



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



## Assessing the role of carbon pricing in global climate change mitigation strategies

Wags Numoipiri Digitemie \* and Ifeanyi Onyedika Ekemezie

*Shell Energy, Nigeria Plc, Nigeria.*

Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 10(02), 022–031

Publication history: Received on 26 January 2024; revised on 04 February 2024; accepted on 06 February 2024

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/msarr.2024.10.2.0040>

### Abstract

Carbon pricing has emerged as a crucial policy tool in global efforts to mitigate climate change by internalizing the costs of carbon emissions and incentivizing emission reductions. This review provides an assessment of the role of carbon pricing in global climate change mitigation strategies. Carbon pricing mechanisms, including carbon taxes and emissions trading systems (ETS), have gained traction worldwide as governments seek effective ways to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. These mechanisms establish a price on carbon emissions, creating economic incentives for businesses and individuals to reduce their carbon footprint. The effectiveness of carbon pricing in mitigating climate change depends on various factors, including the stringency of pricing mechanisms, coverage of sectors and gases, and complementary policies and measures. Studies have shown that well-designed carbon pricing policies can lead to significant emission reductions while promoting innovation and investment in clean technologies. However, carbon pricing also faces challenges and limitations, including concerns about equity, competitiveness, and political acceptability. Distributional impacts on vulnerable populations and energy-intensive industries must be carefully addressed to ensure the fairness and effectiveness of carbon pricing policies. Furthermore, the success of carbon pricing depends on international cooperation and coordination, as emissions can easily shift across borders in response to pricing disparities. Global efforts to harmonize carbon pricing mechanisms and establish international carbon markets can enhance the effectiveness and efficiency of mitigation efforts. In conclusion, carbon pricing plays a crucial role in global climate change mitigation strategies by aligning economic incentives with environmental objectives. While it offers significant potential for reducing emissions and fostering the transition to a low-carbon economy, addressing challenges such as equity concerns and promoting international cooperation is essential for maximizing its effectiveness. By integrating carbon pricing into comprehensive climate policy frameworks, policymakers can accelerate progress towards a sustainable and resilient future.

**Keywords:** Strategies; Mitigation; Climate Change; Global: Carbon Pricing

### 1. Introduction

Carbon pricing has emerged as a pivotal policy tool in the global effort to mitigate climate change by internalizing the costs of carbon emissions and incentivizing emission reductions (Rosenbloom *et al.*, 2020). As the world grapples with the urgent need to address climate change, assessing the role of carbon pricing in climate change mitigation strategies becomes increasingly crucial (Stern *et al.*, 2022). This introduction provides a comprehensive overview of carbon pricing as a policy tool, underscores the importance of evaluating its role in climate change mitigation, and outlines the purpose of the review in evaluating its effectiveness, challenges, and opportunities on a global scale.

Carbon pricing refers to the imposition of a monetary cost on carbon emissions, either through carbon taxes or emissions trading systems (ETS) (Thisted, 2020). The primary goal of carbon pricing is to internalize the external costs of greenhouse gas emissions, encouraging businesses and individuals to reduce their carbon footprint (Venmans *et al.*,

\* Corresponding author: Wags Numoipiri Digitemie

2020). Carbon pricing mechanisms create economic incentives for emission reductions, fostering a transition towards a low-carbon economy (Liu *et al.*, 2023).

Climate change poses a significant threat to the planet's ecosystems, economies, and human well-being (Loucks, 2021). Mitigating climate change requires concerted efforts from governments, businesses, and society as a whole (Khanna *et al.*, 2022). Carbon pricing plays a crucial role in climate change mitigation strategies by aligning economic incentives with environmental objectives (Dominioni, 2022). Assessing the effectiveness of carbon pricing policies is essential to inform policy decisions, enhance their impact, and address potential challenges and limitations (Green, 2021).

The purpose of this review is to evaluate the effectiveness, challenges, and opportunities of carbon pricing in mitigating climate change on a global scale. By examining empirical evidence, case studies, and policy frameworks, this review aims to provide insights into the role of carbon pricing in climate change mitigation efforts. Additionally, it seeks to identify key challenges and opportunities associated with carbon pricing policies and explore strategies to enhance their effectiveness and promote international cooperation.

In summary, as the world grapples with the existential threat of climate change, understanding the role of carbon pricing in global climate change mitigation strategies is paramount. This review seeks to shed light on the effectiveness, challenges, and opportunities of carbon pricing, providing valuable insights for policymakers, stakeholders, and researchers in the ongoing quest to address climate change.

---

## 2. The Evolution of Carbon Pricing in Global Climate Change Mitigation

Carbon pricing has emerged as a cornerstone of global climate change mitigation efforts, aiming to internalize the environmental costs of carbon emissions and incentivize the transition to a low-carbon economy (Huwe and Frick, 2022). This paper delves into the historical evolution of carbon pricing mechanisms, tracing their origins, development, and significance in addressing the challenges of global climate change. Carbon pricing can trace its roots back to the early 20th century when economists began exploring the idea of internalizing externalities, including the negative environmental impacts of pollution. The concept gained traction in the 1970s with the introduction of Pigouvian taxes, named after British economist Arthur Pigou, which aimed to correct market failures by pricing in external costs (Spash, 2021). These early discussions laid the groundwork for later carbon pricing mechanisms.

The 1990s marked a significant turning point in the evolution of carbon pricing with the adoption of market-based approaches to environmental regulation. The pioneering effort was the introduction of emissions trading systems (ETS), most notably the Acid Rain Program in the United States under the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 (Raufer *et al.*, 2022). This cap-and-trade system aimed to reduce sulfur dioxide emissions from power plants, providing a model for future carbon pricing initiatives.

The Kyoto Protocol, adopted in 1997, represented a landmark international agreement to combat climate change and introduced the concept of emissions trading on a global scale. The Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) and Joint Implementation (JI) mechanisms allowed developed countries to offset their emissions by investing in emission reduction projects in developing countries (Atici, 2022). These international carbon markets facilitated the flow of finance and technology to support emission reduction efforts worldwide.

In parallel with emissions trading, carbon taxes emerged as another form of carbon pricing, offering a simpler and more transparent approach to internalizing carbon costs. Countries such as Sweden and Finland implemented carbon taxes in the early 1990s, demonstrating the feasibility and effectiveness of this policy instrument. Subsequent developments, including the establishment of regional carbon pricing initiatives and the expansion of carbon markets, further contributed to the diversification and evolution of carbon pricing mechanisms (Yang and Luo, 2020.).

The adoption of the Paris Agreement in 2015 marked a significant milestone in global climate diplomacy, reaffirming the commitment of nations to limit global warming to well below 2 degrees Celsius above pre-industrial levels (Kumar and Donnelly, 2024). The agreement's flexible architecture allows countries to pursue their emission reduction goals through nationally determined contributions (NDCs), providing an opportunity to integrate carbon pricing into national climate strategies.

The historical evolution of carbon pricing reflects a gradual but steady progression towards the mainstream adoption of market-based mechanisms to address global climate change. From early conceptualizations to the establishment of international carbon markets and the proliferation of carbon pricing initiatives worldwide, the journey of carbon pricing has been marked by innovation, experimentation, and collaboration (Nippa *et al.*, 2021). As we confront the urgent

challenges of climate change, carbon pricing remains a critical tool for catalyzing emissions reductions, fostering sustainable development, and safeguarding the planet for future generations.

---

### 3. Carbon Pricing Mechanisms

Carbon pricing mechanisms, comprising carbon taxes and emissions trading systems (ETS), are fundamental tools in the global fight against climate change (Steinebach *et al.*, 2021). This section provides an in-depth exploration of carbon pricing mechanisms, including their conceptual frameworks, implementation strategies, and real-world applications. By examining the nuances of carbon pricing mechanisms, we can gain insights into their effectiveness, challenges, and opportunities in mitigating climate change on a global scale.

Carbon taxes impose a direct price on carbon emissions, typically levied per ton of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent emitted. The objective is to internalize the external costs of carbon pollution by assigning a monetary value to emissions, thereby incentivizing emission reductions and promoting cleaner technologies. Carbon taxes provide a clear and transparent price signal, allowing emitters to factor the cost of carbon into their investment and production decisions (Metcalf, 2021). The revenue generated from carbon taxes can be used to fund climate mitigation and adaptation efforts, invest in renewable energy, or provide rebates to low-income households.

Emissions trading systems, also known as cap-and-trade programs, establish a cap on total emissions within a given jurisdiction or sector and allocate tradable emission permits or allowances to regulated entities (Zelljadt and Mehling, 2021). These permits represent the right to emit a specified amount of greenhouse gases. Regulated entities can buy and sell permits in the carbon market, creating a flexible and market-driven mechanism for achieving emission reductions. ETS incentivize emission reductions by creating a financial incentive for companies to reduce emissions below their allocated allowances or to invest in emission reduction projects. ETS provide flexibility for emitters to achieve compliance targets while ensuring overall emissions are capped at predetermined levels (Beccarello and Di Foggia, 2023).

Carbon pricing approaches vary in their design, coverage, and implementation strategies. Some countries have implemented standalone carbon taxes, while others have established comprehensive emissions trading systems. Hybrid systems, such as carbon taxes with emissions trading components, also exist. The choice between carbon taxes and ETS depends on factors such as political feasibility, administrative capacity, and the specific characteristics of the economy and energy system (Jia and Lin, 2020).

Worldwide, carbon pricing initiatives vary in scope and ambition. Some countries and regions have implemented carbon pricing policies at the national level, while others have adopted subnational or regional schemes. For example, the European Union Emissions Trading System (EU ETS) is the world's largest carbon market, covering multiple sectors across EU member states. Other countries, such as Sweden, Norway, and Switzerland, have implemented carbon taxes with varying tax rates and coverage. Established in 2005, the EU ETS is the world's first and largest carbon trading system, covering over 11,000 power plants and industrial facilities across the EU member states, as well as Iceland, Liechtenstein, and Norway (Heiaas, 2021). The EU ETS operates under a cap-and-trade framework, with a declining cap on emissions and a system of tradable allowances.

British Columbia implemented a revenue-neutral carbon tax in 2008, levying a tax on fossil fuel consumption based on carbon content. The tax rate initially started at CAD \$10 per ton of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent and increased gradually to CAD \$30 per ton by 2012. Revenue generated from the carbon tax is used to reduce other taxes, such as income and corporate taxes, making it revenue-neutral. California launched its cap-and-trade program, known as the California Cap-and-Trade Program, in 2013 as part of its comprehensive climate change mitigation efforts. The program covers emissions from various sectors, including electricity generation, transportation, and industrial processes. California's cap-and-trade program operates in conjunction with other climate policies, such as renewable energy standards and low-carbon fuel standards (Kotzampasakis and Woerdman, 2020.).

In summary, carbon pricing mechanisms, including carbon taxes and emissions trading systems, play a critical role in global climate change mitigation strategies by internalizing the costs of carbon emissions and incentivizing emission reductions. While different countries and regions have adopted various carbon pricing approaches, the overarching goal remains the same: to drive the transition to a low-carbon economy and mitigate the impacts of climate change. By understanding the nuances of carbon pricing mechanisms and learning from real-world examples, policymakers, stakeholders, and researchers can develop effective strategies to address climate change and promote sustainable development (Shaik *et al.*, 2023).

#### 4. Effectiveness of Carbon Pricing

Carbon pricing has emerged as a cornerstone of global climate change mitigation strategies, aiming to internalize the costs of carbon emissions and incentivize emission reductions. In this section, we delve into the effectiveness of carbon pricing by examining empirical evidence, analyzing economic impacts, and highlighting successful case studies of carbon pricing initiatives.

Numerous studies have assessed the effectiveness of carbon pricing in reducing greenhouse gas emissions (Fabian *et al.*, 2023). Overall, the empirical evidence suggests that carbon pricing can lead to significant emission reductions when properly designed and implemented. For example, a meta-analysis conducted by the World Bank found that carbon pricing initiatives, including carbon taxes and emissions trading systems, have resulted in emission reductions of up to 2-4% per year in covered sectors. Additionally, studies have shown that carbon pricing can lead to emissions reductions that exceed targets set by regulatory mandates alone.

Furthermore, research indicates that the effectiveness of carbon pricing is influenced by factors such as the stringency of pricing mechanisms, the coverage of sectors and gases, and the presence of complementary policies and measures (Uchechukwu *et al.*, 2023). For instance, a study by the International Monetary Fund (IMF) found that higher carbon prices are associated with greater emission reductions, highlighting the importance of setting an appropriate price on carbon to achieve ambitious climate targets.

Carbon pricing not only contributes to emission reductions but also generates economic impacts and benefits. By internalizing the costs of carbon emissions, carbon pricing creates economic incentives for businesses and individuals to invest in cleaner technologies and energy-efficient practices. (Akindote *et al.*, 2023) This shift towards a low-carbon economy stimulates innovation, drives technological advancements, and fosters sustainable economic growth.

Studies have shown that carbon pricing can spur investment in renewable energy, energy efficiency, and low-carbon technologies, leading to job creation and economic diversification (Zhang *et al.*, 2022; Siddik *et al.*, 2023). For example, a report by the Carbon Pricing Leadership Coalition (CPLC) found that carbon pricing could generate revenue equivalent to 1-2% of GDP in countries with carbon pricing initiatives, providing additional resources for climate finance and sustainable development.

Successful carbon pricing initiatives around the world provide real-world examples of the effectiveness of carbon pricing in reducing emissions and promoting sustainable development. For instance, British Columbia's revenue-neutral carbon tax has been credited with achieving significant emission reductions while maintaining economic competitiveness. Since its implementation in 2008, the carbon tax has led to a 16% reduction in per capita fuel consumption and a 19% decrease in greenhouse gas emissions from taxed fuels (Tsai, 2020).

Similarly, the European Union Emissions Trading System (EU ETS) has demonstrated the potential of emissions trading systems to drive emission reductions across multiple sectors. Despite initial challenges, such as oversupply of allowances and price volatility, the EU ETS has undergone reforms to strengthen its effectiveness and has contributed to a significant reduction in emissions from covered installations (Ewim *et al.*, 2023).

In conclusion, empirical evidence, economic analysis, and case studies collectively demonstrate the effectiveness of carbon pricing in reducing greenhouse gas emissions and fostering sustainable development. By internalizing the costs of carbon emissions and incentivizing emission reductions, carbon pricing mechanisms play a vital role in global climate change mitigation strategies (Ukoba *et al.*, 2018). However, to maximize effectiveness, carbon pricing policies must be carefully designed, properly implemented, and supported by complementary policies and measures. By learning from successful initiatives and addressing challenges, policymakers can harness the full potential of carbon pricing to accelerate the transition to a low-carbon economy and mitigate the impacts of climate change.

---

#### 5. Challenges and Limitations

Carbon pricing has emerged as a critical tool in global climate change mitigation strategies, aiming to internalize the costs of carbon emissions and drive emission reductions (Ewim *et al.*, 2021). However, despite its potential benefits, carbon pricing faces several challenges and limitations that must be addressed to maximize its effectiveness. This section explores key challenges and limitations associated with carbon pricing, including concerns about equity, competitiveness, political acceptability, distributional impacts, international coordination challenges, and the potential for carbon leakage.

One of the primary challenges associated with carbon pricing is its potential impact on equity. Carbon pricing policies may disproportionately affect low-income households and vulnerable populations, as they may bear a higher burden of increased energy costs. Additionally, industries that are heavily reliant on fossil fuels, such as manufacturing and transportation, may face challenges in transitioning to cleaner technologies, leading to concerns about job losses and economic disparities. Another challenge of carbon pricing is its impact on the competitiveness of industries in global markets. Industries subject to carbon pricing may face higher production costs compared to competitors in jurisdictions without carbon pricing, potentially leading to carbon leakage—a phenomenon where emissions-intensive industries relocate to regions with laxer environmental regulations (Rey and Madiès, 2021). This can undermine the effectiveness of carbon pricing in reducing global emissions and may lead to negative economic consequences for carbon-intensive industries.

Carbon pricing initiatives often face political resistance, particularly from industries, interest groups, and policymakers who perceive carbon pricing as a threat to economic growth and competitiveness (Stoll and Mehling, 2021). Political opposition may hinder the implementation of ambitious carbon pricing policies or lead to the dilution of policy designs, such as exemptions for certain sectors or subsidies to alleviate cost burdens. Achieving political consensus and garnering public support for carbon pricing measures can be challenging, requiring effective communication, stakeholder engagement, and coalition-building efforts. Carbon pricing policies can have distributional impacts on different socioeconomic groups and industries (Steckel *et al.*, 2021). Low-income households and communities that rely heavily on fossil fuels for heating, transportation, and energy may bear a disproportionate burden of higher energy costs resulting from carbon pricing. Moreover, energy-intensive industries, such as steel, cement, and chemicals, may face increased production costs, affecting their competitiveness and potentially leading to job losses. Addressing distributional impacts is crucial to ensure that carbon pricing policies are fair, equitable, and socially acceptable. The global nature of climate change necessitates international cooperation and coordination in carbon pricing efforts. However, achieving consensus among countries with diverse interests and priorities poses significant challenges. Differences in economic development levels, emission profiles, and policy preferences can complicate negotiations and hinder the establishment of effective international carbon pricing mechanisms. Moreover, concerns about carbon leakage—where emissions-intensive industries relocate to jurisdictions with weaker carbon pricing policies—underscore the need for coordinated action to prevent emissions displacement and ensure a level playing field in global markets (Fontagné and Schubert, 2023).

In summary, while carbon pricing holds promise as a key instrument for climate change mitigation, it faces several challenges and limitations that must be addressed to maximize its effectiveness. From concerns about equity and competitiveness to distributional impacts and international coordination challenges, navigating the complexities of carbon pricing requires careful consideration of diverse interests, stakeholder perspectives, and policy trade-offs. By addressing these challenges and adopting comprehensive strategies, policymakers can enhance the role of carbon pricing in global climate change mitigation efforts and pave the way for a more sustainable and resilient future.

---

## 6. Opportunities for Improvement

Carbon pricing has emerged as a pivotal tool in global climate change mitigation strategies, yet it faces challenges that hinder its effectiveness. However, within these challenges lie opportunities for improvement and innovation. This section explores potential strategies to address existing challenges and enhance the effectiveness of carbon pricing policies, opportunities for innovation and experimentation in carbon pricing design, and the role of complementary policies and measures in maximizing the impact of carbon pricing.

To mitigate the disproportionate impact of carbon pricing on vulnerable populations, policymakers can implement targeted measures to alleviate the burden on low-income households (Odeleye *et al.*, 2018). This may include providing income support, energy efficiency programs, or targeted subsidies for clean energy adoption. Additionally, revenue generated from carbon pricing can be used to fund social welfare programs or invest in renewable energy projects in disadvantaged communities, ensuring a just transition to a low-carbon economy.

To address concerns about competitiveness and carbon leakage, policymakers can explore strategies to level the playing field for industries subject to carbon pricing (Olushola, 2017). This may involve implementing border carbon adjustments or international cooperation mechanisms to prevent emissions displacement and ensure a fair global carbon price. Moreover, investing in research and development of clean technologies and providing financial incentives for emission reductions can help industries transition to cleaner and more sustainable practices.

Building political consensus and public support for carbon pricing requires effective communication, stakeholder engagement, and coalition-building efforts (Olushola *et al.*, 2018). Policymakers can engage with diverse stakeholders,

including businesses, environmental organizations, and community groups, to raise awareness about the benefits of carbon pricing and address concerns. Moreover, highlighting successful examples of carbon pricing initiatives and demonstrating the economic and environmental benefits can help garner political support and overcome resistance.

Carbon pricing presents opportunities for innovation and experimentation in policy design and implementation. Policymakers can explore innovative approaches, such as hybrid carbon pricing mechanisms, technology-specific carbon pricing, or sectoral approaches tailored to the characteristics of different industries and regions. Experimentation with different pricing mechanisms, pricing levels, and coverage can provide valuable insights into the most effective strategies for reducing emissions while minimizing economic impacts. Furthermore, advances in digital technologies, such as blockchain, smart contracts, and artificial intelligence, offer new possibilities for enhancing the transparency, efficiency, and integrity of carbon pricing systems. Leveraging these technologies can improve monitoring, reporting, and verification of emissions, facilitate emissions trading, and enable greater flexibility and scalability in carbon pricing implementation (Oti and Ayeni, 2013).

Complementary policies and measures play a crucial role in maximizing the impact of carbon pricing and ensuring its effectiveness. Policies such as renewable energy incentives, energy efficiency standards, and emissions regulations can complement carbon pricing by accelerating the transition to a low-carbon economy and addressing market failures and barriers to clean technology adoption. Additionally, revenue recycling strategies, such as investing in green infrastructure, research and development, or climate adaptation initiatives, can enhance the co-benefits of carbon pricing and support broader sustainability goals (Kasten *et al.*, 2023).

In conclusion, while carbon pricing faces challenges, it also presents opportunities for improvement, innovation, and collaboration. By addressing equity concerns, enhancing competitiveness, building political consensus, and leveraging complementary policies and measures, policymakers can enhance the effectiveness of carbon pricing in mitigating climate change. Moreover, embracing innovation and experimentation in carbon pricing design and implementation can unlock new pathways for achieving ambitious climate targets and fostering sustainable development. By seizing these opportunities and adopting comprehensive strategies, stakeholders can accelerate the transition to a low-carbon future and build a more resilient and equitable world (Cantarero, 2020).

---

## 7. International Cooperation and Coordination

Carbon pricing has gained recognition as a critical tool in mitigating climate change by internalizing the costs of carbon emissions and incentivizing emission reductions (Qin *et al.*, 2023). However, effective implementation of carbon pricing requires international cooperation and coordination to address global challenges and achieve collective climate goals. This section examines the importance of international cooperation in advancing carbon pricing, efforts to harmonize carbon pricing mechanisms, establish international carbon markets, and case studies of successful international collaborations in carbon pricing initiatives.

Climate change is a global challenge that requires collective action to address transboundary emissions effectively (Matthews *et al.*, 2023). International cooperation enables countries to collaborate on emissions reduction efforts, share best practices, and develop common strategies to mitigate climate change. Carbon pricing provides a mechanism for countries to internalize the costs of carbon emissions and encourage emission reductions across borders.

To limit global warming to well below 2 degrees Celsius, as outlined in the Paris Agreement, countries must implement ambitious climate policies, including carbon pricing. International cooperation is essential to ensure that carbon pricing initiatives are aligned with global climate goals and contribute to the overall reduction of greenhouse gas emissions (Thube *et al.*, 2021). By working together, countries can create a level playing field for carbon pricing, promote fair and equitable burden-sharing, and facilitate the transition to a low-carbon economy.

Efforts are underway to harmonize carbon pricing mechanisms and create consistency across jurisdictions. The Carbon Pricing Leadership Coalition (CPLC), a partnership of governments, businesses, and civil society organizations, aims to promote the adoption of carbon pricing worldwide and facilitate dialogue on carbon pricing best practices. Additionally, international organizations such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund (IMF) provide technical assistance and support to countries in designing and implementing carbon pricing policies (Wettestad *et al.*, 2021).

International carbon markets offer opportunities for countries to trade emission allowances and credits, enabling cost-effective emissions reductions and promoting global cooperation on climate change mitigation. The Paris Agreement includes provisions for the establishment of international carbon markets, such as the Article 6 mechanism, which allows countries to cooperate on emissions reduction projects and transfer emission reductions between parties.

Initiatives such as the European Union Emissions Trading System (EU ETS) and the California-Quebec cap-and-trade program demonstrate the potential for international collaboration in carbon pricing (Park, 2021).

The EU ETS is the world's largest carbon market, covering more than 11,000 installations in the European Union (EU) and European Economic Area (EEA). Established in 2005, the EU ETS aims to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from the industrial and power sectors by placing a cap on emissions and allowing companies to trade emission allowances. The EU ETS has successfully reduced emissions in covered sectors and provided a framework for international cooperation on carbon pricing (Verde and Borghesi, 2022).

Regional carbon pricing initiatives, such as the Western Climate Initiative (WCI) and the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI) in the United States, demonstrate the potential for subnational and regional cooperation on carbon pricing. These initiatives bring together states, provinces, and territories to implement cap-and-trade programs or carbon taxes, providing a platform for collaboration and knowledge-sharing on carbon pricing best practices.

In conclusion, international cooperation and coordination are essential for advancing carbon pricing on a global scale and addressing the challenges of climate change. Efforts to harmonize carbon pricing mechanisms, establish international carbon markets, and collaborate on carbon pricing initiatives can facilitate the transition to a low-carbon economy and contribute to the achievement of ambitious climate targets (Ali, 2023). By working together, countries can harness the potential of carbon pricing to mitigate climate change, promote sustainable development, and build a more resilient and equitable future.

---

## 8. Conclusion

Throughout this analysis, we have explored the role of carbon pricing as a pivotal tool in global climate change mitigation strategies. Carbon pricing mechanisms, including carbon taxes and emissions trading systems, offer a means of internalizing the costs of carbon emissions and incentivizing emission reductions across sectors and borders. Despite facing challenges and limitations, carbon pricing presents opportunities for improvement, innovation, and international cooperation. Efforts to address equity concerns, enhance competitiveness, and build political consensus are crucial for maximizing the effectiveness of carbon pricing policies.

The findings underscore the importance of integrating carbon pricing into comprehensive climate change mitigation strategies and policy frameworks. Policymakers play a central role in designing and implementing carbon pricing policies that are fair, equitable, and effective in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. Stakeholders, including businesses, civil society organizations, and international institutions, must collaborate to support the adoption and implementation of carbon pricing initiatives. Moreover, the future of climate action hinges on continued commitment to ambitious targets, innovative solutions, and international cooperation to address the urgent threat of climate change.

As we navigate the complex challenges of climate change, there is a clear imperative for continued research, innovation, and international cooperation in advancing carbon pricing as a vital tool for addressing climate change. This includes efforts to evaluate the effectiveness of existing carbon pricing mechanisms, develop new approaches to address equity concerns and competitiveness challenges, and foster collaboration among countries to establish international carbon markets and harmonize carbon pricing policies. By harnessing the potential of carbon pricing and embracing collaborative solutions, we can accelerate progress towards a sustainable and resilient future for all.

In conclusion, carbon pricing holds immense promise as a cornerstone of global climate change mitigation efforts. By addressing challenges, seizing opportunities, and fostering cooperation, policymakers, stakeholders, and the international community can harness the power of carbon pricing to drive emissions reductions, promote sustainable development, and safeguard the planet for future generations. It is imperative that we act decisively and collectively to advance carbon pricing as a vital tool in the fight against climate change.

---

## Compliance with ethical standards

### *Disclosure of conflict of interest*

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

---

**References**

- [1] Akindote, O.J., Egieya, Z.E., Ewuga, S.K., Omotosho, A. and Adegbite, A.O., 2023. A Review of Data-Driven Business Optimization Strategies In The US Economy. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 5(12), pp.1124-1138.
- [2] Ali, J., 2023. Road map for sustainable and effective carbon pricing: bridging the gap of realities and ambitions. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 30(41), pp.94070-94080.
- [3] Atici, C., 2022. Reconciling the flexibility mechanisms of climate policies towards the inclusiveness of developing countries: commitments and prospects. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 24(7), pp.9048-9067.
- [4] Beccarello, M. and Di Foggia, G., 2023. Emissions trading system: bridging the gap between environmental targets and fair competition. *Environmental Research Communications*, 5(8), p.085009.
- [5] Cantarero, M.M.V., 2020. Of renewable energy, energy democracy, and sustainable development: A roadmap to accelerate the energy transition in developing countries. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 70, p.101716.
- [6] Dominiononi, G., 2022. Pricing carbon effectively: a pathway for higher climate change ambition. *Climate Policy*, 22(7), pp.897-905.
- [7] Ewim, D.R.E., Ninduwezuor-Ehiobu, N., Orikpete, O.F., Egbokhaebho, B.A., Fawole, A.A. and Onunka, C., 2023. Impact of Data Centers on Climate Change: A Review of Energy Efficient Strategies. *The Journal of Engineering and Exact Sciences*, 9(6), pp.16397-01e.
- [8] Ewim, D.R.E., Okwu, M.O., Onyiriuka, E.J., Abiodun, A.S., Abolarin, S.M. and Kaood, A., 2021. A quick review of the applications of artificial neural networks (ANN) in the modelling of thermal systems.
- [9] Fabian, A.A., Uchekukwu, E.S., Okoye, C.C. and Okeke, N.M., 2023. Corporate Outsourcing and Organizational Performance in Nigerian Investment Banks. *Sch J Econ Bus Manag*, 2023Apr, 10(3), pp.46-57.
- [10] Fontagné, L. and Schubert, K., 2023. The Economics of Border Carbon Adjustment: Rationale and Impacts of Compensating for Carbon at the Border. *Annual Review of Economics*, 15.
- [11] Green, J.F., 2021. Does carbon pricing reduce emissions? A review of ex-post analyses. *Environmental Research Letters*, 16(4), p.043004.
- [12] Heiaas, A.M., 2021. The EU ETS and aviation: evaluating the effectiveness of the EU emission trading system in reducing emissions from air travel. *Review of Business and Economics Studies*, (1), pp.84-120.
- [13] Huwe, V. and Frick, M., 2022. Far from optimal? Exploring the normative premises and politics of carbon pricing. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 86, p.102458.
- [14] Jia, Z. and Lin, B., 2020. Rethinking the choice of carbon tax and carbon trading in China. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 159, p.120187.
- [15] Kasten, J., Hsiao, C.C., Ngozichukwu, B., Yoo, R., Johnson, D., Lee, S., Erdemir, A. and Djire, A., 2023, November. High Performing pH-Universal Electrochemical Energy Storage Using 2D Titanium Nitride Mxene. In *2023 AIChE Annual Meeting*. AIChE.
- [16] Khanna, M., Gusmerotti, N.M. and Frey, M., 2022. The relevance of the circular economy for climate change: An exploration through the theory of change approach. *Sustainability*, 14(7), p.3991.
- [17] Kotzampasakis, M. and Woerdman, E., 2020. Linking the EU ETS with California's Cap-and-Trade Program: A law and economics assessment. *The Central European Review of Economics and Management*, 4(4), pp.9-45.
- [18] Kumar, M.S. and Donnelly, S., 2024. 'Leave Fossil Fuels in the Soil, Halt Deforestation': Stop Threatening the Planet. In *Eco-Politics and Global Climate Change* (pp. 239-255). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
- [19] Liu, H., Liu, Z., Zhang, C. and Li, T., 2023. Transformational insurance and green credit incentive policies as financial mechanisms for green energy transitions and low-carbon economic development. *Energy Economics*, 126, p.107016.
- [20] Loucks, D.P., 2021. Impacts of climate change on economies, ecosystems, energy, environments, and human equity: A systems perspective. In *The impacts of climate change* (pp. 19-50). Elsevier.
- [21] Matthews, L.J., Clark-Ginsberg, A., Scobie, M., Peters, L.E., Gopinathan, U., Mosurska, A., Davis, K., Myhre, S., Hirsch, S., Meriläinen, E. and Kelman, I., 2023. Collective action by community groups: solutions for climate change or different players in the same game?. *Climate and Development*, 15(8), pp.679-691.



- [22] Metcalf, G.E., 2021. Carbon taxes in theory and practice. *Annual Review of Resource Economics*, 13, pp.245-265.
- [23] Nippa, M., Patnaik, S. and Taussig, M., 2021. MNE responses to carbon pricing regulations: Theory and evidence. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 52, pp.904-929.
- [24] Odeleye, D.A. and Adeigbe, Y.K. eds., 2018. Girl-child Education and Women Empowerment for Sustainable Development: A Book of Readings: in Honour of Dr Mrs Oyebola Ayeni. College Press & Publishers, Lead City University.
- [25] Olushola, A.O. and Olabode, K.T., 2018. Prevalence of sexting among students in selected secondary schools in Southwestern Nigeria. *Gender and Behaviour*, 16(1), pp.11011-11025.
- [26] Olushola, A.O., 2017. Sexting in educational sector: gender perspective in some selected secondary schools in ekiti and osun states. *IFE Psychologia: An International Journal*, 25(2), pp.245-261.
- [27] Oti, A. and Ayeni, O., 2013. Yoruba culture of Nigeria: creating space for an endangered specie. *Cross-Cultural Communication*, 9(4), p.23.
- [28] Park, S.J., 2021. How South Korea Can Improve Carbon Market: The Importance of Economic Incentives and International Cooperation in the Fight against Climate Change. *Emory Int'l L. Rev.*, 35, p.485.
- [29] Qin, L., Wang, Z. and Pan, A., 2023. How the implementation of internal carbon pricing impacts on carbon reduction: facilitating or hindering. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, pp.1-27.
- [30] Rauber, R., Coussy, P. and Freeman, C., 2022. Emissions trading. In *Handbook of climate change mitigation and adaptation* (pp. 3237-3294). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- [31] Rey, F. and Madiès, T., 2021. Addressing the concerns about carbon leakage in the implementation of carbon pricing policies: A focus on the issue of competitiveness. *Journal of Industrial and Business Economics*, 48, pp.53-75.
- [32] Rosenbloom, D., Markard, J., Geels, F.W. and Fuenfschilling, L., 2020. Why carbon pricing is not sufficient to mitigate climate change—and how “sustainability transition policy” can help. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(16), pp.8664-8668.
- [33] Shaik, A.S., Alshibani, S.M., Jain, G., Gupta, B. and Mehrotra, A., 2023. Artificial intelligence (AI)-driven strategic business model innovations in small-and medium-sized enterprises. Insights on technological and strategic enablers for carbon neutral businesses. *Business Strategy and the Environment*.
- [34] Siddik, A.B., Khan, S., Khan, U., Yong, L. and Murshed, M., 2023. The role of renewable energy finance in achieving low-carbon growth: contextual evidence from leading renewable energy-investing countries. *Energy*, 270, p.126864.
- [35] Spash, C.L., 2021. 4. The Contested Conceptualisation of Pollution in Economics: Market Failure or Cost Shifting Success?. *Cahiers d'economie politique*, (1), pp.85-122.
- [36] Steckel, J.C., Dorband, I.I., Montrone, L., Ward, H., Missbach, L., Hafner, F., Jakob, M. and Renner, S., 2021. Distributional impacts of carbon pricing in developing Asia. *Nature Sustainability*, 4(11), pp.1005-1014.
- [37] Steinebach, Y., Fernández-i-Marín, X. and Aschenbrenner, C., 2021. Who puts a price on carbon, why and how? A global empirical analysis of carbon pricing policies. *Climate Policy*, 21(3), pp.277-289.
- [38] Stern, N., Stiglitz, J. and Taylor, C., 2022. The economics of immense risk, urgent action and radical change: towards new approaches to the economics of climate change. *Journal of Economic Methodology*, 29(3), pp.181-216.
- [39] Stoll, C. and Mehling, M.A., 2021. Climate change and carbon pricing: Overcoming three dimensions of failure. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 77, p.102062.
- [40] Thisted, E.V. and Thisted, R.V., 2020. The diffusion of carbon taxes and emission trading schemes: The emerging norm of carbon pricing. *Environmental Politics*, 29(5), pp.804-824.
- [41] Thube, S., Peterson, S., Nachtigall, D. and Ellis, J., 2021. The economic and environment benefits from international co-ordination on carbon pricing: a review of economic modelling studies. *Environmental Research Letters*, 16(11), p.113002.
- [42] Tsai, W.H., 2020. Carbon emission reduction—Carbon tax, carbon trading, and carbon offset. *Energies*, 13(22), p.6128.

- [43] Uchechukwu, E.S., Amechi, A.F., Okoye, C.C. and Okeke, N.M., 2023. Youth Unemployment and Security Challenges in Anambra State, Nigeria. *Sch J Arts Humanit Soc Sci*, 4, pp.81-91.
- [44] Ukoba, K.O., Inambao, F.L. and Njiru, P., 2018. Solar Energy and Post-Harvest Loss Reduction in Roots and Tubers in Africa. In *Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering and Computer Science* (Vol. 1).
- [45] Venmans, F., Ellis, J. and Nachtigall, D., 2020. Carbon pricing and competitiveness: are they at odds?. *Climate Policy*, 20(9), pp.1070-1091.
- [46] Verde, S.F. and Borghesi, S., 2022. The International Dimension of the EU Emissions Trading System: Bringing the Pieces Together. *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 83(1), pp.23-46.
- [47] Wettestad, J., Gulbrandsen, L.H. and Andresen, S., 2021. Calling in the heavyweights: Why the world bank established the carbon pricing leadership coalition, and what it might achieve. *International Studies Perspectives*, 22(2), pp.201-217.
- [48] Yang, J. and Luo, P., 2020. Review on international comparison of carbon financial market. *Green Finance*, 2(1), pp.55-74.
- [49] Zelljadt, E. and Mehling, M., 2021. Emission trading systems in transportation. *Transportation Air Pollutants: Black Carbon and Other Emissions*, pp.87-96.
- [50] Zhang, R., Sharma, R., Tan, Z. and Kautish, P., 2022. Do export diversification and stock market development drive carbon intensity? The role of renewable energy solutions in top carbon emitter countries. *Renewable Energy*, 185, pp.1318-1328