments becomes even more pronounced. Malik and Whyte (2012) discuss the complexities of infrastructure development in such areas, where factors like flooding, landslides, and slope failures pose significant risks to the stability and integrity of projects. The authors argue for the necessity of comprehensive engineering assessments, including geotechnical investigations, to mitigate these risks effectively. This is particularly crucial in developing countries, where limited access to engineering information and the presence of conflict zones further complicate the construction process.

The prevention of landslide-induced problems in highway projects serves as a prime example of the critical role played by geotechnical assessments. Can and Erbiyik (2018) highlight the adverse effects of landslides on society and the importance of engineering measurements and risk assessments in mitigating these impacts. The study underscores the need for interdisciplinary collaboration among civil engineers, geologists, and urban planners to address the geotechnical challenges associated with highway construction and maintenance.

Furthermore, the application of geotechnical assessments in the planning and execution of trenchless water crossings illustrates the field's versatility. Heinz, Moore and Cullum-Kenyon (2004) discuss the necessity of understanding the engineering geological framework and associated complexities for selecting the most appropriate crossing method. This underscores the importance of geotechnical investigations in assessing the feasibility and risks of construction projects, particularly in sensitive environmental contexts.

Geotechnical assessments play a pivotal role in the entire lifecycle of infrastructure projects, from planning and design to construction and maintenance. By providing detailed information on soil properties, groundwater conditions, and potential geohazards, these assessments enable engineers to make informed decisions that enhance the safety, sustainability, and cost-effectiveness of infrastructure projects. The integration of environmental and economic considerations into the geotechnical design process, as advocated by Samuelsson, Spross and Larsson (2023), represents a forward-thinking approach that aligns with global sustainability objectives.

The challenges presented by rugged terrains and harsh environments further highlight the indispensability of geotechnical assessments. As Malik and Whyte (2012) point out, the successful design and construction of infrastructure in such conditions require a deep understanding of the geotechnical risks and the implementation of appropriate mitigation strategies. This is especially critical in regions prone to natural disasters, where the resilience of infrastructure can significantly impact community safety and economic stability.

Geotechnical assessments are foundational to the field of civil engineering, providing the knowledge and tools necessary to navigate the complex interplay between the built environment and the natural world. The ongoing advancements in geotechnical engineering, including the integration of sustainability principles and the application of innovative technologies, continue to enhance the field's contribution to the development of safe, resilient, and sustainable infrastructure.

1.3. Data-Driven Approaches: A Paradigm Shift in Geotechnical Engineering

The advent of data-driven approaches in geotechnical engineering marks a significant paradigm shift, transitioning from traditional empirical and analytical methods to sophisticated, data-centric models. This transformation is driven by the exponential growth in data availability and the development of advanced computational techniques. Wang et al. (2022) highlight the critical role of data analytics in geotechnical and geological engineering, emphasizing the transition towards leveraging big data's volume, velocity, variety, and veracity. This shift enables the handling of complex, uncertain, and incomplete data sets, facilitating more accurate and reliable geotechnical assessments.

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) technologies further exemplifies this paradigm shift. Lu and Burton (2023) discuss the foundational role of AI in multidisciplinary research, including its application in earthquake engineering, a sub-discipline of geotechnical engineering. AI and data-driven methods are being explored for ground motion characterization, seismic behavior analysis, and the assessment of engineering structures' health status. This exploration represents a significant departure from traditional methodologies, relying on physical insights or empirical data to inform engineering decisions.

Garcia-Suarez et al. (2022) present a novel application of data-driven computational mechanics (DDCM) for site response analysis (SRA), a critical aspect of earthquake engineering. Their work demonstrates the potential of data-driven models to bypass the need for constitutive behavior models altogether, relying instead on datasets obtained from discrete element method (DEM) simulations. This approach, which applies multiscale DDCM to 1D wave propagation problems, showcases the adaptability and precision of data-driven methods in addressing complex geotechnical challenges.

Liu et al. (2021) explore the application of data-driven approaches in the field of fracture mechanics, a domain closely related to geotechnical engineering. Their research underscores the potential of data-driven knowledge extraction and transfer, even in data-limited regimes. By employing active learning strategies, they demonstrate the feasibility of accurately addressing three-dimensional fracture problems based on two-dimensional solutions. This capability to extract and transfer knowledge across different problem domains exemplifies the transformative impact of data-driven approaches in engineering disciplines.

Integrating insights from Okem et al. (2023), the convergence of AI with data-driven approaches in geotechnical engineering, particularly in developing nations' infrastructure projects like smart pavement engineering, accentuates the paradigm shift. Their study underscores AI's pivotal role in refining pavement design and maintenance through advanced data analytics, seamlessly connecting with the broader movement towards leveraging big data and computational techniques for more sophisticated, accurate geotechnical assessments. This integration exemplifies the seamless blending of AI advancements with the evolving landscape of geotechnical engineering, highlighting a synergistic approach to overcoming traditional infrastructural challenges.

The paradigm shift towards data-driven approaches in geotechnical engineering represents a significant advancement in the field. By harnessing the power of big data, AI, and ML, engineers are equipped with tools that offer unprecedented precision, adaptability, and insight. This shift not only enhances the technical capabilities of geotechnical engineering but also aligns with broader trends in scientific research and technological development, promising to shape the future of engineering practice.

1.4. Impact on Infrastructure Development of Data-Driven Geotechnical Assessments

The integration of data-driven geotechnical assessments into infrastructure development has significantly influenced both the environmental and economic aspects of construction projects. Von der Tann et al. (2022) explore the application of life cycle assessments (LCAs) in geotechnical engineering, demonstrating how different design solutions for an excavation project can vary in their environmental impact and costs. This study underscores the potential of data-driven approaches to optimize design decisions, balancing economic and environmental considerations. The emphasis on LCAs highlights a shift towards more sustainable geotechnical practices, where the environmental footprint of infrastructure projects is meticulously evaluated and minimized.

Pettinaroli et al. (2023) further elaborate on the sustainability aspect by introducing a methodology that incorporates sustainability goals into geotechnical projects. Their approach, which aligns with the EU Green Deal, emphasizes the importance of a Life Cycle Thinking approach in assessing the sustainability of construction processes. This methodology not only aids in achieving environmental sustainability but also addresses the social and economic pillars, ensuring a holistic approach to sustainable infrastructure development. The study illustrates how data-driven assessments can guide decision-makers towards more sustainable, resilient, and equitable infrastructure design.

Sharma et al. (2018) Utilizing geospatial tools and remotely sensed data, the study assesses the habitat quality under various scenarios of infrastructure development. The findings reveal significant spatial heterogeneity in habitat quality due to current anthropogenic threats, with certain areas facing up to a 40% reduction in habitat quality. This research underscores the importance of incorporating environmental considerations into the planning stages of infrastructure projects, leveraging data-driven assessments to mitigate adverse impacts on biodiversity.

The impact of data-driven geotechnical assessments on infrastructure development is multifaceted, encompassing environmental sustainability, economic efficiency, and social equity. These assessments enable a more nuanced understanding of the geotechnical challenges associated with construction projects, facilitating the development of solutions that are not only technically sound but also environmentally responsible and economically viable. The emphasis on LCAs and sustainability-based approaches reflects a broader industry trend towards integrating environmental considerations into every stage of the infrastructure development process.

Data-driven geotechnical assessments have emerged as a critical component of infrastructure development, driving advancements in sustainability, resilience, and efficiency. These assessments not only enhance the technical and economic aspects of construction projects but also ensure that environmental and social considerations are at the forefront of decision-making processes. As the field of geotechnical engineering continues to evolve, the integration of data-driven approaches will undoubtedly play a pivotal role in shaping the future of infrastructure development.

1.5. Economic Implications of Enhanced Infrastructure through Data-Driven Geotechnical Assessments

The economic implications of enhanced infrastructure, facilitated by data-driven geotechnical assessments, are profound and multifaceted. Zhao et al. (2020) illustrate the significance of such assessments in the context of seismic events, proposing a two-stage optimization model for the integrated energy system (IES) planning and operation. This model, which incorporates data-driven strategies for infrastructure hardening and emergency response, demonstrates a cost-effective approach to minimizing economic losses due to seismic attacks. The study underscores the potential of data-driven assessments to optimize investment in infrastructure resilience, thereby safeguarding economic interests and enhancing system reliability.

Ahmed et al. (2023) delve into the complexities surrounding the sustainability of transport projects under the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC), employing a grounded theory approach. Their analysis reveals how data-driven assessments can identify and mitigate project delays, unsustainable subsidies, and environmental damages, which are critical to ensuring the economic viability and sustainability of large-scale infrastructure projects. The study highlights the importance of incorporating scientific and technological criteria in project selection and planning, emphasizing the role of data-driven geotechnical assessments in achieving economic and sustainable development goals.

Gromek and Sobolewski (2020) focus on the sustainable enhancement of infrastructure resilience from an emergency service perspective. Their risk-based approach, informed by data-driven assessments, aims to protect critical values such as human life and health. By analyzing over a million events, the study identifies the most significant infrastructural risks and proposes sustainable measures for resilience enhancement. This approach not only contributes to the safety and sustainability of infrastructure but also has significant economic implications by preventing losses and ensuring the efficient allocation of resources.

The integration of data-driven geotechnical assessments into infrastructure development and management represents a paradigm shift towards more resilient, sustainable, and economically viable infrastructure systems. By enabling precise and informed decision-making, these assessments help optimize investments, reduce risks, and mitigate potential economic losses. The emphasis on sustainability and resilience, as demonstrated in the studies by Zhao et al. (2020) and Gromek and Sobolewski (2020), aligns with global development agendas and the urgent need to address the challenges posed by climate change and urbanization.

Furthermore, the application of data-driven approaches in assessing the economic implications of infrastructure projects, as seen in the work of Ahmed et al. (2023), provides valuable insights into the complexities of regional development initiatives. This underscores the importance of scientific rigor and technological innovation in planning and executing infrastructure projects, ensuring their economic feasibility and alignment with sustainable development goals.

Data-driven geotechnical assessments play a crucial role in enhancing the economic, environmental, and social value of infrastructure. By facilitating more informed and strategic decision-making, these assessments contribute to the development of infrastructure that is not only technically sound but also economically sustainable and resilient to future challenges. As the demand for robust and sustainable infrastructure continues to grow, the integration of data-driven geotechnical assessments will undoubtedly remain a key factor in shaping the economic landscape of infrastructure development.

1.6. Challenges in Adopting Data-Driven Geotechnical Assessments

The transition to data-driven geotechnical assessments, while promising significant advancements in the field, is not without its challenges. McGaughey (2019) highlights the complexity of geomechanical risk assessment in mining, emphasizing the need for a standardized workflow to navigate the myriad factors influencing geotechnical failure. This complexity underscores one of the primary challenges in adopting data-driven approaches: the integration of diverse data types and sources to accurately predict geohazards. The practice requires not only sophisticated analytical tools but also a deep understanding of the geotechnical domain to avoid pitfalls in data interpretation.

Phoon, Ching, and Shuku (2022) delve into the specific challenges of data-driven site characterization, including dealing with "ugly data," recognizing site-specific conditions, and stratifying geological layers in a meaningful way. Their work points to the difficulty of scaling solutions to realistic 3D settings, a critical step for practical deployment. This highlights another significant challenge: the translation of complex data-driven insights into actionable geotechnical assessments that can be readily applied in the field.

Wu et al. (2023) discuss the opportunities and challenges of integrating data-driven methodologies into geotechnics, drawing parallels with the field of materials informatics. They identify soil complexity, heterogeneity, and the scarcity of comprehensive data sets as major hurdles. The paper advocates for community-driven database initiatives and the adoption of open science principles to overcome these challenges. This approach emphasizes the need for collaborative efforts to harness the full potential of data-driven geotechnics.

Verma and Dombrowski (2018) explore the broader implications of adopting data-driven strategies, using policing as a case study. They identify three key challenges: data-driven frictions, precarious and inactionable insights, and concerns over the loss of human intuition and experience (metis). These challenges resonate with the geotechnical field, where the reliance on quantitative data must be balanced with qualitative judgment and experience.

While data-driven geotechnical assessments offer the promise of enhanced accuracy, efficiency, and insight, their adoption is fraught with challenges that span technical, organizational, and cultural domains. Overcoming these challenges requires a concerted effort from the geotechnical community to develop standardized methodologies, invest in technology and training, and foster a culture of innovation and collaboration. As the field progresses, the lessons learned from early adopters and interdisciplinary research will be invaluable in realizing the full potential of data-driven geotechnical engineering.

1.7. Future Directions in Geotechnical Engineering with Data-Driven Approaches

The integration of data-driven approaches in geotechnical engineering heralds a transformative era, marked by enhanced predictive capabilities, improved safety standards, and optimized resource allocation. Lu and Burton (2023) underscore the burgeoning role of artificial intelligence (AI) and data-driven methods in earthquake engineering, a sub-discipline of geotechnical engineering. Their exploration into ground motion characterization and seismic behavior underscores the potential of these technologies to revolutionize our understanding and management of seismic risks, thereby enhancing the resilience of infrastructure to earthquake-induced damages.

Chen, Cao and Zhu (2023) delve into the realm of structural health monitoring (SHM) and predictive maintenance, highlighting the advancements in information and communication technologies (ICTs) that have paved the way for the implementation of data-driven solutions. Their review identifies critical implementation challenges, such as the digressive performance in real-world environments and the inefficiency of computing systems for real-time analytics. Addressing these challenges is pivotal for the widespread adoption of data-driven SHM systems, which promise to significantly improve the safety and efficiency of engineering structures.

Jiang et al. (2022) provide a comprehensive review of the utilization of patent data in engineering design, revealing the untapped potential of this vast repository of information for advancing design science. The study emphasizes the need for innovative data science methods to harness the wealth of design knowledge contained within patent databases, suggesting a promising direction for future research in geotechnical engineering design and practice.

Xie et al. (2020) focus on the predictive maintenance of railway tracks, showcasing the application of data-driven models in the maintenance and renewal planning processes. Their systematic review highlights the emergence of deep learning, unsupervised methods, and ensemble methods as new trends in the field, pointing towards the critical role of data type selection in model development. This study exemplifies the potential of data-driven approaches to enhance the reliability and efficiency of railway infrastructure, a key component of geotechnical engineering.

The future of geotechnical engineering is intrinsically linked to the successful integration of data-driven approaches, which promise to bring about significant improvements in the prediction, design, and maintenance of geotechnical systems. The challenges identified by Chen, Cao and Zhu (2023), including the need for robust real-world performance and efficient computing systems, represent key areas for future development. Similarly, the exploration of patent data for engineering design by Jiang et al. (2022) opens new avenues for innovation in geotechnical engineering, leveraging the rich information contained within patents to inform design and construction practices.

Moreover, the application of AI and machine learning in earthquake engineering, as discussed by Lu and Burton (2023), and in the maintenance of railway tracks, as explored by Xie et al. (2020), highlights the diverse potential of data-driven methods across different areas of geotechnical engineering. These approaches not only enhance our understanding of complex geotechnical phenomena but also improve the safety, sustainability, and cost-effectiveness of engineering solutions.

The future directions in geotechnical engineering are poised to be shaped by the advancements in data-driven approaches. The integration of AI, machine learning, and big data analytics into geotechnical practices offers the promise of unprecedented improvements in the field's capability to predict, design, and maintain safe and resilient infrastructure. As the field continues to evolve, the challenges of implementation and the need for interdisciplinary collaboration will remain central to unlocking the full potential of these technologies.

1.8. Aims, Objectives, Limitations, and Scope of the Study

The study aims to explore the evolution, importance, and impact of data-driven geotechnical assessments in engineering, focusing on their role in enhancing infrastructure development, economic implications, challenges in adoption, and future directions. The specific objectives include:

- **To assess the evolution of geotechnical assessments** in engineering, identifying key technological advancements and methodological shifts towards data-driven approaches.
- **To evaluate the importance of geotechnical assessments** in infrastructure development, emphasizing the role of data-driven methods in improving safety, durability, and efficiency.

- **To identify and analyze the challenges** associated with adopting data-driven geotechnical assessments, including technical, organizational, and cultural barriers.
- **To explore future directions** in geotechnical engineering with a focus on potential advancements in AI, machine learning, and big data analytics, and their implications for infrastructure resilience and sustainability.

The study is limited by the availability of current literature and case studies on data-driven geotechnical assessments. It may not fully capture the rapidly evolving nature of the field or the diversity of global practices.

The study encompasses a comprehensive review of literature and case studies from the past two decades, focusing on the transition to data-driven approaches in geotechnical engineering. It covers various aspects of infrastructure development, including earthquake engineering, structural health monitoring, and predictive maintenance, while considering the economic, environmental, and societal implications of these advancements.

2. Methodology

2.1. Thematic Analysis of Geotechnical Engineering Literature

The methodology for this study involves a thematic analysis of geotechnical engineering literature, focusing on the evolution and impact of data-driven approaches in the field. Thematic analysis is a versatile research method that offers a detailed and intricate understanding of data (Faccini et al., 2012). This approach is well-suited for delving into the complexities of fields like geotechnical engineering, which are constantly evolving due to the integration of new technologies and methodologies (Faccini et al., 2012). Geotechnical engineering, being a critical aspect of infrastructure development, demands attention due to its intricate nature and diverse applications (Wu et al., 2021).

The selection of sources for this thematic analysis was guided by a systematic approach to literature review, ensuring a comprehensive coverage of the topic. Following the methodology described by Syed Abdul Rahman et al. (2024), the process involved formulating research questions, implementing systematic search strategies across reputable databases, and conducting identification, screening, eligibility assessment, quality appraisal, data extraction, and thematic analysis. This rigorous process ensures that the review is both exhaustive and focused, capturing the most relevant and impactful studies in the field.

Samuelsson, Larsson and Spross (2023) highlight the importance of life cycle assessment (LCA) and life cycle cost analysis (LCCA) in geotechnical engineering, pointing to a significant gap in the literature regarding the application of these methods to geotechnical structures. Their review underscores the need for further research to develop frameworks that integrate LCA and LCCA into geotechnical engineering practice, emphasizing the role of thematic analysis in identifying such research gaps.

Similarly, the work of Amies, Jin and Senaratne (2023) on the success factors for the dam engineering industry through a systematic literature review and conceptual classification provides a model for how thematic analysis can be used to categorize and understand complex industry-specific factors. Their approach to data extraction and thematic analysis offers insights into how thematic analysis can be structured to yield meaningful conclusions in a specialized area of geotechnical engineering.

2.2. Criteria for Selecting Sources and Data Extraction

The criteria for selecting sources were based on relevance to the research questions, the scientific rigor of the methodology, and the impact of the findings on the field of geotechnical engineering. Priority was given to peer-reviewed articles published in reputable journals, with a particular focus on studies that address the integration of data-driven approaches in geotechnical assessments and their implications for infrastructure development.

Data extraction involved a detailed review of each selected source, focusing on methodologies, findings, and conclusions relevant to the themes of interest. This process, as demonstrated in the study by Zakeri et al. (2023), involves a systematic approach to analyzing the content of each article, extracting key pieces of information, and organizing them in a way that facilitates thematic analysis. The use of thematic analysis in this context allows for the identification of patterns and themes across the literature, providing a comprehensive overview of the state of the field and highlighting areas for future research.

The methodology for this study employs a systematic and rigorous approach to literature review and thematic analysis, ensuring a thorough exploration of the topic. By carefully selecting sources and extracting data relevant to the research questions, this study aims to contribute to the understanding of data-driven approaches in geotechnical engineering and their impact on infrastructure development.

3. Results of the Study

3.1. Improvements in Infrastructure Quality and Durability

Advancements in geotechnical practice, as outlined by Cheung, Shum and Koo (2023), emphasize the shift towards smarter and greener project delivery. The integration of sustainable practices within geotechnical engineering not only improves the quality and durability of infrastructure but also contributes to environmental conservation. This approach aligns with global sustainability goals and addresses the increasing demand for infrastructure that can withstand the challenges posed by climate change and urbanization.

The application of nanotechnology in geotechnical engineering offers a preventive solution to highway infrastructure failures (Ugwu et al., 2013). By improving the geotechnical properties of soil, nanotechnology enhances the durability and resilience of road infrastructure. This innovative approach has shown significant improvements in the liquid limit, plastic limit, and shrinkage index of treated soils, demonstrating the potential of nanomaterials in extending the lifespan of infrastructure.

In the context of Bangladesh, the challenges of geotechnical exploration and the constraints on infrastructure development highlight the importance of adopting controlled quality standards and a unified approach to geotechnical practice (Karim et al., 2018). The need for a central depository system for shallow borehole data underscores the importance of data sharing and collaboration among geotechnical professionals. This approach facilitates the development of sound geoengineering recommendations and contributes to the improvement of infrastructure quality and durability.

The integration of geotechnical engineering practices with sustainability principles and innovative materials such as nanotechnology represents a paradigm shift in infrastructure development. These advancements not only improve the physical attributes of infrastructure but also contribute to the economic and environmental sustainability of construction projects. The emphasis on maintenance and durability in geotechnical engineering ensures that infrastructure can meet the demands of present and future generations.

The application of nanotechnology in addressing infrastructure failures, as demonstrated by Ugwu et al. (2013), showcases the potential of emerging technologies in solving traditional engineering problems. This approach not only enhances the quality and durability of infrastructure but also opens new avenues for research and development in geotechnical engineering.

The continuous improvement in geotechnical engineering practices, driven by innovation, sustainability, and collaboration, is essential for the development of durable and high-quality infrastructure. The integration of advanced materials, such as nanotechnology, and the adoption of sustainable practices highlight the evolving nature of geotechnical engineering and its critical role in shaping the built environment.

3.2. Reduction in Construction Costs and Timeframes

The integration of life-cycle environmental impact assessments and cost considerations into the geotechnical design process represents a significant advancement in reducing construction costs and timeframes. Samuelsson, Spross and Larsson (2023) propose a methodology that incorporates life-cycle assessment (LCA) and life-cycle cost analysis (LCCA) into geotechnical design, demonstrating its application in assessing the climate impact and costs of high-speed railway embankment fill methods. This approach not only promotes sustainability but also ensures that geotechnical works are cost-effective and efficient, highlighting the potential for significant reductions in both construction costs and project durations.

The adoption of Building Information Modeling (BIM) and other innovative technologies in geotechnical engineering further exemplifies the shift towards more sustainable and cost-effective construction practices. Cheng et al. (2023) discuss the benefits of integrating BIM, digital twins, and tools such as Dynamo scripts, handheld LiDAR scanning, and UAV photogrammetry in geotechnical works. These technologies enhance the accuracy and efficiency of geotechnical designs and constructions, leading to reduced costs and shorter project timeframes. The ability to model subsurface conditions and proposed soil nailing works for slope upgrading projects, as well as to facilitate data exchange in tunnel projects, underscores the transformative impact of smart technologies on the geotechnical field.

The integration of LCA and LCCA into the geotechnical design process, as proposed by Samuelsson, Spross and Larsson (2023), represents a paradigm shift towards more sustainable and cost-effective construction practices. By considering the environmental impact and costs from the outset, geotechnical engineers can make informed decisions that lead to more efficient and economical infrastructure development.

Furthermore, the adoption of BIM and other smart technologies in geotechnical engineering, as discussed by Cheng et al. (2023), highlights the industry's move towards digitalization and innovation. These technologies not only streamline the design and construction processes but also enable more precise and efficient project management, leading to significant cost savings and reduced construction timelines.

The integration of life-cycle assessments, innovative technologies, and ethical considerations into geotechnical engineering practices offers a comprehensive approach to reducing construction costs and timeframes. These advancements not only enhance the sustainability and efficiency of construction projects but also pave the way for a more responsible and innovative approach to infrastructure development.

3.3. Enhanced Safety and Risk Management

The integration of advanced risk management procedures in geotechnical assessments has significantly contributed to enhancing safety and managing risks in infrastructure projects. Spross et al. (2021) emphasize the importance of understanding and interpreting the geotechnical context of projects to mitigate unforeseen events that could lead to cost overruns and safety hazards. Their methodology, developed through the Swedish Geotechnical Society, provides a structured approach for geotechnical engineers and related professionals, illustrating its application in the foundation design of a new office building in a challenging geotechnical environment. This approach underscores the necessity of a comprehensive risk management strategy that encompasses the entire project lifecycle, from geological survey through to construction.

Macciotta (2023) further explores the uncertainties inherent in slope risk management, particularly in the context of environmental variability and climate change. By focusing on the Canadian geotechnical landscape, the study highlights the need for a systematic, consistent, and transparent framework to manage risks associated with landslides, which pose significant threats to human safety and economic activities. Macciotta's work advocates for a focus beyond the final risk calculation, suggesting that the process of risk assessment should aim to enhance overall knowledge, document uncertainties, and understand their impact on geotechnical assessments.

Zhetchev (2023) introduces a system for risk management, prevention, and protection specifically designed for road infrastructure slopes. This system emphasizes the importance of continuous geotechnical judgment and the adoption of a systematic approach to study landslide and collapse risks. By categorizing measures into preventive and protective based on their aim to reduce the probability or the consequences of decisive events, Zhetchev's framework offers a clear strategy for addressing geotechnical risks in road infrastructure.

Furthermore, the economic implications of these enhanced safety and risk management practices cannot be overstated. By minimizing the risks of project overruns, ensuring the health and safety of construction workers, and protecting economic activities from the impacts of geotechnical failures, these approaches contribute to more cost-effective and efficient infrastructure development. The integration of comprehensive risk management strategies, as outlined by Spross et al. (2022); Macciotta (2023); Zhetchev (2023) represents a critical step forward in the evolution of geotechnical engineering, offering a blueprint for future developments in the field.

The enhanced safety and risk management practices emerging in geotechnical assessments are pivotal for the sustainable development of infrastructure. These practices, grounded in rigorous methodologies, acknowledgment of uncertainties, and innovative technologies, pave the way for a future where geotechnical engineering not only ensures the physical integrity of infrastructure but also its economic viability and resilience against environmental and human-induced challenges.

3.4. Economic Growth Stimulated by Improved Infrastructure

The relationship between infrastructure development and economic growth has been a focal point of economic studies, with geotechnical engineering playing a crucial role in the construction and maintenance of infrastructure. Mubin (2019) explores the effect of debt financing in infrastructure development on sustainable economic growth in Indonesia, using an engineering economy and portfolio management approach. The study finds that higher investment in infrastructure development leads to higher economic growth, and private participation in infrastructure investment can reduce outstanding debt. This underscores the importance of infrastructure investment in stimulating economic growth and suggests that innovative financing schemes, including private sector involvement, are essential for sustainable development.

Adhuze, Adewole and Adeaga (2023) focus on the role of infrastructure in advancing tourism as a driver of economic growth. The study emphasizes the importance of infrastructure development in attracting tourists and creating an enabling environment for businesses to thrive. By conducting an in-depth literature review and multivariate analysis, the authors conclude that infrastructure development is crucial for advancing tourism and, by extension, driving economic growth. This highlights the interconnectedness of infrastructure, tourism, and economic development, and underscores the need for policymakers to prioritize infrastructure development as a means of promoting economic growth.

Zhou, Raza and Sui (2021) systematically examine the role of infrastructure investment on the quality of economic growth in China, using regional panel data from 29 provinces. The study develops a comprehensive index to observe economic growth quality and finds that infrastructure investment significantly improves the quality of economic growth. This improvement is mediated through the physical and material circulation of resources, market integration, and knowledge capital evolution. The findings suggest that infrastructure investment not only stimulates economic growth but also enhances its quality, providing profound policy enlightenment regarding infrastructure investment.

The relationship between infrastructure development and economic growth is complex and multifaceted, with geotechnical engineering playing a pivotal role in ensuring the success of infrastructure projects. The evidence suggests that strategic investments in infrastructure can lead to significant economic benefits, including enhanced economic growth, improved quality of life, and increased competitiveness on a global scale. Policymakers and stakeholders must prioritize infrastructure development as a key driver of economic development and sustainability.

3.5. Barriers to Adoption and Solutions

The transition towards integrating green storm water infrastructure (GSI) and renewable energy sources, such as hydrogen, into our urban planning and energy systems presents a myriad of challenges and barriers. These obstacles range from technical and economic to regulatory and societal, significantly impacting the pace and scale of adoption of these innovative solutions. However, understanding these barriers is the first step towards developing effective strategies to overcome them and harness the full potential of these technologies for sustainable development.

Eldaher (2019) highlights the challenges faced in the adoption of Green Storm-water Infrastructure (GSI) strategies, which include green roofs, bioretentions, pervious pavement, and cisterns. Despite their proven cost-effectiveness and multifunctional benefits over traditional grey infrastructure, GSI implementation is hindered by difficulties in hydrologic calculations, cost estimation, and benefit assessment. The complexity of designing GSI elements that meet financial and hydrologic objectives poses a significant barrier to their widespread adoption by planners and decision-makers. Eldaher's development of a proof of concept tool aims to address these challenges by facilitating a comprehensive planning process that generates alternative GSI solutions, thereby reducing costs and maximizing benefits.

Similarly, Litvinenko et al. (2020) discuss the barriers to the implementation of hydrogen initiatives within the global energy market. Despite the ecological and social benefits of stepping up the development of renewable energy sources, including hydrogen, the authors argue that the hydrogen economy faces significant challenges. These include the detrimental effects of hydrogen on steel structures, its physical and volume characteristics that decrease energy system efficiency, and the lack of necessary infrastructure and market regulation mechanisms. Moreover, the high combustibility of hydrogen poses a societal danger, further complicating its adoption as a scalable solution for the power generation sector.

The barriers identified by Eldaher (2019) and Litvinenko et al. (2020) underscore the complexity of integrating innovative environmental and energy solutions into existing systems. The technical challenges, such as those related to hydrologic calculations and the impact of hydrogen on materials, require targeted research and development efforts to develop more compatible and efficient technologies. Economic barriers, including the high initial costs and uncertain return on investment of GSI and hydrogen projects, necessitate innovative financing models and government incentives to lower the financial risks for investors and stakeholders.

Overcoming the barriers to the adoption of green storm water infrastructure and hydrogen energy requires a multifaceted approach that addresses technical, economic, regulatory, and societal challenges. By developing innovative tools and methodologies, like the GSI planning tool proposed by Eldaher (2019), and addressing the concerns raised by

Litvinenko et al. (2020) regarding hydrogen, stakeholders can pave the way for more sustainable and resilient urban and energy systems. Collaborative efforts among researchers, policymakers, industry stakeholders, and the public are essential to accelerate the transition towards a more sustainable future.

4. Discussion of the Results

4.1. Interpreting the Impact on Infrastructure Development

The evolution of geotechnical assessments and the adoption of data-driven approaches have significantly influenced infrastructure development, offering insights into the economic benefits and challenges associated with these advancements. Li et al. (2017) highlight the critical role of municipal infrastructure in the sustainable urbanization of developing countries, emphasizing the importance of national public investment as a primary driver of economic growth and infrastructure development. This perspective is crucial in understanding the economic implications of infrastructure investments and the need for a strategic approach to municipal infrastructure development.

Kovalsky (2022) expands on this by discussing the alignment of infrastructure development strategies with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), underscoring the necessity for sustainable, economically viable, and socially beneficial infrastructure projects. The study suggests that cross-sectoral investments in infrastructure can directly contribute to achieving 19 SDGs, indicating a broader impact of infrastructure development on national and global sustainability objectives.

Furthermore, Nazneen, Xu and Din (2021) explore the perceptions of residents towards mega-infrastructure projects within the China–Pakistan economic corridor, revealing that perceived benefits and costs of such projects can significantly influence public support for tourism development and, by extension, economic growth. This underscores the importance of stakeholder perceptions in the success of infrastructure projects and highlights the need for inclusive planning and communication strategies.

Alhashmi and Omar (2023) investigate the relationship between project finance factors and economic development, presenting a model that demonstrates the significant impact of project scope and risk identification on economic development. Their findings suggest that a strategic approach to project finance can enhance the economic benefits of infrastructure projects, emphasizing the importance of effective risk management and project planning.

The impact of infrastructure development on the national economy and societal well-being is profound, with data-driven geotechnical assessments playing a pivotal role in shaping future strategies. The studies reviewed here provide valuable insights into the economic, social, and environmental considerations that must be balanced in the pursuit of sustainable infrastructure development. As the field of geotechnical engineering continues to evolve, the lessons learned from these research efforts will be instrumental in guiding policy decisions, investment strategies, and technological innovations aimed at building resilient, sustainable, and economically viable infrastructure systems.

4.2. Economic Benefits in the Context of National Economy

The integration of geotechnical engineering and infrastructure development into the national economy has been a pivotal factor in driving economic growth, enhancing societal welfare, and fostering sustainable development. Myamlin (2013) emphasizes the transformative role of transport infrastructure in bolstering the national economy, asserting that scientific and technical progress in the transport sector significantly contributes to economic improvement. This perspective underscores the symbiotic relationship between infrastructure development and economic prosperity, where advancements in geotechnical engineering directly influence the efficiency and effectiveness of transport systems, thereby facilitating economic activities.

Petrova et al. (2018) explores the economic impact of tourism development in Georgia, highlighting how infrastructure improvements have played a crucial role in attracting international visitors and generating substantial revenue. This case exemplifies the broader economic benefits of infrastructure development, where enhanced geotechnical assessments and projects not only support the tourism sector but also stimulate local economies by providing employment opportunities and promoting regional development.

Peleh (2021) discusses the principles of structural regulation of the national economy in Ukraine, identifying infrastructure development as a key area for leveraging the country's competitive advantages. The study advocates for targeted comprehensive programs to support sectors where geotechnical engineering can significantly contribute to economic growth and competitiveness. This approach suggests that strategic infrastructure investments, informed by advanced geotechnical assessments, are essential for achieving sustainable economic development.

Mierau, Soloveva and Popov (2020) examines the role of non-governmental pension funds in Russia, illustrating how long-term investments in infrastructure can serve as a mechanism for financing the real economy and supporting socio-economic policies. The analysis indicates that infrastructure projects, particularly those involving public-private partnerships, can attract significant capital from pension funds, thereby providing a stable source of funding for large-scale development projects. This highlights the economic benefits of integrating geotechnical engineering projects with financial instruments to support national economic objectives.

The economic benefits of geotechnical engineering and infrastructure development extend beyond the immediate impacts on construction and transportation. These sectors are integral to the national economy, influencing various aspects of societal well-being, from job creation and regional development to environmental sustainability and global competitiveness. As the field of geotechnical engineering continues to evolve, its contributions to the national economy will likely become even more significant, underscoring the importance of strategic investments and policy support in this area.

4.3. Comparative Analysis with Traditional Methods

The evolution of geotechnical engineering has significantly influenced the methodologies employed in infrastructure development, transitioning from traditional practices to more innovative, data-driven approaches. This shift has not only enhanced the efficiency and reliability of geotechnical assessments but also introduced a paradigm shift in how projects are conceptualized, designed, and executed. A comparative analysis of these methodologies reveals the extent to which modern techniques have superseded their traditional counterparts, offering insights into the benefits and challenges associated with this transition.

Aitken and Ilango (2013) provide a foundational comparison between traditional software engineering and agile development methodologies, highlighting the differences in iteration lengths, project management strategies, and the adaptability to changing project requirements. Although their study focuses on software development, the principles of agility, iterative development, and stakeholder involvement are equally applicable to geotechnical engineering, where traditional methods often lack the flexibility and responsiveness that modern projects demand.

Tirumala et al. (2021) delve into the comparison of outcomes under Public-Private Partnership (PPP) and traditional modes of infrastructure delivery, focusing on educational facilities in Melbourne. Their findings suggest that while PPP arrangements may offer better educational outcomes due to their innovative approach and efficiency, traditional methods still hold value in stakeholder satisfaction and general outcomes. This nuanced view suggests that the choice between traditional and modern methodologies in infrastructure development should be outcome-oriented, considering the specific goals and context of each project.

The comparative analysis between traditional and modern methodologies in geotechnical engineering underscores the transformative impact of technological advancements on the field. While traditional methods continue to provide valuable insights and a foundational understanding of geotechnical phenomena, the adoption of modern, data-driven approaches is essential for addressing the complex challenges of contemporary infrastructure development. As the field continues to evolve, a balanced integration of traditional knowledge and modern techniques will be crucial for achieving sustainable, efficient, and resilient infrastructure systems.

4.4. Technological Advancements and Their Role

The role of technological advancements in geotechnical engineering and infrastructure development cannot be overstated. Innovations in this field have revolutionized the way projects are planned, executed, and monitored, leading to significant improvements in efficiency, safety, and sustainability. Vlasov, Berdyugina and Krivoshein (2018) discuss the development of a technological platform for innovative social infrastructure, emphasizing the integration of smart machines and the Internet of Things (IoT) in monitoring and diagnostics. This approach not only enhances the reliability of energy supply systems and life support for buildings but also optimizes the use of resources, demonstrating the profound impact of technology on infrastructure development.

Liu, Chen, and Huang (2022) explore the effects of technological progress on carbon emission spillovers in transportation, highlighting how advancements can drive emissions reduction across regions. Their findings underscore the importance of technology in achieving sustainable transportation systems, which is a critical aspect of modern infrastructure development. The study illustrates the potential of technological innovations to facilitate a transition towards low-carbon economies, emphasizing the role of technology in addressing environmental challenges.

Popov, Fadeev and Mekhovych (2022) focus on the technological reengineering of production infrastructure in industrial enterprises, advocating for an investment policy that supports innovation. Their analysis reveals that technological reengineering is essential for the rehabilitation of enterprises affected by economic downturns or external conflicts. By implementing modern technologies, businesses can radically transform their production processes, leading to increased efficiency and competitiveness. This perspective is particularly relevant to geotechnical engineering, where the adoption of new technologies can significantly enhance project outcomes.

Technological advancements play a pivotal role in shaping the future of geotechnical engineering and infrastructure development. From enhancing the efficiency and sustainability of projects to enabling the creation of smart, resilient infrastructure, the impact of technology is profound and far-reaching. As the field continues to evolve, the continued integration of innovative technologies will be crucial in addressing the complex challenges of modern infrastructure projects, driving progress towards a more sustainable, efficient, and safe built environment.

4.5. Strategies for Overcoming Implementation Challenges

The implementation of geotechnical engineering projects and infrastructure development is fraught with challenges, ranging from financial constraints and technological limitations to regulatory hurdles and stakeholder engagement issues. Mustaffa et al. (2023) explore the obstacles to sustainable infrastructure development in Malaysia, identifying financial and budgetary constraints, inadequate governance, and management as primary impediments. They propose a multifaceted approach to overcoming these challenges, emphasizing the importance of green investment, fostering green policies, promoting green technologies, and enhancing capacity through improved awareness and training.

Munyasya and Chileshe (2018) delve into the drivers and barriers of sustainable infrastructure development (SID) within the South Australian construction industry. They highlight innovation, knowledge improvement, and stakeholder interaction as key drivers, while identifying the lack of a steering mechanism, the multidisciplinary nature of sustainability, and lack of cooperation as critical barriers. The study suggests that instilling sustainability awareness, specifying sustainability in project requirements, and establishing governance frameworks are effective strategies for overcoming these barriers.

Hernandez and Pollman (2022) address the integration challenges in mission engineering, proposing specific techniques to mitigate these issues. They emphasize the need for a precise definition of integration that focuses on incorporating new technologies into an organization's existing infrastructure. By defining major problem areas and identifying methods to avoid or mitigate them, the authors provide a foundation for successful system integration in mission engineering projects.

Furthermore, the adoption of advanced technologies, including the Internet of Things (IoT), artificial intelligence (AI), and machine learning, can play a pivotal role in enhancing project efficiency and sustainability. These technologies offer the potential to optimize resource use, reduce environmental impact, and improve decision-making processes.

Overcoming the implementation challenges in geotechnical engineering and infrastructure development requires a multifaceted strategy that encompasses financial, technological, organizational, and governance dimensions. By adopting a holistic approach that leverages innovation, fosters collaboration, and embraces technological advancements, stakeholders can navigate the complexities of modern infrastructure projects, ensuring their successful completion and long-term sustainability.

5. Conclusion

In the intricate tapestry of geotechnical engineering and infrastructure development, this study embarked on a scholarly voyage to unravel the evolution, significance, and transformative impact of data-driven assessments. Anchored by a meticulously designed methodology, our exploration delved into the thematic analysis of contemporary literature, thereby illuminating the path towards understanding the multifaceted implications of these assessments on the edifice of modern infrastructure.

The study's objectives were ambitiously charted to dissect the paradigm shift towards data-driven approaches within geotechnical assessments, scrutinizing their pivotal role in the annals of engineering. Through a rigorous analytical lens, we sifted through the granular details of scholarly discourse, unearthing a treasure trove of insights that underscore the paramount importance of these assessments in the realm of infrastructure development. Our methodological rigor, characterized by a judicious selection of sources and a systematic extraction of data, provided a robust scaffold for our investigation.

Key findings from this scholarly endeavor underscored a significant enhancement in infrastructure quality, underscored by reductions in construction costs and timeframes, alongside marked improvements in safety and risk management. Furthermore, the economic ramifications of these advancements painted a vivid tableau of stimulated economic growth, juxtaposed against the backdrop of barriers to adoption and the pressing need for policy reformulation.

In synthesizing these findings, the study culminates in a series of recommendations aimed at navigating the labyrinth of implementation challenges. Advocating for an increased infusion of green investments, the promotion of green technologies, and the fostering of collaborative stakeholder engagement, these recommendations are poised to catalyze a renaissance in sustainable infrastructure development.

In conclusion, this scholarly odyssey has not only achieved its aims and objectives but has also charted new territories in the understanding of geotechnical assessments. By weaving together the threads of methodology, findings, and strategic insights, this study stands as a beacon for future endeavors in the quest for resilient, sustainable, and economically viable infrastructure. As we stand on the precipice of technological advancements, the horizon is replete with opportunities for innovation, demanding a concerted effort to transcend the traditional confines of geotechnical engineering.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Adhuze, O., Adewole, A. &Adeaga, O. (2023). Infrastructure as Drivers for Economic Growth: A Way to advancing Tourism. *International Journal of Latest Technology in Engineering, Management & Applied Science*, *12*(09), pp.86-93.

[2] Ahmed, W., Ali, S., Asghar, M., &Ismailov, A. (2023). Assessment and Analysis of the Complexities in Sustainability of the Transport Projects Under CPEC: A Grounded Theory Approach. *SAGE Open*, 13(4), p.21582440231203477. https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440231203477

[3] Aitken, A. & Ilango, V., (2013). A Comparative Analysis of Traditional Software Engineering and Agile Software Development. *2013 46th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*. (pp. 4751-4760). IEEE. https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/HICSS.2013.31.

[4] Alhashmi, S.F.K.A. & Omar, A.J. (2023). Establishing a Relationship Model of Project Finance Factors Influencing Economic Development: Case Study of Abu Dhabi Economic Department. *International Journal of Sustainable Construction Engineering and Technology*, *14*(5), pp.453-467.

[5] Amies, P., Jin, X. & Senaratne, S. (2023). Success factors for dam engineering industry: systematic literature review and conceptual classification. *Innovative Infrastructure Solutions*, *8*(1), p.58. DOI: 10.1007/s41062-022-01022-4.

[6] Bogusz, W., & Godlewski, T. (2019). Philosophy of geotechnical design in civil engineering – possibilities and risks. *Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences: Technical Sciences*, 67(2), pp. 289-306. DOI: 10.24425/bpas.2019.128258

[7] Can, E., & Erbiyik, H. (2018). The Importance of Engineering Measurements and Risks Assessments in Preventing Of the Landslide Originated Problems in Highway Projects. *International Journal of Advanced Scientific Research and Engineering*, 4(9), pp, 6-11. https://doi.org/10.31695/IJASRE.2018.32886

[8] Chen, Q., Cao, J., & Zhu, S. (2023). Data-Driven Monitoring and Predictive Maintenance for Engineering Structures: Technologies, Implementation Challenges, and Future Directions. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*. 10 (16), pp. 14527 – 14551. **DOI:** 10.1109/JIOT.2023.3272535

[9] Cheng, R.W.K., Mak, D.C.W., Wei, A.Q.J., Yan, J.J.P. & Pan, Q.H.Q., (2023). Integration of BIM and other Innovative Technologies to Enhance the Sustainable Design of Geotechnical Works. *AIJR Proceedings*, pp.285-296.

[10] Cheung, S.P.Y., Lawrence, K.W. & Raymond, C.H. (2023). Advancement in Geotechnical Practice for Smarter and Greener Projects Delivery. *AIJR Proceedings*, pp.192-214. DOI: 10.21467/proceedings.159.16.

[11] Eldaher, N., (2019). *Green Storm-water Infrastructure Strategy Generation and Assessment Tool For Site Scale and Urban Planning*. (Doctoral dissertation, Carnegie Mellon University).

[12] Faccini, F., Robbiano, A., Roccati, A., & Angelini, S. (2012). Engineering geological map of the chiavari city area (liguria, italy). Journal of Maps, 8(1), 41-47. https://doi.org/10.1080/17445647.2012.668756

[13] Garcia-Suarez, J., Cornet, A., Wattel, S., & Molinari, J. (2022). Data-driven 1D wave propagation for site response analysis. *International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics*, *47*(15), pp.2691-2705. https://doi.org/10.1002/nag.3596

[14] Gromek, P., & Sobolewski, G. (2020). Risk-Based Approach for Informing Sustainable Infrastructure Resilience Enhancement and Potential Resilience Implication in Terms of Emergency Service Perspective. *Sustainability*, 12(11), p.4530. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12114530

[15] Heinz, H., Moore, T., & Cullum-Kenyon, S. (2004). Geotechnical Assessments for Trenchless Water Crossings in Alberta. *Proceedings of the International Pipeline Conference*. (Vol. 41766, pp. 595-600). https://doi.org/10.1115/IPC2004-0608

[16] Hernandez, A.S. & Pollman, A.G., (2022). Characterizing integration challenges in mission engineering to form solution strategies. *Systems Engineering*, 25(4), pp.404-418. https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/sys.21621.

[17] Jiang, S., Sarica, S., Song, B., Hu, J., & Luo, J. (2022). Patent Data for Engineering Design: A Critical Review and Future Directions. . *Journal of Computing and Information Science in Engineering*, *22*(6), p.060902. https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4054802

[18] Karim, M., Hassan, M.Q., Khandaker, N.I., Ahmed, M. & Sayeed, B.A., (2018). The Status of Engineering Geology: Constraints on Infrastructure Development in Bangladesh. *GSA Annual Meeting*, Indianapolis.

[19] Kovalsky, A. (2022). Criteria of infrastructure enterprises' sustainable development for meeting the Sustainable Development Goals. *Economics & Education*, *7*(1), pp.49-54. https://dx.doi.org/10.30525/2500-946x/2022-1-7.

[20] Li, Y., Zheng, J., Li, F., Jin, X. & Xu, C. (2017). Assessment of municipal infrastructure development and its critical influencing factors in urban China: A FA and STIRPAT approach. *Plos One*, 12(8), e0181917. https://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181917.

[21] Litvinenko, V.S., Tsvetkov, P.S., Dvoynikov, M.V. & Buslaev, G.V. (2020). Barriers to implementation of hydrogen initiatives in the context of global energy sustainable development. *Journal of the Mining Institute, 244*, pp.428-438 https://doi.org/10.31897/pmi.2020.4.421.

[22] Liu, X., Athanasiou, C. E., Padture, N.P., Sheldon, B.W. & Gao, H. (2021). Knowledge extraction and transfer in data-driven fracture mechanics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(23), p.e2104765118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2104765118

[23] Liu, Y., Chen, L. & Huang, C., (2022). Study on the Carbon Emission Spillover Effects of Transportation under Technological Advancements. *Sustainability*, 14(17), 10608. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/su141710608.

[24] Lu, X. & Burton, H. (2023). EESD special issue: AI and data-driven methods in earthquake engineering – (Part 1). *Earthquake Engineering & Structural Dynamics*, 52(11), pp.3197-3200. https://doi.org/10.1002/eqe.3974

[25] Macciotta, R. (2023). Slope risk management in light of uncertainty and environmental variability – 2021 Canadian Geotechnical Colloquium. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, , *60*(12), pp.1777-1791. https://doi.org/10.1139/cgj-2022-0626.

[26] Malik, S., & Whyte, A. (2012). Towards Improved Infrastructure Design & Construction in Rough Terrain & Inclement Environments. In *Proceedings of the 1st Australasia and South East Asia Conference in Structural Engineering andConstruction (ASEA-SEC-1)* (pp. 991-995). Research Publishing Services.

[27] McGaughey, W.J. (2019). Data-driven geotechnical hazard assessment: practice and pitfalls', in J Wesseloo (ed.), *MGR 2019: Proceedings of the First International Conference on Mining Geomechanical Risk*, Australian Centre for Geomechanics, Perth, pp. 219-232, https://doi.org/10.36487/ACG_rep/1905_11_McGaughey

[28] Mierau, J.N., Soloveva, T.S. & Popov, A.V. (2020). Development of social innovations in Russia in terms of activities and interaction of government bodies, business structures, and civil society. *Economic and Social Changes: Facts, Trends, Forecast*, *13*, pp.153-167. DOI: 10.15838/esc.2020.5.71.9

[29] Mubin, C. (2019). Modelling the effect of infrastructure development acceleration on sustainable economic growth in Indonesia. *CSID Journal of Infrastructure Development*, *2*(1), pp.31-39. DOI: 10.32783/CSID-JID.V2I1.27.

[30] Munyasya, B.M. & Chileshe, N. (2018). Towards Sustainable Infrastructure Development: Drivers, Barriers, Strategies, and Coping Mechanisms. *Sustainability*, 10(12), p.4341. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10124341

[31] Mustaffa, N., NorShahrudin, N.S., Abdul Aziz, M.F.H. & Mustaffa, A. (2023). Key Challenges and Strategies Towards Sustainable Infrastructure Development in Malaysia. *International Journal of Integrated Engineering*, 15(2), pp.1-13.

[32] Myamlin, S.V. (2013). Transport progress as a pledge of national economy development. *Science and Transport Progress*, 1(43), pp.7-12. https://dx.doi.org/10.15802/STP2013/9786.

[33] Nazneen, S., Xu, H. & Din, N. (2021). Assessment of residents' destination image and their pro-tourism development behaviour: perspectives on the China–Pakistan economic corridor. *Tourism Review*, 76(1), pp.184-197. https://dx.doi.org/10.1108/TR-08-2019-0352.

[34] Okem, E.S., Ukpoju, E.A., David, A.B. and Olurin, J.O., 2023. ADVANCING INFRASTRUCTURE IN DEVELOPING NATIONS: A SYNTHESIS OF AI INTEGRATION STRATEGIES FOR SMART PAVEMENT ENGINEERING. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, *4*(6), pp.533-554.

[35] Peleh, O.B. (2021). Priority Principles of Structural Regulation of the National Economy of Ukraine. *Herald of socio-economic research,* 1(76), pp. 33-45. https://dx.doi.org/10.33987/vsed.1(76).2021.33-45.

[36] Petrova, M., Dekhtyar, N., Klok, O. & Loseva, O. (2018). Regional tourism infrastructure development in the state strategies. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, (16, Iss. 4), pp.259-274.

[37] Pettinaroli, A., Susani, S., Castellanza, R., Collina, E. M., Pierani, M., Paoli, R., & Romagnoli, F. (2023). A Sustainability-Based Approach for Geotechnical Infrastructure. *Environmental and Climate Technologies*, *27*(1), pp.738-752. https://doi.org/10.2478/rtuect-2023-0054

[38] Phoon, K.K., Ching, J., & Shuku, T. (2022). Challenges in data-driven site characterization. *Georisk: Assessment and Management of Risk for Engineered Systems and Geohazards*, *16*(1), pp.114-126. https://doi.org/10.1080/17499518.2021.1896005

[39] Popov, A., Fadeev, V. & Mekhovych, S. (2022). Technological Reengineering of Production Infrastructure of Industrial Enterprises and Modern Investment Policy In Ukraine. *All-state scientific, industrial and informational journal "Energy Conservation. Energy. Energy audit",* (1-2 (167-168)), pp. 20-26. https://dx.doi.org/10.20998/2313-8890.2022.01.03.

[40] Samuelsson, I., Larsson, S. & Spross, J. (2023). Life cycle assessment and life cycle cost analysis for geotechnical engineering: review and research gaps. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 710(1). p. 012031. IOP Publishing. DOI: 10.1088/1755-1315/710/1/012031.

[41] Samuelsson, I., Spross, J., & Larsson, S. (2023). Integrating life-cycle environmental impact and costs into geotechnical design. In *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Engineering Sustainability* (pp. 1-11). Thomas Telford Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1680/jensu.23.00012

[42] Sharma, R., Rimal, B., Stork, N., Baral, H., &Dhakal, M. (2018). Spatial Assessment of the Potential Impact of Infrastructure Development on Biodiversity Conservation in Lowland Nepal. *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*, 7(9), 365. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi7090365

[43] Spross, J., Olsson, L., Stille, H., Hintze, S. & Båtelsson, O. (2022). Risk management procedure to understand and interpret the geotechnical context. *Georisk: Assessment and Management of Risk for Engineered Systems and Geohazards*, 16(2), pp. 235-250. https://doi.org/10.1080/17499518.2021.1884883.

[44] Syed Abdul Rahman, S.A.F., Abdul Maulud, K.N., Ujang, U., Wan MohdJaafar, W.S., Shaharuddin, S. & Ab Rahman, A.A. (2024). The Digital Landscape of Smart Cities and Digital Twins: A Systematic Literature Review of Digital Terrain and 3D City Models in Enhancing Decision-Making. *SAGE Open*, *14*(1), p.21582440231220768. DOI: 10.1177/21582440231220768.

[45] Tirumala, R.D., Dangol, N., Tiwari, P. &Vaz-Serra, P. (2021). Comparative analysis of outcomes under PPP and traditional modes of delivery: a study of schools in Melbourne. *Construction Management and Economics*, *39*(11), pp.894-911. https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01446193.2021.1994147.

[46] Ugwu, O.O., Arop, J.B., Nwoji, C. & Osadebe, N.N. (2013). Nanotechnology as a Preventive Engineering Solution to Highway Infrastructure Failures. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, *139*(8), pp.987-993. DOI: 10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-7862.0000670.

[47] Verma, N. & Dombrowski, L. S. (2018). Confronting Social Criticisms: Challenges when Adopting Data-Driven Policing Strategies. *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. (pp. 1-13). https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3174043

[48] Vlasov, A.I., Berdyugina, O.N. & Krivoshein, A.I. (2018). Technological Platform for Innovative Social Infrastructure Development on Basis of Smart Machines and Principles of Internet of Things. In *2018 Global Smart Industry Conference (GloSIC)*. (pp. 1-7). IEEE. **DOI:** 10.1109/GloSIC.2018.8570062

[49] von der Tann, L., Størdal, I.F., Ritter, S., & Feizi, S. (2022). First steps in the development of standardised processes for life cycle assessments of geotechnical works. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 1122(1), 012046. IOP Publishing. **DOI** 10.1088/1755-1315/1122/1/012046

[50] Wang, Y., Zhang, W., Qi, X., & Ching, J. (2022). Data analytics in geotechnical and geological engineering. *Georisk: Assessment and Management of Risk for Engineered Systems and Geohazards*, 16(1), pp.1-1. https://doi.org/10.1080/17499518.2022.2038205

[51] Wu, J., Chen, J., Chen, G., Wu, Z. C., Yu, Z., Chen, B., ... & Huang, J. (2021). Development of data integration and sharing for geotechnical engineering information modeling based on ifc. Advances in Civil Engineering, 2021, 1-15. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/8884864

[52] Wu, S., Otake, Y., Higo, Y., & Yoshida, I. (2023). Pathway to a fully data-driven geotechnics: lessons from materials informatics. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.00581*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2312.00581

[53] Xie, J., Huang, J., Zeng, C., Jiang, S.H., & Podlich, N. (2020). Systematic Literature Review on Data-Driven Models for Predictive Maintenance of Railway Track: Implications in Geotechnical Engineering. *Geosciences*, 10(11), p.425. https://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences10110425

[54] Zakeri, N. N. B., Hidayat, R., Sabri, N. A. B. M., Yaakub, N. F. B., Balachandran, K. S., & Azizan, N. I. B. (2023). Creative methods in STEM for secondary school students: Systematic literature review. *Contemporary Mathematics and Science Education, 4*(1), ep23003. https://doi.org/10.30935/conmaths/12601

[55] Zhao, L., Liu, X., Zang, X., & Zhao, H. (2022). Back Analysis of Geotechnical Engineering Based on Data-Driven Model and Grey Wolf Optimization. *Applied Sciences*, 12(24), p. 12595. https://doi.org/10.3390/app122412595

[56] Zhao, P., Gu, C., Cao, Z., Shen, Y., Teng, F., Chen, X., Wu, C., Huo, D., Xu, X., & Li, S. (2020). Data-Driven Multi-Energy Investment and Management Under Earthquakes. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, 17(10), pp.6939-6950. **DOI:** 10.1109/TII.2020.3043086

[57] Zhetchev, N. (2023). System for risk management, prevention and protection on road infrastructure slopes. *SGEM International Multidisciplinary Scientific GeoConference: Surveying Geology & Mining Ecology Management (SGEM)*, 23(1.1), pp.269-275. https://doi.org/10.5593/sgem2023/1.1/s02.32.

[58] Zhou, J., Raza, A. & Sui, H. (2021). Infrastructure investment and economic growth quality: empirical analysis of China's regional development. *Applied Economics*, *53*(23), pp.2615-2630. DOI: 10.1080/00036846.2020.1863325.