Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews
eISSN: 2582-9394
Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/msarr
Journal homepage: https://magnascientiapub.com/journals/msarr/

Magna Scientia
Open access publication

(Review Article)

Check for updates

Assessing the environmental footprint of the electric vehicle supply chain

Andrew Emuobosa Esiri [1,*], Jephta Mensah Kwakye [1], Darlington Eze Ekechukwu [2], Olorunshogo Benjamin Ogundipe [3] and Augusta Heavens Ikevuje [1]

[1] *Independent Researcher, Houston Texas, USA.*
[2] *Independent Researcher, UK.*
[3] *Department of Mechanical Engineering, Redeemer's University, Ede, Osun-State, Nigeria.*

Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews, 2023, 08(02), 219–227

Publication history: Received on 28 May 2023; revised on 24 August 2023; accepted on 26 August 2023

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/msarr.2023.8.2.0099

Abstract

The transition to electric vehicles (EVs) represents a crucial step towards reducing global carbon emissions and fostering sustainable transportation. However, the environmental footprint of the EV supply chain poses significant challenges that need to be addressed. This review paper assesses the environmental impacts associated with the EV supply chain, focusing on key stages such as raw material extraction, component manufacturing, and vehicle assembly. It highlights the substantial environmental costs, including land degradation, water pollution, and carbon emissions, particularly from the extraction of critical raw materials like lithium, cobalt, and nickel. The paper also explores the lifecycle carbon footprint of EVs, comparing it with that of conventional vehicles, and underscores the importance of sustainable practices in mitigating these impacts. Furthermore, it discusses regulatory frameworks, industry collaboration, and innovation as essential strategies for promoting sustainability in the EV supply chain. The paper concludes with a call to action for continued efforts in research, policy development, and industry practices to minimize the environmental footprint of EVs and advance sustainable mobility solutions.

Keywords: Electric Vehicles (EVs); Environmental Footprint; Supply Chain; Sustainable Practices; Raw Material Extraction

1. Introduction

Electric vehicles (EVs) have gained substantial popularity and importance in the global market over the past decade. This surge is primarily driven by growing environmental concerns, advancements in battery technology, and supportive government policies promoting clean energy. EVs are often lauded for their potential to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and decrease reliance on fossil fuels, presenting a promising alternative to conventional internal combustion engine vehicles (ICEVs). Unlike ICEVs, which emit significant amounts of CO2 and other pollutants during operation, EVs offer a cleaner mode of transportation by relying on electricity, which can be sourced from renewable energy (Cao, Chen, Qiu, & Hou, 2021; Jones, Nguyen-Tien, & Elliott, 2023).

However, while the operational phase of EVs is cleaner, it is crucial to consider the entire lifecycle of these vehicles to understand their true environmental impact. This involves examining the environmental footprint from raw material extraction through to component manufacturing and vehicle assembly. By doing so, we can comprehensively assess the environmental promises of EVs compared to their conventional counterparts (Emilsson & Dahllöf, 2019; Li, Xia, & Guo, 2022).

* Corresponding author: Andrew Emuobosa Esiri

1.1. Purpose and Scope

The primary aim of this paper is to assess the environmental footprint of the electric vehicle supply chain. This includes a detailed analysis of each stage of the supply chain, from raw material extraction to the vehicle's final assembly. By examining these stages, the paper seeks to identify the key environmental impacts associated with the production of EVs and propose potential mitigation strategies.

The scope of this assessment encompasses three major stages: raw material extraction, component manufacturing, and vehicle assembly. Each of these stages contributes uniquely to the overall environmental footprint of EVs, and understanding these contributions is essential for developing a holistic view of their sustainability.

1.2. Significance of the Study

Understanding the environmental impacts of the EV supply chain is critical for promoting sustainable development. As the adoption of EVs continues to grow, it is essential to ensure that their production processes are as environmentally friendly as their operation. This study holds significant relevance for policymakers, manufacturers, and consumers. For policymakers, it provides insights into areas where regulatory frameworks can be strengthened to support sustainable practices. Manufacturers can benefit from understanding the environmental hotspots in their supply chain and exploring opportunities for innovation and improvement. The study offers consumers a clearer picture of the environmental benefits and trade-offs associated with EVs, enabling more informed purchasing decisions.

2. The Electric Vehicle Supply Chain

2.1. Raw Material Extraction

The extraction of raw materials is the first crucial step in the electric vehicle (EV) supply chain, focusing primarily on the acquisition of lithium, cobalt, and nickel—key components for EV batteries. Lithium, often called "white gold," is extracted through two main methods: hard rock mining and lithium brine extraction (Antonakakis, Cunado, Filis, Gabauer, & De Gracia, 2018; Costa et al., 2021). Hard rock mining involves the excavation of lithium-bearing rocks, which are then processed to extract lithium. This method is energy-intensive and can lead to significant land degradation and habitat destruction. On the other hand, lithium brine extraction, prevalent in regions like South America's "Lithium Triangle," involves pumping lithium-rich brine to the surface and allowing the water to evaporate, leaving behind lithium salts. While less energy-intensive, this process requires large quantities of water, often leading to the depletion of local water resources and negatively impacting the ecosystems and communities that depend on them (Abraham, Sunil, Shah, Ashok, & Thomas, 2023; Costa et al., 2021).

Cobalt, predominantly mined in the Democratic Republic of Congo, poses severe environmental and ethical challenges. Artisanal and small-scale mining (ASM), which accounts for a significant portion of cobalt production, often lacks proper safety measures, resulting in hazardous working conditions and severe environmental degradation. Mining operations release toxic metals into the soil and water, causing contamination that can persist for years. Additionally, the carbon emissions from the extraction and processing of these metals contribute to global warming, highlighting the need for more sustainable mining practices (Gulley, 2023; Mac Kinnon, Brouwer, & Samuelsen, 2018; Mulugetta, Ben Hagan, & Kammen, 2019).

Nickel extraction, which is essential for high-energy-density batteries, also involves substantial environmental impacts. Traditional methods, such as sulfide and laterite mining, result in significant land disturbance and the generation of sulfur dioxide and other pollutants. These processes can lead to air and water pollution, adversely affecting both the environment and human health. The energy-intensive nature of nickel extraction further adds to the carbon footprint, underscoring the importance of developing cleaner extraction technologies (Jenkins et al., 2021; Ready, Roussanov, & Taillard, 2023).

2.2. Component Manufacturing

Manufacturing EV components, particularly batteries, is another critical stage in the supply chain with notable environmental implications. The production of lithium-ion batteries involves complex chemical processes that are energy-intensive and generate substantial waste. The cathode production process, for example, involves heating metal compounds to high temperatures, which consumes large amounts of electricity and produces greenhouse gases. Moreover, solvents and other chemicals used in the manufacturing process can lead to hazardous waste generation, requiring stringent waste management protocols to prevent environmental contamination (Emilsson & Dahllöf, 2019; Özelli, 2019).

Electric motors, another essential component of EVs, are typically made from materials such as copper, steel, and rare earth elements. The extraction and processing of these materials are energy-intensive and environmentally damaging. For instance, the production of neodymium, a rare earth element used in high-performance magnets for electric motors, involves extensive mining and chemical processing, resulting in toxic waste and radiation hazards. The manufacturing processes for these components also consume significant amounts of energy, contributing to the overall carbon footprint of EV production (Boretti, 2019; Emilsson & Dahllöf, 2019).

The environmental concerns extend beyond just energy consumption and emissions. Water usage in manufacturing is substantial, particularly in regions where water resources are already scarce. If not treated properly, wastewater from manufacturing plants can lead to water pollution, affecting local ecosystems and communities. Furthermore, the production of EV components generates solid waste, including scrap metal and defective parts, which need to be recycled or disposed of responsibly to minimize their environmental impact (Ahmad & Zhao, 2018; Asadi Dalini, Karimi, Zandevakili, & Goodarzi, 2021).

2.3. Vehicle Assembly

The assembly of electric vehicles involves integrating various components, such as the battery pack, electric motor, and other electronics, into a cohesive unit. This stage, while similar to conventional vehicle assembly, presents unique challenges and environmental impacts due to the nature of EV components, particularly the heavy and bulky batteries. The assembly process requires specialized equipment and facilities, leading to higher energy consumption compared to the assembly of traditional internal combustion engine vehicles (Emilsson & Dahllöf, 2019; Huang, Pan, Su, & An, 2018).

In terms of energy utilization, EV assembly plants often consume significant amounts of electricity, primarily due to the advanced robotics and automated systems used in the assembly lines. These systems, while enhancing efficiency and precision, contribute to the overall energy demand of the assembly process. Moreover, the production and integration of battery packs require controlled environments to ensure safety and quality, further adding to the energy requirements.

Resource utilization is another critical aspect of the EV assembly process. The materials used in EVs, such as lightweight alloys and composite materials, are often more energy-intensive to produce than the steel and aluminum used in conventional vehicles. Additionally, the assembly process generates waste in the form of scrap materials and defective parts, which need to be managed effectively to minimize environmental impact (Yang, Huang, & Lin, 2022; Ye et al., 2018). Comparatively, the environmental impact of EV assembly is often higher than that of conventional vehicle assembly, primarily due to the battery production and integration processes. However, advancements in manufacturing technologies and practices are helping to mitigate these impacts. For instance, the use of renewable energy sources in assembly plants, the adoption of energy-efficient equipment, and the implementation of waste reduction strategies are all contributing to a more sustainable assembly process (Lee & Manthiram, 2022; Porzio & Scown, 2021).

3. Environmental Impact Assessment

3.1. Carbon Footprint

The carbon footprint of the electric vehicle (EV) supply chain is a critical measure of its environmental impact, encompassing the entire lifecycle from raw material extraction to vehicle assembly. Significant carbon emissions are generated at the initial stage of raw material extraction. Lithium, cobalt, and nickel mining operations require substantial energy, often sourced from fossil fuels, leading to high CO2 emissions. For instance, the extraction and processing of lithium from brine or hard rock mining are energy-intensive processes that contribute significantly to the carbon footprint (Baxter, 2021; Kabeyi & Olanrewaju, 2022).

As we move to the component manufacturing stage, the production of lithium-ion batteries stands out as a major contributor to carbon emissions. The battery manufacturing process involves high-temperature treatments and chemical processes that consume large amounts of electricity. Unless this electricity comes from renewable sources, the carbon footprint remains considerable. For example, studies have shown that producing a single lithium-ion battery can emit up to 150-200 kilograms of CO2 equivalent per kilowatt-hour of battery capacity. Electric motors and other EV components also require energy-intensive manufacturing processes, adding further to the carbon footprint (Raugei, Peluso, Leccisi, & Fthenakis, 2020; Sovacool & Brisbois, 2019).

During the vehicle assembly stage, the integration of these components into the final vehicle continues to generate emissions. Assembly plants rely heavily on automated systems and advanced robotics and consume significant electricity and other resources. Although some manufacturers are transitioning to renewable energy sources for their assembly plants, the overall energy demand remains high (Alsharif, Tan, Ayop, Dobi, & Lau, 2021; Azarpour, Mohammadzadeh, Rezaei, & Zendehboudi, 2022).

When comparing the lifecycle carbon footprint of EVs with conventional internal combustion engine vehicles (ICEVs), EVs generally have a lower total carbon footprint. However, this advantage largely depends on the energy mix used for electricity generation. In regions where electricity is primarily generated from renewable sources, the carbon footprint of EVs is significantly lower than that of ICEVs. Conversely, the difference is less pronounced in regions relying heavily on coal or natural gas. Lifecycle assessments indicate that, despite the high emissions during the production phase, the lower operational emissions of EVs lead to a net reduction in carbon emissions over the vehicle's lifespan compared to ICEVs (Littlejohn & Proost, 2022).

3.2. Resource Depletion

The demand for raw materials in the EV supply chain has raised substantial concerns about resource depletion. Lithium, cobalt, and nickel are critical for battery production, and their extraction can have significant environmental and social impacts. The world's known reserves of these materials are finite, and their extraction is concentrated in specific geographic regions, raising concerns about supply security and geopolitical dependencies.

Lithium extraction, for example, is predominantly concentrated in the "Lithium Triangle" of Argentina, Bolivia, and Chile. The water-intensive extraction process in these arid regions poses sustainability challenges, as it can lead to the depletion of local water resources, adversely affecting agriculture and local communities. Cobalt mining, primarily in the Democratic Republic of Congo, often involves artisanal mining practices that deplete the resource and raise ethical concerns regarding labor conditions and child labor (Costa et al., 2021; Doose, Mayer, Michalowski, & Kwade, 2021).

Nickel, essential for high-energy-density batteries, is also facing supply constraints. Traditional nickel mining methods are environmentally damaging and energy-intensive, further exacerbating concerns about resource depletion. As the demand for EVs grows, so does the pressure on these natural resources, highlighting the need for sustainable sourcing and the exploration of alternatives. Potential alternatives and solutions to address resource depletion include the development of new battery chemistries that rely less on critical materials. For instance, research into solid-state batteries and sodium-ion batteries shows promise in reducing dependence on lithium, cobalt, and nickel. Recycling used batteries to recover valuable materials is another critical strategy. Effective recycling can help create a circular economy for battery materials, reducing the need for virgin material extraction and mitigating the impact on natural resources (Zhao, Reuther, Bhatt, & Staines, 2021).

3.3. Waste and Pollution

Waste generation and pollution are significant environmental concerns throughout the EV supply chain. At the raw material extraction stage, mining operations generate substantial amounts of waste rock and tailings, which can lead to soil and water contamination if not managed properly. The use of toxic chemicals in the extraction process further exacerbates the pollution problem, posing risks to local ecosystems and communities.

Hazardous waste is a major issue in the component manufacturing stage, particularly in battery production. The production of lithium-ion batteries involves chemicals and solvents that can generate hazardous waste, which requires proper handling and disposal to prevent environmental contamination. Wastewater from manufacturing plants, if not adequately treated, can lead to water pollution, affecting both human health and the environment (Hunt et al., 2020; Pakhtigian, Jeuland, Bharati, & Pandey, 2021).

The end-of-life disposal of EV components, especially batteries, presents significant recycling challenges. EV batteries contain valuable materials that can be recovered and reused, but the recycling process is complex and not yet fully optimized. Improper disposal of batteries can lead to the release of toxic substances, such as heavy metals and electrolytes, into the environment. This necessitates the development of efficient and environmentally friendly recycling technologies and infrastructure (Abraham et al., 2023; Guzmán, Faúndez, Jara, & Retamal, 2021).

Recycling initiatives are critical for mitigating the environmental impact of waste and pollution in the EV supply chain. Recovering valuable materials from used batteries can reduce the demand for virgin materials, lower the carbon footprint of battery production, and minimize waste. Policies and regulations promoting battery recycling and establishing take-back programs can significantly enhance the sustainability of the EV supply chain (Costa et al., 2021; Emilsson & Dahllöf, 2019).

4. Mitigation Strategies and Sustainable Practices

4.1. Sustainable Mining and Material Sourcing

Sustainable mining practices and ethical sourcing of raw materials are essential for minimizing the environmental footprint of the electric vehicle (EV) supply chain. Traditional mining practices often lead to significant environmental degradation, including habitat destruction, water pollution, and carbon emissions. Several sustainable mining practices have been developed to mitigate these impacts and are increasingly being adopted. For example, companies are investing in technologies that reduce water usage and minimize land disruption. Dry stack tailings, which eliminate the need for tailings ponds, significantly reduce the risk of water contamination and land subsidence (Dimitriadis, Zachareas, & Gazea, 2022).

Ethical sourcing of raw materials, such as lithium, cobalt, and nickel, is also crucial. The Responsible Cobalt Initiative and the Initiative for Responsible Mining Assurance (IRMA) are examples of efforts to promote responsible sourcing practices. These initiatives set standards for environmental performance, human rights, and community engagement, ensuring that mining operations minimize their impact on the environment and respect the rights of local communities. Certifications such as the Fairmined and Fairtrade standards for gold mining can serve as models for similar certifications in the EV supply chain, ensuring that materials are sourced in an environmentally and socially responsible manner (Bilham, 2021).

Additionally, the adoption of blockchain technology for supply chain transparency is emerging as a powerful tool to ensure the ethical sourcing of materials. Blockchain can provide traceability and verification, allowing consumers and manufacturers to track the origin of raw materials and ensure they meet ethical and environmental standards. This increased transparency can drive accountability and promote sustainable practices throughout the supply chain (da Cruz & Cruz, 2020).

4.2. Green Manufacturing Processes

The adoption of green manufacturing processes is pivotal for reducing the environmental impact of the EV supply chain. Energy-efficient and low-emission technologies play a central role in this transformation. For instance, manufacturers are increasingly utilizing renewable energy sources such as solar, wind, and hydroelectric power to reduce the carbon footprint of their operations. Using energy-efficient machinery and implementing smart manufacturing systems can further optimize energy use and reduce emissions (Yang et al., 2022).

The implementation of circular economy principles in manufacturing is another critical strategy. This involves designing products for longevity, repairability, and recyclability, thereby minimizing waste and promoting the reuse of materials. For example, modular battery designs allow for easier replacement of individual components, extending the battery's overall lifespan. Additionally, manufacturers are exploring ways to use recycled materials in their production processes. Closed-loop recycling, where end-of-life products are collected and recycled to produce new products, is an emerging practice that significantly reduces the need for virgin materials and minimizes waste (Farrukh, Mathrani, & Sajjad, 2023).

Waste reduction initiatives, such as lean manufacturing and zero-waste production goals, are also gaining traction. Lean manufacturing focuses on optimizing production processes to eliminate waste, improve efficiency, and reduce costs. Zero-waste production aims to ensure that all waste generated during manufacturing is either reused, recycled, or composted, thereby diverting waste from landfills and reducing environmental impact (Siew, 2019).

4.3. Innovative Technologies and Alternatives

The development and adoption of innovative technologies and alternative materials are essential for further reducing the environmental impacts of EVs. Advancements in battery technology, for instance, are crucial in this regard. Solid-state batteries, which replace the liquid electrolyte in traditional lithium-ion batteries with a solid electrolyte, promise higher energy density, improved safety, and a longer lifespan. These batteries also reduce the reliance on critical raw materials such as cobalt, which has significant environmental and ethical issues associated with its extraction (Featherman, Jia, Califf, & Hajli, 2021).

Another promising development is the exploration of alternative materials for battery production. Sodium-ion batteries, for example, use sodium instead of lithium, which is more abundant and less environmentally damaging to extract. Similarly, research into using graphene and other advanced materials could lead to more efficient and environmentally friendly batteries (Karabelli et al., 2020).

Innovative recycling technologies are also vital for sustainable practices in the EV supply chain. Current recycling processes for lithium-ion batteries are not fully efficient and often result in the loss of valuable materials. New methods, such as hydrometallurgical and direct recycling techniques, aim to recover a higher percentage of battery materials and reduce the environmental impact of recycling. These advanced recycling technologies can help create a closed-loop system where materials from end-of-life batteries are reused to produce new batteries, significantly reducing the need for new raw material extraction (Neumann et al., 2022). Furthermore, advancements in electric motor technology, such as the development of motors that do not rely on rare earth elements, can reduce the environmental impact of EV production. These motors use alternative materials and innovative designs to achieve high efficiency and performance without the environmental and ethical issues associated with rare earth mining (Zheng et al., 2022).

5. Policy Implications and Future Directions

5.1. Regulatory Frameworks

The regulatory frameworks governing the electric vehicle (EV) supply chain play a critical role in minimizing its environmental footprint. Existing regulations, such as the European Union's Battery Directive and the U.S. Clean Air Act, set emissions, waste management, and material sourcing standards in the EV industry. These policies aim to reduce the negative environmental impacts associated with battery production, vehicle assembly, and end-of-life disposal. However, despite these efforts, there is still a need for more stringent and comprehensive regulations to ensure the sustainability of the entire EV supply chain.

To strengthen regulatory frameworks, policymakers should consider implementing stricter emission standards for manufacturing processes and promoting the use of renewable energy in production facilities. Additionally, regulations should mandate the ethical sourcing of raw materials, requiring companies to adhere to standards that minimize environmental degradation and protect local communities. Governments could also introduce incentives for manufacturers to adopt circular economy principles, such as tax breaks or subsidies for using recycled materials and implementing take-back schemes for end-of-life batteries.

5.2. Industry Collaboration and Innovation

Collaboration among stakeholders in the EV industry is essential for driving sustainable practices. Manufacturers, suppliers, governments, and non-governmental organizations must work together to develop and implement solutions that address the environmental challenges of the EV supply chain. For instance, industry partnerships can facilitate the sharing best practices and technologies for sustainable mining, green manufacturing, and efficient recycling processes.

Innovation plays a pivotal role in mitigating the environmental impacts of the EV supply chain. Advancements in battery technology, such as the development of solid-state batteries and alternative materials, can significantly reduce reliance on environmentally harmful raw materials. Additionally, innovative recycling technologies can enhance the efficiency of material recovery from end-of-life batteries, promoting a circular economy in the EV industry. Collaborative research and development efforts can accelerate the adoption of these innovations, ensuring that the benefits are realized across the supply chain.

5.3. Future Research Directions

Despite significant progress in understanding the environmental footprint of the EV supply chain, several research gaps remain. Future studies should focus on developing more accurate lifecycle assessments that consider the entire spectrum of environmental impacts, from raw material extraction to end-of-life disposal. Research should also explore the potential of alternative materials and technologies that can reduce the environmental burden of battery production and vehicle manufacturing.

Additionally, studies need to investigate the socio-economic impacts of the EV supply chain, particularly in regions where raw materials are sourced. Understanding the implications for local communities and economies can inform more sustainable and equitable sourcing practices. Furthermore, future research should examine the effectiveness of existing regulatory frameworks and identify areas for improvement, ensuring that policies evolve in line with technological advancements and environmental goals.

Innovative recycling and waste management approaches are another critical area for future research. Developing efficient and cost-effective recycling methods for EV batteries and other components can help mitigate the environmental impact of waste and reduce the need for virgin material extraction. Studies should also investigate the feasibility of large-scale implementation of these recycling technologies, considering economic, technical, and logistical challenges

6. Conclusion

The electric vehicle (EV) supply chain, while promising significant environmental benefits over conventional internal combustion engine vehicles, also presents several environmental challenges. Key findings from the assessment of the EV supply chain include substantial environmental impacts from raw material extraction, component manufacturing, and vehicle assembly. The extraction of critical raw materials like lithium, cobalt, and nickel is associated with land degradation, water pollution, and high carbon emissions. Component manufacturing, particularly battery production, involves significant energy consumption, waste generation, and emissions. Vehicle assembly, although generally less environmentally intensive than traditional vehicle assembly, still requires substantial energy and resources. Furthermore, the lifecycle carbon footprint of EVs, while lower than that of conventional vehicles, is still significant, particularly in regions where electricity is generated from non-renewable sources.

While EVs offer a cleaner alternative to fossil fuel-powered vehicles, their production entails notable environmental costs. Balancing the benefits of reduced tailpipe emissions and decreased reliance on oil against the environmental toll of mining, manufacturing, and assembly is complex. The shift to EVs represents a critical step towards sustainable transportation, but it must be accompanied by efforts to mitigate the environmental impacts of their supply chain. Sustainable practices in raw material sourcing, advancements in green manufacturing technologies, and the adoption of circular economy principles are essential to achieving this balance. Moreover, the integration of renewable energy into all stages of the EV supply chain can significantly reduce its overall carbon footprint.

The transition to electric mobility is a pivotal component of global strategies to combat climate change and promote sustainability. However, minimizing the environmental footprint of EVs requires continued efforts in research, policy, and industry practices. Researchers must focus on developing more efficient and sustainable battery production and recycling technologies. Identifying alternative materials that are less environmentally damaging and more abundant is crucial for the long-term sustainability of the EV industry. Policymakers need to strengthen regulatory frameworks to ensure sustainable practices across the supply chain, incentivizing green manufacturing and ethical sourcing of raw materials. Policies should also promote the use of renewable energy in all stages of the EV supply chain.

Industry stakeholders must collaborate to share best practices and drive innovation. The adoption of circular economy principles, such as designing for disassembly and recycling, can help reduce waste and promote the reuse of materials. Manufacturers should invest in technologies that reduce energy consumption and emissions and work towards achieving zero-waste production goals. Consumers also drive demand for sustainably produced EVs, supporting brands and products that adhere to environmental standards.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Abraham, T. R., Sunil, K., Shah, M., Ashok, N., & Thomas, S. (2023). Energy storage devices: batteries and supercapacitors. Nanobiohybrids for Advanced Wastewater Treatment and Energy Recovery, 61.

[2] Ahmad, M., & Zhao, Z.-Y. (2018). Empirics on linkages among industrialization, urbanization, energy consumption, CO2 emissions and economic growth: a heterogeneous panel study of China. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 25(30), 30617-30632.

[3] Alsharif, A., Tan, C. W., Ayop, R., Dobi, A., & Lau, K. Y. (2021). A comprehensive review of energy management strategy in Vehicle-to-Grid technology integrated with renewable energy sources. Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments, 47, 101439.

[4] Antonakakis, N., Cunado, J., Filis, G., Gabauer, D., & De Gracia, F. P. (2018). Oil volatility, oil and gas firms and portfolio diversification. Energy Economics, 70, 499-515.

[5] Asadi Dalini, E., Karimi, G., Zandevakili, S., & Goodarzi, M. (2021). A review on environmental, economic and hydrometallurgical processes of recycling spent lithium-ion batteries. Mineral Processing and Extractive Metallurgy Review, 42(7), 451-472.

[6] Azarpour, A., Mohammadzadeh, O., Rezaei, N., & Zendehboudi, S. (2022). Current status and future prospects of renewable and sustainable energy in North America: Progress and challenges. Energy Conversion and Management, 269, 115945.

[7] Baxter, G. (2021). Decarbonizing international air cargo transportation's carbon footprint: A review of the world air cargo carrying airlines current and potential environment related measures and strategies. International Journal of Environment Agriculture and Biotechnology, 6(6), 265-290.

[8] Bilham, N. T. (2021). Responsible mining and responsible sourcing of minerals: opportunities and challenges for cooperation across value chains.

[9] Boretti, A. (2019). Electric vehicles with small batteries and high-efficiency on-board electricity production. Energy Storage, 1(4), e75.

[10] Cao, J., Chen, X., Qiu, R., & Hou, S. (2021). Electric vehicle industry sustainable development with a stakeholder engagement system. Technology in Society, 67, 101771.

[11] Costa, C. M., Barbosa, J. C., Gonçalves, R., Castro, H., Del Campo, F., & Lanceros-Méndez, S. (2021). Recycling and environmental issues of lithium-ion batteries: Advances, challenges and opportunities. Energy Storage Materials, 37, 433-465.

[12] da Cruz, A. M. R., & Cruz, E. F. (2020). Blockchain-based Traceability Platforms as a Tool for Sustainability. Paper presented at the ICEIS (2).

[13] Dimitriadis, D., Zachareas, E., & Gazea, V. (2022). Upgrading of a Tailings Management Facility for the Disposal of Dry Stack Tailings. Materials Proceedings, 5(1), 132.

[14] Doose, S., Mayer, J. K., Michalowski, P., & Kwade, A. (2021). Challenges in ecofriendly battery recycling and closed material cycles: a perspective on future lithium battery generations. Metals, 11(2), 291.

[15] Emilsson, E., & Dahllöf, L. (2019). Lithium-ion vehicle battery production-status 2019 on energy use, CO2 emissions, use of metals, products environmental footprint, and recycling. In: IVL Svenska Miljöinstitutet.

[16] Farrukh, A., Mathrani, S., & Sajjad, A. (2023). Green-lean-six sigma practices and supporting factors for transitioning towards circular economy: A natural resource and intellectual capital-based view. Resources Policy, 84, 103789.

[17] Featherman, M., Jia, S. J., Califf, C. B., & Hajli, N. (2021). The impact of new technologies on consumers beliefs: Reducing the perceived risks of electric vehicle adoption. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 169, 120847.

[18] Gulley, A. L. (2023). China, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, and artisanal cobalt mining from 2000 through 2020. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 120(26), e2212037120.

[19] Guzmán, J. I., Faúndez, P., Jara, J. J., & Retamal, C. (2021). Role of Lithium Mining on the Water Stress of the Salar de Atacama Basin.

[20] Huang, B., Pan, Z., Su, X., & An, L. (2018). Recycling of lithium-ion batteries: Recent advances and perspectives. Journal of Power Sources, 399, 274-286.

[21] Hunt, J. D., Byers, E., Wada, Y., Parkinson, S., Gernaat, D. E., Langan, S., . . . Riahi, K. (2020). Global resource potential of seasonal pumped hydropower storage for energy and water storage. Nature communications, 11(1), 947.

[22] Jenkins, K. E., Sovacool, B. K., Mouter, N., Hacking, N., Burns, M.-K., & McCauley, D. (2021). The methodologies, geographies, and technologies of energy justice: a systematic and comprehensive review. Environmental Research Letters, 16(4), 043009.

[23] Jones, B., Nguyen-Tien, V., & Elliott, R. J. (2023). The electric vehicle revolution: Critical material supply chains, trade and development. The World Economy, 46(1), 2-26.

[24] Kabeyi, M. J. B., & Olanrewaju, O. A. (2022). Sustainable energy transition for renewable and low carbon grid electricity generation and supply. Frontiers in Energy Research, 9, 743114.

[25] Karabelli, D., Singh, S., Kiemel, S., Koller, J., Konarov, A., Stubhan, F., . . . Birke, K. P. (2020). Sodium-based batteries: in search of the best compromise between sustainability and maximization of electric performance. Frontiers in Energy Research, 8, 605129.

[26] Lee, S., & Manthiram, A. (2022). Can cobalt be eliminated from lithium-ion batteries? ACS Energy Letters, 7(9), 3058-3063.

[27] Li, P., Xia, X., & Guo, J. (2022). A review of the life cycle carbon footprint of electric vehicle batteries. Separation and Purification Technology, 296, 121389.

[28] Littlejohn, C., & Proost, S. (2022). What role for electric vehicles in the decarbonization of the car transport sector in Europe? Economics of Transportation, 32, 100283.

[29] Mac Kinnon, M. A., Brouwer, J., & Samuelsen, S. (2018). The role of natural gas and its infrastructure in mitigating greenhouse gas emissions, improving regional air quality, and renewable resource integration. Progress in Energy and Combustion science, 64, 62-92.

[30] Mulugetta, Y., Ben Hagan, E., & Kammen, D. (2019). Energy access for sustainable development. Environmental Research Letters, 14(2), 020201.

[31] Neumann, J., Petranikova, M., Meeus, M., Gamarra, J. D., Younesi, R., Winter, M., & Nowak, S. (2022). Recycling of lithium-ion batteries—current state of the art, circular economy, and next generation recycling. Advanced Energy Materials, 12(17), 2102917.

[32] Özelli, T. (2019). The conundrum of neoclassical economic theory and quantitative finance induced 2008 financial crisis and the great financial crisis enabled transition from cheap oil based mass-production economy to the emergence of cheap microchip enabled information economy [attention merchants' surveillance capitalism]. Journal of Ekonomi, 1(1), 49-83.

[33] Pakhtigian, E. L., Jeuland, M., Bharati, L., & Pandey, V. P. (2021). The role of hydropower in visions of water resources development for rivers of Western Nepal. International Journal of Water Resources Development.

[34] Porzio, J., & Scown, C. D. (2021). Life-cycle assessment considerations for batteries and battery materials. Advanced Energy Materials, 11(33), 2100771.

[35] Raugei, M., Peluso, A., Leccisi, E., & Fthenakis, V. (2020). Life-cycle carbon emissions and energy return on investment for 80% domestic renewable electricity with battery storage in California (USA). Energies, 13(15), 3934.

[36] Ready, E. P. G. R., Roussanov, N., & Taillard, J. P. (2023). When Benchmarks Fail: The Day that WTI Died.

[37] Siew, R. (2019). Are we ready for circular economy? Towards zero waste in construction. Sustainable Buildings, 4, 2.

[38] Sovacool, B. K., & Brisbois, M.-C. (2019). Elite power in low-carbon transitions: A critical and interdisciplinary review. Energy Research & Social Science, 57, 101242.

[39] Yang, Z., Huang, H., & Lin, F. (2022). Sustainable electric vehicle batteries for a sustainable world: perspectives on battery cathodes, environment, supply chain, manufacturing, life cycle, and policy. Advanced Energy Materials, 12(26), 2200383.

[40] Ye, R., Henkensmeier, D., Yoon, S. J., Huang, Z., Kim, D. K., Chang, Z., . . . Chen, R. (2018). Redox flow batteries for energy storage: a technology review. Journal of electrochemical energy conversion and storage, 15(1), 010801.

[41] Zhao, Y., Reuther, T., Bhatt, A., & Staines, J. (2021). Australian landscape for lithium-ion battery recycling and reuse in 2020. Future Battery Industries Cooperative Research Centre.

[42] Zheng, S., Zhu, X., Xiang, Z., Xu, L., Zhang, L., & Lee, C. H. (2022). Technology trends, challenges, and opportunities of reduced-rare-earth PM motor for modern electric vehicles. Green Energy and Intelligent Transportation, 1(1), 100012.