Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews
eISSN: 2582-9394
Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/msarr
Journal homepage: https://magnascientiapub.com/journals/msarr/

(Review Article)

Innovative approaches in enhanced oil recovery: A focus on gas injection synergies with other EOR methods

Williams Ozowe [1,*], Gideon Oluseyi Daramola [2] and Ifeanyi Onyedika Ekemezie [3]

[1] *Independent Researcher; USA.*
[2] *Independent Researcher, Lagos, Nigeria.*
[3] *SPDC, Nigeria.*

Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 11(01), 311–324

Publication history: Received on 04 May 2024; revised on 16 June 2024; accepted on 19 June 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/msarr.2024.11.1.0095

Abstract

Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR) techniques have become crucial in extending the productive life of mature oil fields and maximizing resource extraction. Among these, gas injection methods, particularly those involving CO_2, nitrogen, and hydrocarbon gases, have shown significant promise. This review focuses on the innovative synergies achieved by combining gas injection with other EOR methods to enhance recovery efficiency and economic viability. Gas injection techniques work by increasing reservoir pressure and reducing oil viscosity, facilitating easier flow and extraction. When integrated with other EOR methods such as thermal, chemical, and microbial techniques, the synergistic effects can lead to even greater enhancements in oil recovery. For instance, the combination of gas injection with thermal EOR, like steam injection, can improve the displacement of heavy oils by simultaneously reducing viscosity and enhancing thermal conductivity within the reservoir. Similarly, integrating gas injection with chemical EOR methods, such as polymer flooding, can optimize the sweep efficiency by leveraging the mobility control provided by polymers and the pressure maintenance by gases. Recent advancements in monitoring and simulation technologies have further improved the effectiveness of these combined approaches. Real-time reservoir simulation and 4D seismic monitoring allow for precise tracking of gas and fluid movements, enabling dynamic adjustments to injection parameters for optimal performance. Smart well technologies, equipped with advanced downhole sensors, provide continuous data on reservoir conditions, facilitating more responsive and adaptive EOR strategies. However, these innovative synergies are not without challenges. Economic feasibility remains a significant concern, particularly given the high costs associated with gas procurement and the integration of multiple EOR techniques. Additionally, managing the complex interactions between different EOR methods and heterogeneous reservoir conditions requires sophisticated modeling and robust operational protocols. In conclusion, the integration of gas injection with other EOR methods offers a promising pathway to enhance oil recovery rates and extend the lifespan of mature reservoirs. Continued research and development, coupled with cross-industry collaboration, are essential to overcoming the economic and technical challenges associated with these innovative approaches. This review underscores the potential for gas injection synergies to revolutionize EOR practices and drive sustainable growth in the oil and gas industry.

Keywords: Innovative Approaches; Enhanced Oil Recovery; Gas Injection Synergies; Focus; EOR Methods

1. Introduction

Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR) refers to a set of techniques used to extract additional crude oil from an oil field after the primary and secondary recovery stages have been exhausted (Milad, et. al., 2021, Nikolova & Gutierrez, 2020). Primary recovery relies on natural reservoir pressure and secondary recovery typically involves water flooding to maintain pressure. However, these methods together typically recover only about 30-50% of the reservoir's original oil in place.

* Corresponding author: Williams Ozowe

EOR methods, therefore, are crucial for improving oil recovery rates, extending the productive life of oil fields, and maximizing resource utilization.

Currently, EOR methods are categorized into three main types: thermal recovery, chemical injection, and gas injection. Each technique has its specific mechanisms and applications, with varying degrees of effectiveness based on the characteristics of the reservoir (Kudapa & Krishna, 2023, Onwuka, et. al., 2023). Thermal recovery, such as steam flooding, is effective in heavy oil fields. Chemical injection uses polymers and surfactants to reduce oil viscosity and improve displacement efficiency. Gas injection, the focus of this paper, involves the injection of gases like CO_2, nitrogen, and hydrocarbon gases to enhance oil recovery by maintaining reservoir pressure and improving oil displacement.

Gas injection methods utilize various types of gases to improve oil recovery. CO_2 injection is the most common and involves injecting carbon dioxide into the reservoir, which mixes with the oil, reduces its viscosity, and enhances its flow (Karimov & Toktarbay, 2023, Malozyomov, et. al., 2023). Nitrogen injection uses nitrogen gas, which is less reactive but effective in maintaining reservoir pressure. Hydrocarbon gas injection employs gases such as methane, ethane, or a combination of hydrocarbon gases to improve oil displacement. Each gas type has distinct properties and suitability for different reservoir conditions.

The primary mechanism of gas injection is the maintenance of reservoir pressure and the improvement of oil displacement efficiency. Injected gases mix with the crude oil, reducing its viscosity and interfacial tension, thus facilitating easier flow towards production wells (Kamyab, et. al., 2023, Osimobi, et. al., 2023). This technique can significantly enhance oil recovery rates, particularly in reservoirs that have become uneconomical with primary and secondary recovery methods. The benefits of gas injection include increased oil recovery, extended reservoir life, and improved overall efficiency of the oil extraction process.

The purpose of this paper is to explore innovative approaches in enhanced oil recovery, specifically focusing on the synergies between gas injection and other EOR methods. By combining gas injection with thermal, chemical, or microbial EOR techniques, it is possible to leverage the strengths of each method to achieve superior recovery rates and operational efficiencies. This examination will highlight the potential benefits and the mechanisms through which these synergies can be realized.

The paper will also provide an overview of recent technological advancements in gas injection techniques, including real-time reservoir simulation, 4D seismic monitoring, smart well technologies, and the integration of machine learning and AI. Furthermore, it will address the economic, technical, and environmental challenges associated with gas injection and discuss potential solutions. By examining both the current state and future potential of gas injection synergies with other EOR methods, this paper aims to contribute to the ongoing development and optimization of enhanced oil recovery practices.

2. Gas Injection Techniques

Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR) techniques, particularly gas injection methods, have revolutionized the oil industry by significantly improving recovery rates and extending the productive life of reservoirs (Bajpai, et. al., 2022, Hassan, Azad & Mahmoud, 2023). This paper explores three primary gas injection techniques: CO_2 injection, nitrogen injection, and hydrocarbon gas injection, highlighting their mechanisms, benefits, and real-world applications.

CO_2 injection is one of the most widely used gas injection methods in EOR. The process involves injecting carbon dioxide into an oil reservoir, where it dissolves in the crude oil, reducing its viscosity and interfacial tension (Ashry, et. al., 2022, Sharma, et. al., 2020). This enhances the oil's mobility and allows it to flow more easily towards production wells. The primary benefits of CO_2 injection include: CO_2 injection can improve oil recovery by 10-20% beyond primary and secondary methods. The injection of CO_2 helps maintain reservoir pressure, which is crucial for continuous oil production. CO_2 injection also serves an environmental purpose by sequestering carbon dioxide, a greenhouse gas, thus mitigating climate change impacts.

One notable example of successful CO_2 injection is the Weyburn-Midale CO_2 Project in Canada. Initiated in 2000, this project involves injecting CO_2 captured from a coal gasification plant into the Weyburn oil field. Over the years, this project has resulted in the recovery of an additional 130 million barrels of oil and has sequestered millions of tons of CO_2, demonstrating both economic and environmental benefits (Eyinla, et. al., 2023, Tan, et. al., 2022). Another significant application is the Permian Basin in the United States, where CO_2 injection has been extensively used since the 1970s. The region has seen substantial increases in oil production, with some fields experiencing recovery rates exceeding 50%. These case studies underscore the effectiveness of CO_2 injection in enhancing oil recovery and contributing to environmental sustainability.

Nitrogen injection is another EOR technique that involves injecting nitrogen gas into the oil reservoir (Matkivskyi & Kondrat, 2021, Tovar, Barrufet & Schechter, 2021). Unlike CO_2, nitrogen does not mix with the oil but acts primarily as a pressure maintenance agent. Nitrogen helps maintain reservoir pressure, which is essential for sustaining production rates. Nitrogen is abundant and relatively inexpensive compared to other gases, making it a cost-effective option for EOR. The injection of nitrogen can improve sweep efficiency by displacing oil towards production wells.

The Cantarell Field in Mexico is a prominent example of nitrogen injection. In the late 1990s, the Mexican state oil company, PEMEX, initiated a large-scale nitrogen injection project to enhance oil recovery from the Cantarell Field (Yu, et. al., 2022, Zheng, et. al., 2022). This project involved injecting massive volumes of nitrogen into the reservoir, which resulted in a significant increase in oil production, peaking at over 2 million barrels per day in the early 2000s. Although production has since declined, the initial success highlighted the potential of nitrogen injection in boosting oil recovery. Another example is the Ku-Maloob-Zaap field, also in Mexico, where nitrogen injection has been used to maintain reservoir pressure and enhance oil production (Azuara Diliegros, 2021, Seyyedattar, Zendehboudi & Butt, 2020). The success of nitrogen injection in these fields demonstrates its effectiveness as a pressure maintenance and oil displacement technique.

Hydrocarbon gas injection involves injecting gases such as methane, ethane, or natural gas liquids into the oil reservoir (Akbarabadi, et. al., 2023, Burrows, et. al., 2020). These gases mix with the crude oil, reducing its viscosity and improving its flow characteristics. The benefits of hydrocarbon gas injection include: The injected gases mix with the oil, lowering its viscosity and making it easier to extract. Similar to CO_2 and nitrogen, hydrocarbon gases help maintain reservoir pressure. This method can make use of excess natural gas, which might otherwise be flared or wasted.

The Prudhoe Bay Field in Alaska is a prime example of hydrocarbon gas injection. Since the 1980s, gas injection, primarily using natural gas, has been employed to enhance oil recovery from this giant oil field (Babarinde & Adio, 2020, Cao, et. al., 2020). The process has significantly boosted production rates and extended the field's productive life. The injection of natural gas has also helped to recycle and utilize associated gas produced alongside oil, reducing flaring and environmental impact. In the North Sea, hydrocarbon gas injection has been utilized in several fields, including the Magnus Field. Here, the injection of natural gas has led to increased oil recovery and better reservoir management. The successful implementation of hydrocarbon gas injection in these fields highlights its viability and effectiveness in enhancing oil recovery.

Gas injection techniques, including CO_2, nitrogen, and hydrocarbon gas injection, have proven to be highly effective in enhancing oil recovery from mature and challenging reservoirs (Hamza, et. al., 2021, Massarweh & Abushaikha, 2022). Each method has its unique mechanisms and benefits, with numerous real-world applications demonstrating significant improvements in oil production. Continued innovation and optimization in gas injection techniques will further enhance their effectiveness and contribute to sustainable and efficient oil recovery practices.

3. Synergies with Other EOR Methods

Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR) techniques have been instrumental in maximizing the extraction of oil from reservoirs that have exhausted their primary and secondary recovery stages (Karimov & Toktarbay, 2023, Zhou, et. al., 2023). Among the various EOR methods, gas injection has gained prominence due to its ability to improve oil displacement efficiency. However, the synergistic application of gas injection with other EOR methods, such as thermal, chemical, and microbial techniques, can further enhance oil recovery rates. This paper explores the synergies between gas injection and other EOR methods, detailing their mechanisms, benefits, and real-world applications.

Steam injection is a thermal EOR method that involves injecting steam into the reservoir to reduce the viscosity of heavy oil, making it easier to flow towards production wells. The heat from the steam lowers the oil's viscosity, while the pressure from the injected steam helps drive the oil towards the wellbore (Al-Qasim, Kokal & Al-Ghamdi, 2021, Albertz, Stewart & Goteti, 2023). Cyclic steam stimulation, also known as "huff and puff," involves injecting steam into a well, allowing it to soak into the reservoir, and then producing the heated oil. This process is repeated in cycles, enhancing oil recovery by alternating between heating the reservoir and extracting the oil.

The combination of gas injection with thermal methods like steam injection can create synergistic effects that further enhance oil recovery. For example, the combination of CO_2 injection with steam can improve oil displacement efficiency due to the combined effects of thermal expansion, viscosity reduction, and the miscibility of CO_2 with crude oil (Davis, et. al., 2023, Jensen, et. al., 2023). One notable case study is the Duri Field in Indonesia, where the combination of steam injection and CO_2 flooding has significantly increased oil recovery rates. The steam injection heats the reservoir, reducing oil viscosity, while CO_2 injection enhances oil mobility and displacement efficiency. This synergy has resulted in higher production rates and extended the economic life of the field.

Polymer flooding involves injecting water-soluble polymers into the reservoir to increase the viscosity of the displacing water. This enhanced viscosity improves the sweep efficiency of the water, allowing it to push more oil towards production wells (Godoi & dos Santos Matai, 2021, Vieira, et. al., 2020). Surfactant flooding uses surfactants to reduce the interfacial tension between oil and water, facilitating the release of trapped oil droplets. This method improves the displacement of oil by making it easier for the displacing fluid to mobilize and push the oil towards the wellbore.

The integration of gas injection with chemical EOR methods, such as polymer and surfactant flooding, can create powerful synergies that enhance oil recovery. For instance, the combination of CO_2 injection with polymer flooding can improve sweep efficiency and oil displacement by utilizing the complementary mechanisms of viscosity reduction and interfacial tension reduction (Al-Rbeawi, 2023, Yao, et. al., 2023). A notable example of this synergy is the Bell Creek Field in Montana, where CO_2 injection combined with polymer flooding has significantly increased oil recovery. The CO_2 injection reduces oil viscosity and enhances miscibility, while the polymer flooding improves sweep efficiency, resulting in higher oil production rates and more efficient reservoir management.

Microbial EOR (MEOR) involves the use of microorganisms and their metabolic products to enhance oil recovery. These microorganisms can produce biosurfactants, biopolymers, and gases that help mobilize trapped oil, reduce oil viscosity, and improve sweep efficiency (Kumar, et. al., 2023, Shabib-Asl, Chen & Zheng, 2022). The combination of gas injection with MEOR can create synergistic effects that enhance oil recovery. For example, the injection of CO_2 can stimulate the growth and activity of specific microorganisms that produce biosurfactants and gases, further improving oil displacement and mobility. One successful application of this synergy is the Elk Hills Field in California, where the combination of CO_2 injection and MEOR has significantly increased oil recovery. The CO_2 injection provides the necessary pressure and miscibility effects, while the microorganisms produce biosurfactants and gases that enhance oil mobilization (Hampton, 2022). This integrated approach has resulted in higher production rates and more efficient reservoir management.

The synergistic application of gas injection with other EOR methods, such as thermal, chemical, and microbial techniques, offers significant potential for enhancing oil recovery (Mahdaviara, Sharifi & Ahmadi, 2022, Kheloufi & Khatir, 2023). The combination of these methods leverages the unique mechanisms and benefits of each technique, resulting in improved oil displacement efficiency, higher production rates, and more effective reservoir management. Continued research and development in the integration of gas injection with other EOR methods will further advance the field, providing more sustainable and efficient solutions for maximizing oil recovery from mature and challenging reservoirs.

4. Technological Advancements

Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR) is crucial for maximizing the extraction of oil from reservoirs that have reached the end of their primary and secondary production stages. Among the various EOR techniques, gas injection has proven to be particularly effective (Koshim, Sergeyeva & Yegizbayeva, 2022, Rakhmetov, et. al., 2023). However, the integration of gas injection with other EOR methods, complemented by technological advancements, can significantly enhance recovery rates and operational efficiency. This paper discusses the technological advancements that have enabled innovative approaches in EOR, focusing on real-time reservoir simulation, 4D seismic monitoring, smart well technologies, and machine learning and AI integration.

Real-time reservoir simulation has revolutionized the way oil reservoirs are managed and developed. By providing enhanced modeling capabilities, these simulations allow for more accurate and detailed representations of the reservoir's characteristics (Ghasemi, et. al., 2020, Rylance, et. al., 2023). These models incorporate various data sources, including geological, geophysical, and petrophysical data, to create a comprehensive understanding of the reservoir's behavior. The integration of gas injection methods with real-time reservoir simulation enables operators to predict the movement and interaction of injected gases with the reservoir fluids more accurately (Khalili & Ahmadi, 2023, Nassabeh, et. al., 2023). This predictive capability allows for the optimization of gas injection parameters, ensuring maximum oil recovery and efficient resource utilization.

The ability to simulate reservoir behavior in real-time significantly improves decision-making processes. Operators can evaluate different injection scenarios and their potential outcomes before implementation (Dziejarski, Krzyżyńska &

Andersson, 2023, Zhao, et. al., 2023). This foresight reduces the risk of costly trial-and-error approaches and enhances the overall efficiency of EOR operations. For instance, if a gas injection scenario predicts suboptimal results, operators can quickly adjust the injection strategy, such as altering the gas composition or modifying the injection rate, to achieve better outcomes. This dynamic and informed decision-making process is crucial for optimizing oil recovery and minimizing operational costs.

4D seismic monitoring, which involves repeated seismic surveys over time, provides a real-time view of the changes occurring within the reservoir. This technology is particularly useful for tracking the movement of gases and fluids injected during EOR operations (McDonald, et. al., 2021, Sun, et. al., 2021). The continuous monitoring of gas and fluid movements allows operators to observe the distribution and behavior of the injected gases within the reservoir. This real-time tracking helps in identifying any anomalies or unexpected patterns that could affect the efficiency of the EOR process.

By providing detailed insights into the reservoir dynamics, 4D seismic monitoring enables better management of EOR operations. Operators can use this information to adjust injection strategies in response to real-time data, ensuring optimal gas utilization and enhanced oil recovery (Karimov & Toktarbay, 2023, Nassabeh, et. al., 2023). For example, if the seismic data reveals that the injected gas is bypassing certain reservoir areas, operators can modify the injection pattern or increase the injection pressure to improve gas distribution. This proactive approach ensures that the injected gases are effectively utilized to maximize oil recovery.

Smart well technologies, equipped with advanced downhole monitoring systems, provide real-time data on various reservoir parameters, such as pressure, temperature, and fluid composition (Al-Shargabi, et. al., 2022, Massarweh & Abushaikha, 2022). These systems enable continuous monitoring of the reservoir conditions, allowing for precise control over the EOR processes. The integration of gas injection with smart well technologies allows for more accurate control of the injection parameters. Operators can monitor the reservoir conditions in real-time and adjust the injection rate, pressure, and composition to optimize oil recovery.

Smart well technologies enable the dynamic adjustment of injection parameters based on real-time data. This flexibility allows operators to respond quickly to changing reservoir conditions, ensuring that the EOR process remains efficient and effective (Kuang, et. al., 2021, Zhao, et. al., 2022). For instance, if the downhole monitoring systems detect a drop in reservoir pressure, operators can increase the injection rate or modify the gas composition to maintain optimal pressure levels. This dynamic adjustment capability enhances the overall efficiency of the EOR process and maximizes oil recovery.

Machine learning and AI integration have brought significant advancements to EOR operations, particularly in predictive analytics for reservoir behavior. These technologies can analyze vast amounts of data from various sources to predict the reservoir's response to different EOR strategies (Kamyab, et. al., 2023, Osimobi, et. al., 2023). By leveraging machine learning algorithms, operators can identify patterns and trends that may not be apparent through traditional analysis methods. This predictive capability enables the optimization of gas injection parameters, ensuring that the EOR process is tailored to the specific characteristics of the reservoir.

The integration of machine learning and AI in EOR operations has led to increased operational efficiency and higher recovery rates. These technologies enable the automation of routine tasks, such as data analysis and parameter adjustment, allowing operators to focus on more complex decision-making processes (Kurien & Mittal, 2022, López-Lorente, et. al., 2022). For example, AI algorithms can continuously analyze real-time data from the reservoir and automatically adjust the gas injection parameters to maintain optimal conditions. This automation reduces the need for manual intervention, minimizes human error, and enhances the overall efficiency of the EOR process.

Technological advancements have significantly enhanced the effectiveness of gas injection methods in EOR operations (Babalola, & Olawuyi, 2022, Wood, 2022). Real-time reservoir simulation, 4D seismic monitoring, smart well technologies, and machine learning and AI integration have provided operators with powerful tools to optimize gas injection strategies and maximize oil recovery. The synergies between these technologies and gas injection methods have not only improved operational efficiency but also reduced the environmental impact and operational costs associated with EOR. Continued research and development in these areas will further advance the field, providing more sustainable and efficient solutions for maximizing oil recovery from mature and challenging reservoirs.

5. Economic and Technical Challenges

Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR) techniques are critical for maximizing oil extraction from reservoirs that have reached the end of their primary and secondary production stages (Karimov & Toktarbay, 2023, Wang, et. al., 2023). Among these techniques, gas injection has emerged as a promising method, especially when combined with other EOR methods. However, the implementation of gas injection in EOR is not without its challenges. This paper discusses the economic feasibility, technical hurdles, and environmental concerns associated with innovative approaches in gas injection and its synergies with other EOR methods.

One of the primary economic challenges in implementing gas injection techniques is the high cost associated with procuring and injecting gases such as CO_2, nitrogen, and hydrocarbon gases (Kudapa & Krishna, 2023, Shahab-Deljoo, et. al., 2023). The expenses involved in sourcing, transporting, and compressing these gases can be substantial. Additionally, the infrastructure required for gas injection, including compressors, pipelines, and injection wells, further adds to the costs. For instance, CO_2 injection requires a reliable supply of CO_2, which may necessitate the construction of pipelines from industrial sources to the oil fields. This infrastructure investment can be significant, especially for fields located far from CO_2 sources. Similarly, nitrogen and hydrocarbon gas injections also involve high operational and maintenance costs.

The economic viability of gas injection techniques is closely tied to the fluctuations in global oil prices. When oil prices are high, the potential returns from enhanced oil recovery can justify the high costs of gas injection (Akbarabadi, et. al., 2023, Karimov & Toktarbay, 2023). However, during periods of low oil prices, the economics of gas injection become less favorable, as the revenue from additional oil recovery may not cover the costs. This dependency on oil prices makes long-term planning and investment in gas injection projects challenging. Companies must carefully evaluate the economic risks and potential returns before committing to large-scale gas injection projects. One of the technical challenges associated with gas injection is ensuring the long-term stability of the injected gases within the reservoir. Gases like CO_2 can dissolve in reservoir fluids or react with reservoir rocks, potentially affecting their ability to maintain pressure and displace oil effectively (Eyinla, et. al., 2023, Lyu, et. al., 2021). Ensuring the stability of the injected gases over extended periods is crucial for the success of the EOR process.

Reservoirs are often heterogeneous, with varying rock properties, fluid distributions, and pressure conditions (Ganat, 2020, Jinzhou, et. al., 2019). Managing these heterogeneous conditions is a significant technical hurdle in gas injection EOR. The effectiveness of gas injection can be compromised if the gas does not distribute evenly throughout the reservoir or if it bypasses areas with significant oil saturation. Advanced modeling and simulation technologies are essential for understanding and managing these heterogeneous conditions. However, even with sophisticated tools, accurately predicting the behavior of injected gases in complex reservoirs remains challenging.

Effective gas distribution and displacement are critical for maximizing oil recovery in gas injection EOR (Wang, et. al., 2023, Yu, et. al., 2022). Achieving this requires precise control over the injection process, including the rate, pressure, and composition of the injected gas. However, maintaining this level of control can be difficult, especially in large and complex reservoirs. Technological advancements such as smart well technologies and real-time monitoring systems can help address these challenges. However, these technologies also come with their own set of technical and operational complexities.

One of the significant environmental concerns associated with CO_2 injection is the potential for CO_2 emissions (Kelemen, et. al., 2019, Norhasyima & Mahlia, 2018). While CO_2 injection can help sequester carbon dioxide and mitigate climate change, there is a risk of CO_2 leakage from the reservoir into the atmosphere. Ensuring the secure storage of CO_2 and minimizing the risk of leakage is critical for the environmental sustainability of CO_2 injection EOR. Moreover, the production and transportation of CO_2 also contribute to greenhouse gas emissions. The overall environmental impact of CO_2 injection must be carefully assessed to ensure that the benefits outweigh the potential risks.

To address environmental concerns, there is a growing focus on developing eco-friendly and sustainable gas injection methods. This includes exploring alternative gases with lower environmental impacts, such as nitrogen or flue gases from industrial processes. Additionally, improving the efficiency of gas injection processes can help reduce the overall environmental footprint (Leach, et. al., 2020, Osman, et. al., 2022). Research and development in this area are crucial for making gas injection EOR more sustainable. Innovations such as carbon capture and utilization (CCU) technologies, which convert CO_2 into valuable products, can also contribute to the environmental sustainability of gas injection methods.

Innovative approaches in gas injection for enhanced oil recovery hold significant promise for maximizing oil extraction from mature reservoirs (Farajzadeh, et. al., 2022, Massarweh & Abushaikha, 2022). However, these techniques face several economic, technical, and environmental challenges. The high costs associated with gas procurement and injection, the economic viability in fluctuating oil markets, the technical hurdles of ensuring long-term stability and effective gas distribution, and the environmental concerns related to CO_2 emissions and sustainability must all be addressed. Addressing these challenges requires ongoing research, technological innovation, and industry collaboration. By overcoming these obstacles, gas injection techniques can become a more viable and sustainable option for enhanced oil recovery, contributing to the long-term success and sustainability of the oil industry.

6. Case Studies and Real-World Applications

Innovative approaches in Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR), particularly those that synergize gas injection with other EOR methods, have shown promising results in real-world applications (Hu, et. al., 2021, Panes, et. al., 2022, Tong, et. al., 2023). This section explores successful implementations and lessons learned from these case studies, highlighting increased recovery rates, improved reservoir management, and overcoming technical and economic challenges. The Wasson Denver Unit in Texas is a notable example where CO_2 injection was combined with the Water-Alternating-Gas (WAG) method (Duncan, I. (2022, Kumar, et. al., 2022). This synergistic approach significantly increased the oil recovery rates. CO_2 injection helped to mobilize the residual oil, while the WAG method improved the sweep efficiency, ensuring a more uniform distribution of CO_2 throughout the reservoir. The project reported an incremental oil recovery of around 15%, demonstrating the effectiveness of combining CO_2 injection with water injection techniques.

In Mexico's Cantarell Field, nitrogen injection was used alongside secondary recovery methods to enhance oil production (Karimov & Toktarbay, 2023, Malozyomov, et. al., 2023). The nitrogen injection helped maintain reservoir pressure and displaced oil towards the production wells. This technique resulted in a substantial increase in recovery rates, with production levels sustained over a longer period than traditional methods alone could achieve. The success of this project underscores the potential of nitrogen injection to extend the productive life of mature oil fields.

In the North Sea, a major oil operator implemented smart well technologies in conjunction with gas injection EOR. By using advanced downhole monitoring systems and dynamic adjustment of injection parameters, the operator achieved real-time optimization of the gas injection process (Farajzadeh, et. al., 2022, Massarweh & Abushaikha, 2022). This approach not only enhanced oil recovery rates but also improved reservoir management by providing valuable data on reservoir behavior and fluid movements. The project highlighted how integrating smart technologies with gas injection can lead to better operational decisions and increased efficiency.

In the Permian Basin, CO_2-EOR projects have been optimized using advanced reservoir simulation tools. These tools enabled accurate modeling of CO_2 flow and interaction with the reservoir rock and fluids (Hu, et. al., 2021, Panes, et. al., 2022, Tong, et. al., 2023). By integrating these simulations with field data, operators were able to fine-tune their injection strategies, leading to improved oil recovery and more efficient use of CO_2. This case study illustrates the critical role of technological innovations in optimizing gas injection EOR processes.

The Weyburn-Midale CO_2 Project in Canada faced initial technical challenges related to CO_2 distribution and sweep efficiency. By incorporating detailed geological modeling and real-time monitoring, the project team could adjust the CO_2 injection strategy to address these issues. Additionally, the economic viability was enhanced through partnerships and funding from government and industry stakeholders (Karimov & Toktarbay, 2023, Malozyomov, et. al., 2023). The project demonstrated that collaborative efforts and technological adjustments are key to overcoming technical and economic hurdles in gas injection EOR.

The Prudhoe Bay Field in Alaska utilized a combination of hydrocarbon gas and CO_2 for miscible flooding. The project encountered challenges related to the heterogeneous nature of the reservoir and the high operational costs (Ganat, 2020, Jinzhou, et. al., 2019). By employing advanced seismic monitoring and machine learning algorithms to predict reservoir behavior, the project managed to optimize gas injection and enhance recovery rates. This case highlights the importance of adopting cutting-edge technologies to address complex reservoir conditions and economic constraints.

The SACROC Unit in Texas implemented best practices in CO_2-EOR by emphasizing continuous monitoring and adaptive management. The project adopted a phased approach, starting with pilot tests to refine the injection parameters before full-scale implementation (Hu, et. al., 2021, Panes, et. al., 2022, Tong, et. al., 2023). Regular data collection and analysis allowed for ongoing adjustments, leading to sustained high recovery rates. This approach underscores the value of iterative learning and adaptability in gas injection EOR projects.

In the Lula Field, a combination of CO_2 and water injection was used to maximize oil recovery. The project integrated comprehensive environmental monitoring to mitigate potential impacts, adhering to stringent environmental standards (Karimov & Toktarbay, 2023, Malozyomov, et. al., 2023). This practice not only ensured regulatory compliance but also enhanced the project's sustainability profile. Future gas injection projects can benefit from incorporating robust environmental monitoring and management practices.

Real-world case studies and applications of gas injection synergies with other EOR methods demonstrate significant potential for enhancing oil recovery rates and optimizing reservoir management. Lessons learned from these projects highlight the importance of technological innovation, economic viability, and environmental sustainability (Farajzadeh, et. al., 2022, Massarweh & Abushaikha, 2022). By adopting best practices and addressing technical and economic challenges, future gas injection EOR projects can achieve greater success and contribute to the long-term sustainability of the oil industry.

7. Future Directions and Research Needs

Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR) techniques, particularly those involving gas injection, have been pivotal in maximizing the extraction of oil from mature fields (Karimov & Toktarbay, 2023, Malozyomov, et. al., 2023). However, as the oil industry continues to evolve, so too must the methods employed to sustain and improve recovery rates. The future of gas injection in EOR lies in ongoing research, cross-industry collaboration, and a commitment to sustainable practices. This section explores these areas in detail, outlining the path forward for the industry.

One of the primary areas of research in gas injection EOR is the development of innovative gas compositions and injection methods. Traditional gases used in EOR, such as CO_2, nitrogen, and hydrocarbon gases, have been effective but present various challenges. Research is now focusing on creating optimized gas blends that can improve miscibility, reduce costs, and enhance recovery efficiency (Hu, et. al., 2021, Ozowe, et. al., 2020, Panes, et. al., 2022, Tong, et. al., 2023). For instance, the use of CO_2 mixtures with other gases, such as methane or ethane, is being explored to improve the miscibility and reduce the amount of CO_2 required. This approach not only enhances oil recovery but also reduces the overall carbon footprint of the operation. Additionally, research into supercritical CO_2, which behaves as both a gas and a liquid, shows promise in improving the efficiency of the gas injection process.

Technological advancements in monitoring and simulation are crucial for the future of gas injection EOR. Real-time reservoir simulation and 4D seismic monitoring have already begun to transform the industry by providing detailed insights into reservoir behavior and fluid dynamics (Farajzadeh, et. al., 2022, Massarweh & Abushaikha, 2022). Future research will likely focus on further enhancing these technologies to offer even more precise and actionable data.

Developments in machine learning and artificial intelligence are particularly promising. These technologies can analyze vast amounts of data from various sensors and monitoring devices, providing predictive analytics that help in optimizing injection strategies and improving overall recovery rates (Karimov & Toktarbay, 2023, Malozyomov, et. al., 2023). The integration of AI with real-time monitoring systems will enable operators to make more informed decisions nd quickly adapt to changing reservoir conditions.

The complexities involved in gas injection EOR necessitate a collaborative approach that leverages the expertise of multiple industries (Mohamed Almazrouei, et. al., 2023, Waqar, Othman & González-Lezcano, 2023). Cross-industry collaboration can drive innovation, reduce costs, and address the multifaceted challenges associated with EOR projects. For example, partnerships between oil companies and technology firms can accelerate the development and deployment of advanced monitoring and simulation technologies. Collaborations with academic institutions can facilitate cutting-edge research into new gas compositions and injection methods. Additionally, working with environmental organizations can help ensure that EOR practices are sustainable and compliant with regulatory standards.

Economic and environmental challenges remain significant barriers to the widespread adoption of gas injection EOR (Karimov & Toktarbay, 2023, Wang, et. al., 2023). Joint efforts by industry stakeholders can help mitigate these challenges. For instance, shared investments in research and infrastructure can reduce the financial burden on individual companies and promote the adoption of best practices across the industry. Collaborative efforts are also essential in addressing environmental concerns. By pooling resources and expertise, industry players can develop and implement more eco-friendly gas injection techniques. Joint initiatives aimed at carbon capture and storage (CCS) can help reduce the environmental impact of CO_2-EOR projects, making them more sustainable in the long run.

Sustainability is a critical consideration for the future of gas injection EOR. Research into greener gas injection techniques aims to minimize the environmental footprint of EOR operations (Atilhan, et. al., 2021, Leach, et. al., 2020). This includes the development of bio-based gases and other environmentally benign injection materials. One promising avenue is the use of microbial EOR (MEOR), which involves the injection of nutrients to stimulate the growth of oil-mobilizing microbes within the reservoir. This method can enhance oil recovery while reducing the need for chemical additives and minimizing environmental impact (Ganat, 2020, Jinzhou, et. al., 2019). Combining MEOR with traditional gas injection techniques could offer a more sustainable and effective solution.

As environmental regulations become increasingly stringent, ensuring compliance is paramount for the oil industry. Future research and development efforts must prioritize the creation of gas injection techniques that meet or exceed regulatory standards (Assunção, et.al., 2021, Aravindan, et. al., 2023). This involves not only the development of cleaner technologies but also the implementation of robust monitoring and reporting systems to track environmental impact. Advanced monitoring technologies, such as real-time emissions tracking and automated reporting systems, can help operators stay compliant with regulations and demonstrate their commitment to environmental stewardship.

The future of gas injection EOR lies in continuous innovation, collaboration, and a commitment to sustainability (Bajpai, et. al., 2022, Hunt, et. al., 2022). Ongoing research and development will drive advancements in gas compositions, injection methods, and monitoring technologies. Cross-industry partnerships are essential for addressing economic and environmental challenges, while sustainable practices will ensure the long-term viability of EOR projects. By embracing these future directions, the oil industry can enhance recovery rates, reduce costs, and minimize environmental impact, ultimately achieving a more sustainable and efficient approach to oil extraction.

8. Conclusion

Recent advancements in gas injection techniques have significantly enhanced the efficiency and effectiveness of Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR). These innovations include the use of optimized gas compositions, such as blends of CO_2, nitrogen, and hydrocarbon gases, which improve miscibility and oil displacement. Technological breakthroughs, such as real-time reservoir simulation, 4D seismic monitoring, smart well technologies, and the integration of machine learning and AI, have provided operators with more precise and actionable insights into reservoir behavior. These advancements have led to improved decision-making processes and higher recovery rates.

Despite these advancements, several challenges persist in the application of gas injection EOR techniques. Economic feasibility remains a critical concern, given the high costs associated with gas procurement and injection, as well as the fluctuating nature of oil markets. Technical hurdles, such as ensuring the long-term stability of injected gases, managing heterogeneous reservoir conditions, and achieving effective gas distribution and displacement, also pose significant challenges. Environmental concerns, particularly related to CO_2 emissions and the development of sustainable gas injection methods, require ongoing attention and innovative solutions.

Continued innovation is essential to address the challenges and capitalize on the opportunities presented by gas injection EOR techniques. Ongoing research and development efforts are needed to further refine gas compositions, enhance injection methods, and improve monitoring and simulation technologies. Innovations in these areas can help reduce costs, increase recovery rates, and minimize environmental impact, making gas injection EOR a more viable and sustainable option for oil recovery.

Cross-industry collaboration plays a crucial role in overcoming the economic, technical, and environmental challenges associated with gas injection EOR. Partnerships between oil companies, technology firms, academic institutions, and environmental organizations can drive the development and deployment of advanced technologies, share best practices, and promote sustainable practices. Collaborative efforts can also facilitate the pooling of resources and expertise, reducing the financial burden on individual companies and accelerating the adoption of innovative solutions.

Gas injection techniques have the potential to revolutionize EOR by significantly enhancing oil recovery rates and extending the productive lifespan of mature reservoirs. The synergies between gas injection and other EOR methods, such as thermal, chemical, and microbial techniques, offer exciting opportunities for further improvement. By combining these methods, operators can achieve greater efficiency and effectiveness in oil recovery, ultimately maximizing the value of existing resources.

As the oil industry continues to evolve, there is a pressing need to adopt sustainable and efficient oil recovery methods. This requires a commitment to ongoing research and development, cross-industry collaboration, and the implementation of environmentally responsible practices. Operators must prioritize the development and deployment of greener gas injection techniques, ensure compliance with environmental regulations, and leverage advanced technologies to optimize EOR operations. By doing so, the industry can achieve a more sustainable and efficient approach to oil recovery, balancing the need for energy production with the imperative to protect the environment.

In conclusion, the future of enhanced oil recovery lies in the innovative application of gas injection techniques and their synergies with other EOR methods. By embracing continuous innovation, fostering collaboration, and committing to sustainability, the oil industry can overcome existing challenges and unlock the full potential of gas injection EOR, paving the way for a more efficient and environmentally responsible future.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Akbarabadi, M., Alizadeh, A. H., Piri, M., & Nagarajan, N. (2023). Experimental evaluation of enhanced oil recovery in unconventional reservoirs using cyclic hydrocarbon gas injection. *Fuel*, *331*, 125676.

[2] Albertz, M., Stewart, S. A., & Goteti, R. (2023). Perspectives on geologic carbon storage. *Frontiers in Energy Research*, *10*, 1071735.

[3] Al-Qasim, A. S., Kokal, S. L., & Al-Ghamdi, M. S. (2021, April). The State of the Art in Monitoring and Surveillance Technologies for IOR, EOR and CCUS Projects. In *SPE Western Regional Meeting* (p. D031S014R006). SPE.

[4] Al-Rbeawi, S. (2023). A review of modern approaches of digitalization in oil and gas industry. *Upstream Oil and Gas Technology*, *11*, 100098.

[5] Al-Shargabi, M., Davoodi, S., Wood, D. A., Rukavishnikov, V. S., & Minaev, K. M. (2022). Carbon dioxide applications for enhanced oil recovery assisted by nanoparticles: Recent developments. *ACS omega*, *7*(12), 9984-9994.

[6] Aravindan, M., Hariharan, V. S., Narahari, T., Kumar, A., Madhesh, K., Kumar, P., & Prabakaran, R. (2023). Fuelling the future: A review of non-renewable hydrogen production and storage techniques. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *188*, 113791.

[7] Ashry, I., Mao, Y., Wang, B., Hveding, F., Bukhamsin, A. Y., Ng, T. K., & Ooi, B. S. (2022). A review of distributed fiber–optic sensing in the oil and gas industry. *Journal of Lightwave Technology*, *40*(5), 1407-1431.

[8] Assunção, L. R., Mendes, P. A., Matos, S., & Borschiver, S. (2021). Technology roadmap of renewable natural gas: Identifying trends for research and development to improve biogas upgrading technology management. *Applied energy*, *292*, 116849.

[9] Atilhan, S., Park, S., El-Halwagi, M. M., Atilhan, M., Moore, M., & Nielsen, R. B. (2021). Green hydrogen as an alternative fuel for the shipping industry. *Current Opinion in Chemical Engineering*, *31*, 100668.

[10] Azuara Diliegros, B. L. (2021). Oil Potential of Middle Cretaceous Tight Carbonate in Mexico.

[11] Babalola, A. A., & Olawuyi, D. S. (2022). Overcoming regulatory failure in the design and implementation of gas flaring policies: the potential and promise of an energy justice approach. *Sustainability*, *14*(11), 6800.

[12] Babarinde, F., & Adio, M. A. (2020). A review of carbon capture and sequestration technology. *Journal of Energy Technology and Environment*, *2*.

[13] Bajpai, S., Shreyash, N., Singh, S., Memon, A. R., Sonker, M., Tiwary, S. K., & Biswas, S. (2022). Opportunities, challenges and the way ahead for carbon capture, utilization and sequestration (CCUS) by the hydrocarbon industry: Towards a sustainable future. *Energy reports*, *8*, 15595-15616.

[14] Burrows, L. C., Haeri, F., Cvetic, P., Sanguinito, S., Shi, F., Tapriyal, D., ... & Enick, R. M. (2020). A literature review of CO2, natural gas, and water-based fluids for enhanced oil recovery in unconventional reservoirs. *Energy & Fuels*, *34*(5), 5331-5380.

[15] Cao, C., Liu, H., Hou, Z., Mehmood, F., Liao, J., & Feng, W. (2020). A review of CO2 storage in view of safety and cost-effectiveness. *Energies*, *13*(3), 600.

[16] Davis, T., Monette, M., Nelson, J., Mayfield, C., Cunha, K., & Nguyen, Q. (2023, October). Using Foam Treatments to Control Gas-Oil Ratio in Horizontal Producing Wells at Prudhoe Bay. In *SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition?* (p. D021S022R001). SPE.

[17] Duncan, I. (2022). *CO2 Sweep Based on Geochemical, and Reservoir Characterization of the Residual Oil Zone of Hess's Seminole San Andres Unit* (No. DE-FE0024375). Univ. of Texas, Austin, TX (United States).

[18] Dziejarski, B., Krzyżyńska, R., & Andersson, K. (2023). Current status of carbon capture, utilization, and storage technologies in the global economy: A survey of technical assessment. *Fuel*, *342*, 127776.

[19] Eyinla, D. S., Leggett, S., Badrouchi, F., Emadi, H., Adamolekun, O. J., & Akinsanpe, O. T. (2023). A comprehensive review of the potential of rock properties alteration during CO2 injection for EOR and storage. *Fuel*, *353*, 129219.

[20] Farajzadeh, R., Glasbergen, G., Karpan, V., Mjeni, R., Boersma, D. M., Eftekhari, A. A., ... & Bruining, J. (2022). Improved oil recovery techniques and their role in energy efficiency and reducing CO2 footprint of oil production. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *369*, 133308.

[21] Ganat, T. A. A. O. (2020). *Fundamentals of reservoir rock properties* (pp. 978-3). Cham, Switzerland: Springer.

[22] Ghasemi, M., Suicmez, V. S., Sigalas, L., & Olsen, D. (2020). Impact of rock properties and wettability on Tertiary-CO2 flooding in a fractured composite chalk reservoir. *Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering*, *77*, 103167.

[23] Godoi, J. M. A., & dos Santos Matai, P. H. L. (2021). Enhanced oil recovery with carbon dioxide geosequestration: first steps at Pre-salt in Brazil. *Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production*, *11*(3), 1429-1441.

[24] Hampton, T. J. (2022, April). Enhanced Oil Recovery Screening of Oil Fields in Central California for ASP Alkali Surfactant Polymer. In *SPE Western Regional Meeting* (p. D011S001R002). SPE.

[25] Hamza, A., Hussein, I. A., Al-Marri, M. J., Mahmoud, M., Shawabkeh, R., & Aparicio, S. (2021). CO2 enhanced gas recovery and sequestration in depleted gas reservoirs: A review. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, *196*, 107685.

[26] Hassan, A., Azad, M. S., & Mahmoud, M. (2023). An analysis of nitrogen EOR screening criteria parameters based on the up-to-date review. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, *220*, 111123.

[27] Hu, R., Tang, S., Mpelwa, M., Jiang, Z., & Feng, S. (2021). Research progress of viscoelastic surfactants for enhanced oil recovery. *Energy Exploration & Exploitation*, *39*(4), 1324-1348.

[28] Hunt, J. D., Nascimento, A., Nascimento, N., Vieira, L. W., & Romero, O. J. (2022). Possible pathways for oil and gas companies in a sustainable future: From the perspective of a hydrogen economy. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *160*, 112291.

[29] Jensen, T. B., Lewis, A. M., Little, L. D., Neely, T. G., Scheihing, M. H., Stevenson, M. D., ... & Versteeg, J. R. (2023, May). Kuparuk Field Reservoir Management After 40 Years. In *SPE Western Regional Meeting* (p. D021S001R001). SPE.

[30] Jinzhou, Z., Wang, Q., Yongquan, H., Chaoneng, Z., & Jin, Z. (2019). Prediction of pore pressure–induced stress changes during hydraulic fracturing of heterogeneous reservoirs through coupled fluid flow/geomechanics. *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*, *145*(12), 05019001.

[31] Kamyab, H., Khademi, T., Chelliapan, S., SaberiKamarposhti, M., Rezania, S., Yusuf, M., ... & Ahn, Y. (2023). The latest innovative avenues for the utilization of artificial Intelligence and big data analytics in water resource management. *Results in Engineering*, 101566.

[32] Karimov, D., & Toktarbay, Z. (2023). Enhanced Oil Recovery: Techniques, Strategies, and Advances. *ES Materials & Manufacturing*, *23*, 1005.

[33] Kelemen, P., Benson, S. M., Pilorgé, H., Psarras, P., & Wilcox, J. (2019). An overview of the status and challenges of CO2 storage in minerals and geological formations. *Frontiers in Climate*, *1*, 482595.

[34] Khalili, Y., & Ahmadi, M. (2023). Reservoir modeling & simulation: Advancements, challenges, and future perspectives. *Journal of Chemical and Petroleum Engineering*, *57*(2), 343-364.

[35] Kheloufi, A., & Khatir, H. (2023). *Impact of the WAG Injection on the ultimate oil recovery factor* (Doctoral dissertation).

[36] Koshim, A. G., Sergeyeva, A. M., & Yegizbayeva, A. (2022). Impact of the Tengiz oil field on the state of land cover. *Quaestiones Geographicae*, *41*(2), 83-93.

[37] Kuang, L., He, L. I. U., Yili, R. E. N., Kai, L. U. O., Mingyu, S. H. I., Jian, S. U., & Xin, L. I. (2021). Application and development trend of artificial intelligence in petroleum exploration and development. *Petroleum Exploration and Development*, *48*(1), 1-14.

[38] Kudapa, V. K., & Krishna, K. S. (2023). Heavy oil recovery using gas injection methods and its challenges and opportunities. *Materials Today: Proceedings*.

[39] Kumar, N., Sampaio, M. A., Ojha, K., Hoteit, H., & Mandal, A. (2022). Fundamental aspects, mechanisms and emerging possibilities of CO2 miscible flooding in enhanced oil recovery: A review. *Fuel*, *330*, 125633.

[40] Kurien, C., & Mittal, M. (2022). Review on the production and utilization of green ammonia as an alternate fuel in dual-fuel compression ignition engines. *Energy Conversion and Management*, *251*, 114990.

[41] Leach, F., Kalghatgi, G., Stone, R., & Miles, P. (2020). The scope for improving the efficiency and environmental impact of internal combustion engines. Transportation Engineering, 1, 100005.

[42] López-Lorente, Á. I., Pena-Pereira, F., Pedersen-Bjergaard, S., Zuin, V. G., Ozkan, S. A., & Psillakis, E. (2022). The ten principles of green sample preparation. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 148, 116530.

[43] Lyu, Q., Tan, J., Li, L., Ju, Y., Busch, A., Wood, D. A., ... & Hu, R. (2021). The role of supercritical carbon dioxide for recovery of shale gas and sequestration in gas shale reservoirs. Energy & Environmental Science, 14(8), 4203-4227.

[44] Mahdaviara, M., Sharifi, M., & Ahmadi, M. (2022). Toward evaluation and screening of the enhanced oil recovery scenarios for low permeability reservoirs using statistical and machine learning techniques. Fuel, 325, 124795.

[45] Malozyomov, B. V., Martyushev, N. V., Kukartsev, V. V., Tynchenko, V. S., Bukhtoyarov, V. V., Wu, X., ... & Kukartsev, V. A. (2023). Overview of methods for enhanced oil recovery from conventional and unconventional reservoirs. Energies, 16(13), 4907.

[46] Massarweh, O., & Abushaikha, A. S. (2022). A review of recent developments in CO2 mobility control in enhanced oil recovery. Petroleum, 8(3), 291-317.

[47] Matkivskyi, S., & Kondrat, O. (2021). The influence of nitrogen injection duration at the initial gas-water contact on the gas recovery factor. Eastern-European Journal of Enterprise Technologies, 1(6), 109.

[48] McDonald, S., Correa, J., Commer, M., Dou, S., Freifeld, B., Ajo-Franklin, J., ... & Robertson, M. (2021). Intelligent Monitoring Systems and Advanced Well Integrity and Mitigation (No. DOE-ADM-FE0026517). Archer-Daniels-Midland Company, Decatur, IL (United States).

[49] Milad, M., Junin, R., Sidek, A., Imqam, A., & Tarhuni, M. (2021). Huff-n-puff technology for enhanced oil recovery in shale/tight oil reservoirs: Progress, gaps, and perspectives. Energy & Fuels, 35(21), 17279-17333.

[50] Mohamed Almazrouei, S., Dweiri, F., Aydin, R., & Alnaqbi, A. (2023). A review on the advancements and challenges of artificial intelligence based models for predictive maintenance of water injection pumps in the oil and gas industry. SN Applied Sciences, 5(12), 391.

[51] Nassabeh, M., Iglauer, S., Keshavarz, A., & You, Z. (2023). Advancements, Challenges, and Perspectives of Flue Gas Injection in Subsurface Formations: A Comprehensive Review. Energy & Fuels, 37(21), 16282-16310.

[52] Nikolova, C., & Gutierrez, T. (2020). Use of microorganisms in the recovery of oil from recalcitrant oil reservoirs: Current state of knowledge, technological advances and future perspectives. Frontiers in microbiology, 10, 481081.

[53] Norhasyima, R. S., & Mahlia, T. M. I. (2018). Advances in CO_2 utilization technology: A patent landscape review. Journal of CO2 Utilization, 26, 323-335.

[54] Onwuka, O., Obinna, C., Umeogu, I., Balogun, O., Alamina, P., Adesida, A., ... & Mcpherson, D. (2023, July). Using High Fidelity OBN Seismic Data to Unlock Conventional Near Field Exploration Prospectivity in Nigeria's Shallow Water Offshore Depobelt. In SPE Nigeria Annual International Conference and Exhibition (p. D021S008R001). SPE

[55] Osimobi, J.C., Ekemezie, I., Onwuka, O., Deborah, U., & Kanu, M. (2023). Improving Velocity Model Using Double Parabolic RMO Picking (ModelC) and Providing High-end RTM (RTang) Imaging for OML 79 Shallow Water, Nigeria. Paper presented at the SPE Nigeria Annual International Conference and Exhibition, Lagos, Nigeria, July 2023. Paper Number: SPE-217093-MS. https://doi.org/10.2118/217093-MS

[56] Osman, A. I., Mehta, N., Elgarahy, A. M., Hefny, M., Al-Hinai, A., Al-Muhtaseb, A. A. H., & Rooney, D. W. (2022). Hydrogen production, storage, utilisation and environmental impacts: a review. Environmental Chemistry Letters, 1-36.

[57] Ozowe, W., Zheng, S., Sharma, M. (2020). Selection of Hydrocarbon Gas for Huff-n-Puff IOR in Shale Oil Reservoirs. Journal for Petroleum Science and Engineering, 195(3), 107683

[58] Ozowe, W., Quintanilla, Z., Russell, R., Sharma, M. (2020). Experimental Evaluation of Solvents for Improved Oil Recovery in Shale Oil Reservoirs. In SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition, Denver, Colorado, October 2023. Paper Number: SPE-201743-MS. https://doi.org/10.2118/201743-MS

[59] Panes, P., Macariola, M. A., Niervo, C., Maghanoy, A. G., Garcia, K. P., & Ignacio, J. J. (2022). A bibliometric approach for analyzing the potential role of waste-derived nanoparticles in the upstream oil and gas industry. Cleaner *Engineering and Technology*, *8*, 100468.

[60] Rakhmetov, Z., Ismukhambetov, A., Sissenov, O., Click, C., Morrison, A., Takhanov, D., ... & Kabiyev, K. (2023, November). Lower Completions Design and Execution in the Complex ERD Well on Tengiz Oil Field. In *SPE Caspian Technical Conference and Exhibition*. OnePetro.

[61] Rylance, M., Kogsbøll, H. H., Cipolla, C., Montgomery, C. T., Smith, M. B., Norman, W. D., ... & Pearson, C. M. (2023, January). Tip Screen Out Fracturing Delivering Optimum Performance in Conventional Applications for 40 Years: Case Histories and Lessons Learned. In *SPE Hydraulic Fracturing Technology Conference and Exhibition* (p. D021S004R001). SPE.

[62] Seyyedattar, M., Zendehboudi, S., & Butt, S. (2020). Technical and non-technical challenges of development of offshore petroleum reservoirs: Characterization and production. *Natural Resources Research*, *29*(3), 2147-2189.

[63] Shabib-Asl, A., Chen, S., & Zheng, S. (2022). Performance of CO2 foam huff and puff in tight oil reservoirs. *Frontiers in Energy Research*, *10*, 826469.

[64] Shahab-Deljoo, M., Medi, B., Kazi, M. K., & Jafari, M. (2023). A techno-economic review of gas flaring in iran and its human and environmental impacts. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*.

[65] Sharma, J., Cuny, T., Ogunsanwo, O., & Santos, O. (2020). Low-frequency distributed acoustic sensing for early gas detection in a wellbore. *IEEE Sensors Journal*, *21*(5), 6158-6169.

[66] Sun, Y., Liu, J., Xue, Z., Li, Q., Fan, C., & Zhang, X. (2021). A critical review of distributed fiber optic sensing for real-time monitoring geologic CO2 sequestration. *Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering*, *88*, 103751.

[67] Tan, Y., Li, Q., Xu, L., Ghaffar, A., Zhou, X., & Li, P. (2022). A critical review of carbon dioxide enhanced oil recovery in carbonate reservoirs. *Fuel*, *328*, 125256.

[68] Tong, Q., Fan, Z., Liu, Q., Qiao, S., Cai, L., Fu, Y., ... & Sun, A. (2023). Research Progress in Nanofluid-Enhanced Oil Recovery Technology and Mechanism. *Molecules*, *28*(22), 7478.

[69] Tovar, F. D., Barrufet, M. A., & Schechter, D. S. (2021). Enhanced oil recovery in the wolfcamp shale by carbon dioxide or nitrogen injection: An experimental investigation. *SPE Journal*, *26*(01), 515-537.

[70] Vieira, R. A., Pizarro, J. O., Oliveira, L. A., Oliveira, D. F. B., Passarelli, F. M., & Pedroni, L. G. (2020, May). Offshore EOR initiatives and applications in Brazil: an operator perspective. In *Offshore Technology Conference* (p. D041S051R003). OTC.

[71] Wang, Y., Han, X., Li, J., Liu, R., Wang, Q., Huang, C., ... & Lin, R. (2023). Review on oil displacement technologies of enhanced oil recovery: state-of-the-art and outlook. *Energy & Fuels*, *37*(4), 2539-2568.

[72] Waqar, A., Othman, I., & González-Lezcano, R. A. (2023). Challenges to the implementation of BIM for the risk management of oil and gas construction projects: structural equation modeling approach. *Sustainability*, *15*(10), 8019.

[73] Wood, D. A. (2022). Sustainability challenges for the upstream sectors of the natural gas industry. In *Sustainable Natural Gas Reservoir and Production Engineering* (pp. 349-378). Gulf Professional Publishing.

[74] Yao, P., Yu, Z., Zhang, Y., & Xu, T. (2023). Application of machine learning in carbon capture and storage: An in-depth insight from the perspective of geoscience. *Fuel*, *333*, 126296.

[75] Yu, H., Song, J., Chen, Z., Zhang, Y., Wang, Y., Yang, W., & Lu, J. (2022). Numerical study on natural gas injection with allied in-situ injection and production for improving shale oil recovery. *Fuel*, *318*, 123586.

[76] Zhao, J., Ren, L., Jiang, T., Hu, D., Wu, L., Wu, J., ... & Du, L. (2022). Ten years of gas shale fracturing in China: Review and prospect. *Natural Gas Industry B*, *9*(2), 158-175.

[77] Zhao, K., Jia, C., Li, Z., Du, X., Wang, Y., Li, J., ... & Yao, J. (2023). Recent advances and future perspectives in carbon capture, transportation, utilization, and storage (CCTUS) technologies: A comprehensive review. *Fuel*, *351*, 128913.

[78] Zheng, X., Junfeng, S. H. I., Gang, C. A. O., Nengyu, Y. A. N. G., Mingyue, C. U. I., Deli, J. I. A., & He, L. I. U. (2022). Progress and prospects of oil and gas production engineering technology in China. *Petroleum Exploration and Development*, *49*(3), 644-659.

[79] Zhou, W., Xin, C., Chen, Y., Mouhouadi, R. D., & Chen, S. (2023). Nanoparticles for Enhancing Heavy Oil Recovery: Recent Progress, Challenges, and Future Perspectives. *Energy & Fuels*, *37*(12), 8057-8078.