



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



Frameworks for ethical data governance in machine learning: Privacy, fairness, and business optimization

Sunday Adeola Oladosu ^{1,*}, Christian Chukwuemeka Ike ², Peter Adeyemo Adepoju ³, Adeoye Idowu Afolabi ⁴, Adebimpe Bolatito Ige ⁵ and Olukunle Oladipupo Amoo ⁶

¹ *Independent Researcher, Texas, USA.*

² *Globacom Nigeria Limited.*

³ *Independent Researcher, Lagos, Nigeria.*

⁴ *CISCO, Nigeria.*

⁵ *Independent Researcher, Canada.*

⁶ *Amstek Nigeria Limited.*

Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 07(02), 096–106

Publication history: Received on 22 February 2023; revised on 14 April 2023; accepted on 17 April 2023

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/msarr.2023.7.2.0043>

Abstract

The rapid growth of machine learning (ML) technologies has transformed industries by enabling data-driven decision-making, yet it has also raised critical ethical concerns. Frameworks for ethical data governance are essential to ensure that ML systems uphold privacy, fairness, and business optimization while addressing societal and organizational needs. This review explores the intersection of these three pillars, providing a structured approach to balance competing priorities in ML applications. Privacy concerns focus on safeguarding individuals' data through strategies such as anonymization, differential privacy, and adherence to regulations like GDPR and CCPA. Fairness involves mitigating biases in datasets and algorithms to prevent discrimination and promote equitable outcomes. Business optimization emphasizes leveraging ML responsibly to maximize value without compromising ethical standards. The proposed frameworks integrate legal compliance, organizational policies, and technical solutions to achieve a holistic approach to ethical data governance. Key components include privacy-preserving techniques, fairness-aware ML models, and transparent decision-making processes. Challenges such as balancing trade-offs between privacy and utility, addressing bias in data, and ensuring scalability in implementation are critically examined. Case studies highlight successful applications of ethical data governance in real-world scenarios, demonstrating the viability of these frameworks in promoting both ethical integrity and business innovation. Emerging trends, such as federated learning, AI ethics boards, and international collaboration on data standards, are identified as pivotal for advancing ethical practices. This review emphasizes the necessity of embedding ethics throughout the AI lifecycle, from design to deployment and monitoring. By adopting robust governance frameworks, organizations can foster trust, comply with regulatory mandates, and harness the full potential of ML responsibly.

Keywords: Ethical data governance; Machine learning; Business optimization; Frameworks

1. Introduction

Machine learning (ML) is a transformative technology that enables systems to learn from data, identify patterns, and make decisions with minimal human intervention (Ahmed et al., 2020). By leveraging algorithms and statistical models, ML facilitates the extraction of actionable insights from vast amounts of data. The fundamental strength of ML lies in its dependence on high-quality data, which serves as the foundation for training and optimizing algorithms. As organizations increasingly adopt ML for decision-making, predictive analytics, and automation, the role of data

* Corresponding author: Sunday Adeola Oladosu.

governance has become indispensable. Data governance ensures the integrity, security, and privacy of data, and it is crucial for ensuring that ML models operate in a responsible, transparent, and fair manner (Deekshith, 2021).

Data governance, in the context of ML, involves the management of data quality, accessibility, and compliance with legal and ethical standards (Janssen et al., 2020). Effective data governance establishes protocols for data collection, storage, and sharing, ensuring that it is accurate, complete, and free from corruption. In ML applications, robust data governance is critical not only to ensure the quality and consistency of the data used but also to prevent issues related to privacy, security, and bias. Data governance frameworks address these concerns by establishing policies for how data is handled and processed, which in turn guarantees that ML models are trained on reliable data that accurately reflects the real-world scenarios they aim to model (Munappy et al., 2019; Chandrasekaran et al., 2021).

Despite the numerous benefits of ML, the technology raises significant ethical concerns, particularly when it comes to privacy violations, biases, and the potential trade-offs between optimization and fairness (Cooper et al., 2021; Pessach and Shmueli, 2022). The widespread use of ML has sparked concerns about how personal data is collected, stored, and used, often without full transparency or consent from individuals. In addition, biases can emerge in ML models due to biased training data or flawed algorithmic designs. These biases can perpetuate inequalities and result in decisions that disproportionately affect certain groups, such as in hiring, lending, and law enforcement. Furthermore, ML models designed to optimize business processes or profit margins may sometimes prioritize efficiency at the expense of fairness, transparency, or accountability (Katyal, 2019; Boppiniti, 2020). These ethical issues highlight the need for a framework that balances privacy concerns, fairness, and business objectives in the development and deployment of ML systems.

The purpose of this framework is to provide a comprehensive approach to ensuring that ML systems are built and operated in a way that upholds ethical standards while also achieving business goals. This framework aims to balance the competing demands of privacy, fairness, and optimization, ensuring that the benefits of ML can be realized without compromising ethical principles. It will address how organizations can adopt responsible data governance practices, mitigate the risks associated with biases, and make decisions that align with both business objectives and societal values. As ML continues to shape industries ranging from healthcare to finance and beyond, implementing this framework is essential for guiding the ethical development and deployment of these technologies (Char et al., 2020).

2. Ethical Principles in Data Governance

In the era of machine learning (ML) and data-driven technologies, ethical principles in data governance are crucial to ensuring that the benefits of these technologies are realized while safeguarding fundamental rights and values (Bachmann et al., 2022; Sapienza, 2022). As organizations increasingly rely on ML to drive decision-making, optimizing business operations, and predicting consumer behavior, the ethical considerations surrounding data collection, usage, and processing become paramount. Privacy, fairness, and business optimization are three central pillars in the ethical governance of data. This explores these principles in depth, discussing their relevance in the context of ML and the steps organizations must take to address the ethical challenges inherent in the use of data.

Privacy is one of the most important ethical principles in data governance. In the context of machine learning, privacy refers to the protection of personal information from unauthorized access, use, or disclosure (Liu et al., 2020). With the extensive collection of personal and sensitive data from consumers, privacy violations can have significant implications, not only for individuals but also for organizations and society at large. The rise of ML technologies that utilize big data increases the potential for privacy breaches, highlighting the importance of robust privacy protection mechanisms. Data minimization is a key aspect of privacy in ML. This principle involves collecting only the data necessary for a specific purpose and avoiding excessive or unnecessary data collection. Anonymization, the process of removing personally identifiable information from datasets, is another important technique for ensuring privacy (Chevrier et al., 2019). By anonymizing data, organizations can protect individual identities while still enabling the use of the data for analytical and predictive purposes. Additionally, strict regulations such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in the European Union and the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA) in the United States have been implemented to enforce privacy rights, including the right to data access, correction, and deletion (Alexander, 2019; Mulligan et al., 2019). These regulations mandate that organizations inform users about the collection and processing of their data, obtain explicit consent, and ensure that their data is used responsibly.

Fairness is a central ethical consideration in machine learning, especially in ensuring that the algorithms produce outcomes that do not disproportionately harm or discriminate against certain individuals or groups (Simons et al., 2021; Tsamados et al., 2021). Fairness in ML refers to the concept that the decision-making processes of algorithms should be impartial, equitable, and just. However, fairness in ML is a complex issue, as biases often emerge from the data used to

train models. These biases can perpetuate existing social inequalities, leading to discriminatory outcomes. Addressing bias and discrimination in datasets is crucial to achieving fairness in ML systems. Data used in machine learning can reflect historical prejudices, societal biases, or imbalances that may manifest in biased outcomes (Ntoutsi et al., 2020; Adewusi et al., 2023). For example, if a dataset used for training an algorithm is predominantly composed of data from a particular demographic group, the algorithm may underperform or be unfair to individuals from other demographic groups. This is often referred to as algorithmic bias. Addressing such bias involves using techniques such as bias detection, dataset balancing, and incorporating fairness constraints into model development. Researchers and practitioners must ensure that ML models are trained on diverse, representative datasets to prevent biased predictions. The concept of equity versus equality also plays a role in fairness (Minow, 2021). Equity involves providing individuals with the resources or opportunities they need to achieve similar outcomes, while equality involves treating all individuals the same. In ML, achieving equity may mean adjusting for disparities in the data or outcomes to ensure that disadvantaged groups receive fair treatment, while equality might involve applying the same model to all individuals without regard to their specific circumstances. Striking a balance between these two approaches is essential for ensuring that machine learning systems produce outcomes that are both fair and just (Gözl et al., 2019).

Machine learning and data-driven technologies offer significant opportunities for businesses to optimize operations, improve customer experiences, and maximize profits (Grandhi et al., 2021). However, as businesses use ML to gain a competitive edge, ethical considerations must be integrated into the optimization process. Profit maximization should not come at the expense of individuals' rights or ethical values. This is particularly relevant in the use of personal data for targeted marketing, credit scoring, and other business processes where consumer data can be exploited. Ethical considerations in business optimization require that organizations balance innovation with accountability. While businesses strive for efficiency and growth, they must ensure that their data practices align with ethical standards and societal expectations (Behera et al., 2022). This includes transparent data usage policies, responsible AI practices, and the minimization of harm. For instance, an e-commerce platform may optimize recommendations based on user data, but ethical concerns arise if those recommendations manipulate consumer behavior in a way that undermines informed decision-making or exploits vulnerabilities. To balance these concerns, organizations should ensure that their ML-driven optimization processes are transparent, explainable, and designed with fairness and privacy in mind. Furthermore, organizations should engage in ethical decision-making by considering the long-term implications of their ML practices (Balasubramanian et al., 2022). This involves proactively addressing potential risks and unintended consequences of business optimization. For example, while ML models can improve efficiency and profitability, they can also lead to job displacement or exacerbate inequality. Responsible business optimization involves making decisions that not only benefit the organization but also contribute to the well-being of society as a whole (Adewusi et al., 2023).

Ethical principles such as privacy, fairness, and business optimization are foundational to data governance in the age of machine learning (Pike, 2019). These principles help ensure that ML systems are developed and deployed in a way that protects individual rights, promotes equity, and supports responsible business practices. As organizations continue to integrate machine learning into their operations, they must prioritize these ethical considerations to maintain trust, ensure compliance with regulations, and foster a positive societal impact. By addressing these ethical concerns, organizations can harness the power of machine learning while ensuring that it is used in a fair, responsible, and accountable manner (Chauhan and Gullapalli, 2021).

2.1. Frameworks for Ethical Data Governance

In an increasingly data-driven world, the ethical management of data is paramount, particularly as organizations leverage machine learning (ML) to drive innovation and decision-making (Susnjak et al., 2022). Data governance frameworks must be built on robust legal, organizational, and technical foundations to ensure that data usage aligns with ethical principles. These frameworks aim to protect individual rights, maintain fairness, and ensure transparency in data practices. This outlines key elements of ethical data governance, including legal and regulatory compliance, organizational policies, and technical frameworks.

Legal and regulatory compliance forms the bedrock of any ethical data governance framework. Governments across the globe have enacted laws that regulate data collection, processing, and sharing to protect citizens' privacy and ensure fairness in data usage (Kuzio et al., 2022). Key regulations, such as the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA), establish strict guidelines on how organizations must handle personal data. These regulations emphasize the principles of data minimization, consent, and transparency, requiring organizations to inform individuals about data collection practices and obtain explicit consent for data usage. GDPR, for example, grants individuals the right to access their data, request corrections, and delete data if desired, all of which hold organizations accountable for their data practices. It also introduces the concept of "data subject rights," which empowers individuals to control how their data is used and shared. Similarly, industry-specific regulations, such

as the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) for healthcare data or the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA) for financial data, add further layers of protection by imposing additional compliance requirements tailored to the specific needs of sectors handling sensitive data. Compliance with these laws is crucial not only for legal reasons but also to maintain public trust and avoid substantial penalties for non-compliance (Shodunke, 2022).

Beyond legal compliance, organizations must develop data usage policies that align with ethical principles. These policies outline how data is to be collected, stored, shared, and processed within the organization, ensuring that all activities are conducted with respect to privacy, fairness, and transparency (Abiteboul and Stoyanovich, 2019; Young et al., 2019). A core element of ethical governance is the creation of clear data usage guidelines that promote data minimization ensuring that only the necessary amount of data is collected and processed. Moreover, organizational policies should establish accountability and oversight mechanisms to ensure ethical data practices are consistently followed. This can involve appointing data protection officers (DPOs) or ethics boards responsible for overseeing data governance and ensuring compliance with internal policies (Boppana, 2021; Adewusi et al., 2022). The DPO or ethics board should review data collection practices, assess data-related risks, and ensure that all data usage decisions align with both organizational goals and ethical standards. Additionally, organizations should foster a culture of ethical awareness by training employees on the importance of privacy, fairness, and the potential consequences of unethical data practices (Chang, 2021).

Incorporating technical frameworks into ethical data governance is essential for ensuring privacy, fairness, and transparency in machine learning. Privacy-preserving techniques, such as differential privacy and federated learning, offer innovative ways to protect individual privacy while enabling meaningful data analysis (Thota et al., 2019). Differential privacy adds noise to datasets in a way that ensures individual data cannot be re-identified, even when data is analyzed at scale. Federated learning, on the other hand, enables machine learning models to be trained across decentralized data sources without transferring the raw data itself, thus preserving privacy while still leveraging the power of machine learning. Fairness-aware machine learning algorithms are another important technical aspect of ethical data governance. These algorithms are designed to detect and mitigate bias in data and ensure that ML models produce equitable outcomes across different demographic groups. Techniques such as re-weighting training data, adjusting decision thresholds, and incorporating fairness constraints into model optimization are essential for reducing biases and promoting fairness in predictive models. Tools such as Fairness Indicators and IBM AI Fairness 360 can assist organizations in evaluating and improving fairness in their ML models. Furthermore, decision-making models for ethical trade-offs are critical when balancing competing ethical considerations. For example, organizations may face trade-offs between maximizing business outcomes and ensuring privacy or fairness. Decision-making models, such as the Fairness-Utility trade-off framework, help quantify these competing priorities, allowing organizations to make informed decisions that align with their ethical values (Hertweck et al., 2022). These frameworks also enable decision-makers to assess the impact of their choices on different stakeholder groups, ensuring that the outcomes are just and responsible.

Developing frameworks for ethical data governance requires a multi-faceted approach that combines legal compliance, organizational policies, and technical innovations (Yeung and Bygrave, 2022). By aligning data governance with legal requirements, organizations can ensure that they meet the expectations set by regulators while fostering public trust. Organizational policies provide a foundation for ethical decision-making and accountability, while technical frameworks such as privacy-preserving techniques and fairness-aware ML algorithms ensure that ethical principles are upheld in practice. As data and machine learning continue to shape the future of business and society, organizations must prioritize the development of ethical data governance frameworks to protect privacy, promote fairness, and ensure the responsible use of data.

2.2. Challenges in Ethical Data Governance

As organizations increasingly rely on data-driven insights to drive business decisions, the ethical governance of data has become a critical consideration (Walker and Moran, 2019). However, the application of ethical principles in data governance is fraught with challenges that require careful balancing of competing priorities, addressing data quality issues, and ensuring the scalability of governance frameworks. This explores these challenges, including the tensions between privacy and utility, data quality and bias, and the difficulties of implementing ethical frameworks at scale.

One of the primary challenges in ethical data governance is balancing competing priorities such as privacy, utility, and fairness. In the context of machine learning (ML), there is often a conflict between protecting individuals' privacy and utilizing data to its fullest potential. For example, privacy-preserving techniques such as anonymization and data minimization, which ensure that sensitive data is protected, can sometimes limit the granularity and utility of the data. Organizations may struggle to strike the right balance between extracting valuable insights from data and ensuring that

individuals' privacy rights are respected (Carrigan et al., 2021). Moreover, the increasing demand for personalized experiences can further complicate this balance. Personalization often relies on extensive data collection, which can raise concerns about the overreach of data usage. Another area where competing priorities come into play is fairness versus business optimization. Many organizations prioritize business objectives, such as maximizing customer acquisition or profit margins, which can sometimes lead to decisions that inadvertently favor one group over another. For instance, an ML model that optimizes for business outcomes may unintentionally create biases, excluding certain demographic groups or favoring others in ways that are not equitable. Ensuring fairness in machine learning models, while simultaneously optimizing for business objectives, requires organizations to carefully consider trade-offs and adopt strategies that do not compromise on ethical values.

Another significant challenge in ethical data governance is ensuring data quality and mitigating bias. The quality of data directly impacts the performance and fairness of ML models, and biased or incomplete data can lead to skewed or unfair outcomes (Mehrabi et al., 2021). Biased data often stems from historical inequalities or underrepresentation of certain groups in datasets, leading to discriminatory outcomes. For instance, facial recognition systems trained on predominantly white or male datasets may perform poorly when applied to individuals from other racial or gender backgrounds. These biases are not only unethical but can also undermine the credibility and effectiveness of ML models in real-world applications. Mitigating data bias requires several strategies, such as improving data collection methods to ensure diversity and representation, implementing fairness-aware algorithms, and performing rigorous audits of data sources (Orphanou et al., 2022). Additionally, data validation and preprocessing techniques, such as re-sampling, re-weighting, or introducing fairness constraints, can help address disparities in datasets. Despite these strategies, completely eliminating bias is challenging, as data often reflect societal inequalities that may be difficult to correct. Thus, organizations must remain vigilant in monitoring and updating their datasets to minimize bias and enhance fairness.

As organizations grow and data volumes increase, the challenge of implementing ethical data governance frameworks at scale becomes more pronounced. Large-scale systems with vast amounts of data require sophisticated tools and techniques to ensure compliance with ethical principles across all data touchpoints (Aslam and Tokura, 2020). Applying ethical standards to diverse data sources and ensuring consistent governance across different departments, teams, or even regions can be logistically challenging. Furthermore, ethical principles must be embedded into every stage of the data lifecycle, from collection to processing and analysis, necessitating the integration of governance practices into complex systems. Implementing ethical data governance frameworks also requires cross-disciplinary collaboration between legal, technical, and business teams. Legal professionals must ensure compliance with data protection laws such as GDPR, while data scientists and machine learning experts must develop techniques to mitigate bias and ensure fairness in algorithms. Business leaders play a crucial role in aligning data governance practices with organizational goals and ensuring that ethical principles are not compromised for short-term gains. However, coordinating such a broad effort can be difficult, as different teams may have competing priorities or lack a unified understanding of what constitutes ethical data governance. The challenges in ethical data governance are multifaceted and require a holistic approach to address. Balancing the competing priorities of privacy, utility, and fairness is a constant challenge, especially as organizations seek to maximize the value of their data (Habibzadeh et al., 2019). Ensuring data quality and mitigating bias requires concerted efforts across the data collection, processing, and analysis stages, while the scalability of ethical frameworks remains a significant hurdle in large-scale systems. Overcoming these challenges will require a concerted effort from organizations, regulators, and technical experts to develop solutions that prioritize ethical values without compromising on business objectives. As data governance frameworks continue to evolve, addressing these challenges will be essential to ensuring the responsible and fair use of data in the age of machine learning.

2.3. Case Studies and Practical Applications

The application of ethical principles in data governance, particularly in machine learning (ML) systems, has gained significant attention due to the increasing impact of AI on everyday business practices (Dwivedi et al., 2021). This examines practical case studies where privacy-focused techniques, fairness in ML, and ethical governance practices have been applied to enhance data-driven decision-making and business outcomes. These examples highlight the importance of balancing ethical considerations with business objectives, ensuring that organizations adopt responsible practices in deploying ML systems.

Privacy-preserving machine learning techniques have become essential for organizations looking to protect user data while still gaining valuable insights from it. One prominent example of this is Apple's implementation of differential privacy, a technique that adds noise to data to ensure individual privacy without sacrificing analytical power. Apple uses this technique across its ecosystem, such as in iOS and macOS, where it collects user data like usage patterns without accessing specific user information (Heinze et al., 2020). Differential privacy allows Apple to provide personalized experiences without compromising user privacy, demonstrating a strong commitment to ethical data governance.

Another example is Google's use of Federated Learning, a privacy-focused ML technique that allows models to be trained on decentralized data sources. In this approach, data is processed locally on user devices, and only model updates (rather than raw data) are sent to the server. This technique ensures that sensitive information, such as browsing habits and personal messages, never leaves the user's device, thus preserving privacy (Jain et al., 2021). By deploying Federated Learning, Google addresses privacy concerns while continuing to provide highly personalized services such as predictive text and recommendations.

Ensuring fairness in machine learning systems is crucial to prevent algorithmic biases that can perpetuate inequalities (Oyeniran et al., 2022). One of the most well-known cases of algorithmic bias is the COMPAS (Correctional Offender Management Profiling for Alternative Sanctions) tool used in the U.S. criminal justice system to assess the likelihood of a defendant reoffending. Studies revealed that the COMPAS algorithm disproportionately predicted higher risk scores for Black defendants compared to white defendants, even when controlling for prior offenses and other factors. This case underscores the critical need for fairness in ML models, particularly in high-stakes applications such as criminal justice and hiring. In response to such biases, researchers have developed various fairness-aware algorithms and auditing tools. For instance, the Fairness Constraints approach adds fairness constraints during model training to mitigate discriminatory predictions. In the case of the COMPAS system, these techniques could have been employed to adjust the model to ensure that it did not favor one racial group over another. Many organizations, such as IBM, are now investing in fairness-aware algorithms and are working on creating transparent models to enable better detection of bias before deployment (Adomavicius and Yang, 2022). These efforts illustrate the growing importance of integrating fairness into the development and deployment of machine learning systems, especially in sensitive applications.

While ethical data governance can sometimes be seen as a constraint on business practices, there are many instances where it has enhanced business outcomes. One such example is Microsoft's adoption of ethical AI principles and its proactive approach to implementing fairness, accountability, and transparency in its products (Shneiderman, 2020). In 2019, Microsoft announced the creation of an AI ethics board to ensure that its machine learning systems adhere to these principles. This focus on ethical governance has not only helped avoid reputational risks associated with unethical AI practices but has also provided Microsoft with a competitive advantage by fostering trust with customers and stakeholders. Similarly, in the e-commerce sector, companies like Amazon and Netflix have leveraged ML-driven personalization techniques to enhance customer satisfaction while adhering to ethical governance. By integrating fairness-aware models and privacy-preserving techniques, these companies have improved their user experiences without violating privacy or discriminating against particular groups (Franco et al., 2021). For example, Amazon has implemented an algorithmic fairness framework that monitors the performance of its recommendation systems to ensure that product suggestions do not favor specific demographic groups unfairly. This approach has led to increased customer loyalty, as consumers are more likely to trust platforms that respect their privacy and treat them fairly. These examples demonstrate that ethical governance in ML is not only crucial for compliance with regulations but also for driving long-term business success by maintaining customer trust, improving operational efficiency, and avoiding the risks associated with biased or discriminatory outcomes. The case studies and practical applications discussed in this review highlight the growing importance of integrating privacy-focused techniques, fairness considerations, and ethical governance into machine learning systems. By adopting privacy-preserving approaches such as differential privacy and federated learning, companies can safeguard user data while providing personalized services. Additionally, addressing algorithmic bias through fairness-aware algorithms helps ensure that ML models make equitable decisions, particularly in sensitive areas such as criminal justice and hiring (Wachter et al., 2020). Finally, the alignment of ethical principles with business objectives, as seen in the cases of Microsoft, Amazon, and Netflix, demonstrates that ethical data governance can drive business success while fostering trust and accountability. As machine learning continues to evolve, ethical frameworks will remain essential for guiding responsible innovation and ensuring that data-driven technologies benefit all stakeholders fairly.

2.4. Future Directions

As machine learning (ML) continues to permeate various sectors, the importance of embedding ethical considerations within its development and application is becoming increasingly evident (Petersen et al., 2022). The future of ethical ML lies in advancing privacy and fairness technologies, fostering global cooperation on ethical data governance standards, and integrating ethics across the AI development lifecycle. These areas represent critical steps in addressing current challenges and ensuring that ML technologies are used responsibly and equitably.

The ethical challenges associated with machine learning are evolving, and technological advancements are emerging to address these issues. In the realm of privacy, privacy-preserving techniques such as differential privacy and federated learning are gaining traction (Horvath et al., 2021). These technologies allow organizations to analyze data and train models without exposing sensitive information. Future innovations in privacy, such as secure multi-party computation

(SMPC) and homomorphic encryption, will further enhance the ability to protect data while still enabling valuable insights to be extracted. These advancements are crucial as they empower organizations to comply with data protection regulations (such as GDPR and CCPA) while still leveraging machine learning for innovation. Fairness technologies are also evolving, with a growing focus on developing algorithms that identify and mitigate biases in data. Techniques such as adversarial debiasing, fairness constraints, and bias detection tools are being integrated into ML pipelines to ensure that models produce fairer and more inclusive outcomes. Moreover, the creation of AI ethics boards and third-party audits is gaining momentum (Percy et al., 2022). These boards and external auditors help ensure that ML models are deployed in alignment with ethical standards and provide independent oversight to prevent unethical practices. Moving forward, the role of AI ethics boards is expected to expand, guiding organizations in navigating the complex ethical landscape of ML and fostering greater transparency and accountability in AI decision-making.

As the application of ML grows globally, the need for international frameworks on ethical data governance becomes ever more critical (Pugliese et al., 2021). Currently, the landscape of data protection and ethical AI practices is fragmented, with varying regulations and standards across countries. This fragmentation presents challenges for multinational companies operating in multiple regions, as they must navigate a complex web of laws and policies. The establishment of global standards for ethical ML could provide consistency and clarity for organizations while promoting the responsible use of AI across borders. Collaborative efforts, such as those spearheaded by organizations like the Global Partnership on Artificial Intelligence (GPAI) and the OECD, are already working toward the development of international AI principles that emphasize transparency, fairness, and accountability. These global standards would help harmonize the ethical principles underlying AI systems and create a level playing field for organizations, regardless of their geographic location (Smuha, 2021). Furthermore, such cooperation can promote cross-border data sharing in a responsible manner, ensuring that privacy and ethical standards are upheld universally.

Ethical considerations must not only be integrated into the deployment of machine learning models but also throughout their entire lifecycle from design and development to deployment and ongoing monitoring (Hutchinson et al., 2021; Laato et al., 2022). In the design phase, ethical issues such as data privacy, fairness, and transparency need to be considered right from the start. This proactive approach ensures that ethical concerns are addressed before a model is even trained, rather than retroactively fixing issues that arise after deployment. During deployment, ensuring that models remain fair and ethical as they interact with real-world data is crucial. Monitoring systems should be in place to track how the model is performing in practice, identify potential biases, and correct any issues that emerge over time. Ethical audits, which assess the performance of models against established ethical standards, should be regularly conducted to ensure compliance and transparency. This ongoing process helps maintain public trust in AI systems and allows for continual improvements. Furthermore, organizations should adopt a participatory approach to AI ethics, involving diverse stakeholders, including ethicists, sociologists, and legal experts, in the development and monitoring stages (Schiff et al., 2020). By doing so, organizations can address a broad range of ethical concerns, such as potential harm to vulnerable groups and the societal impacts of AI, ensuring that ethical principles are not overlooked in favor of business objectives (Adewusi et al., 2022; Oyeniran et al., 2022).

The future of ethical machine learning lies in the continuous evolution of privacy and fairness technologies, fostering international cooperation on ethical standards, and ensuring that ethical principles are integrated into the entire AI development lifecycle (Tatineni, 2019; Khanna and Srivastava, 2020). As machine learning becomes more embedded in society, it is essential that organizations, policymakers, and global institutions work together to create frameworks that protect individual rights, promote fairness, and hold AI systems accountable. By embedding these ethical considerations in the design, deployment, and monitoring of AI systems, we can help ensure that the benefits of ML are realized in a way that is just, equitable, and beneficial to society as a whole.

3. Conclusion

In conclusion, ethical data governance plays a critical role in the development and deployment of machine learning (ML) technologies. As ML continues to revolutionize industries, the importance of ensuring privacy, fairness, and accountability in AI systems cannot be overstated. Ethical data governance frameworks are essential to managing the complex balance between protecting personal data, ensuring equitable outcomes, and meeting business objectives. These frameworks must address challenges such as privacy violations, biases, and business optimization while providing mechanisms for compliance with regulations and industry standards.

The development of privacy-preserving techniques, fairness-aware algorithms, and robust decision-making models is vital for maintaining trust and accountability in ML systems. By incorporating these ethical principles throughout the AI lifecycle from design to deployment and ongoing monitoring organizations can mitigate risks and create solutions

that benefit both business and society. The integration of privacy, fairness, and business needs within these frameworks ensures that ML technologies are not only innovative but also just and responsible.

A call to action is necessary for organizations, policymakers, and researchers to actively engage in improving ethical frameworks for ML. Policymakers should work toward creating global standards that ensure consistency in ethical practices, while organizations must implement and continually refine frameworks that align with privacy and fairness principles. Researchers, on the other hand, should focus on advancing technologies that address ethical concerns and contribute to the development of responsible AI. By working collaboratively, these stakeholders can foster an environment where ethical data governance is not only a regulatory requirement but also a fundamental principle driving innovation in ML. The future of AI depends on the collective commitment to ethical standards that prioritize the well-being of individuals and society as a whole.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

- [1] Abiteboul, S. and Stoyanovich, J., 2019. Transparency, fairness, data protection, neutrality: Data management challenges in the face of new regulation. *Journal of Data and Information Quality (JDIQ)*, 11(3), pp.1-9.
- [2] Adewusi, A.O., Chiekezie, N.R. and Eyo-Udo, N.L., 2022. Cybersecurity threats in agriculture supply chains: A comprehensive review. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 15(03), pp.490-500.
- [3] Adewusi, A.O., Chiekezie, N.R. and Eyo-Udo, N.L., 2022. Securing smart agriculture: Cybersecurity challenges and solutions in IoT-driven farms. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 15(3), pp.480-489.
- [4] Adewusi, A.O., Chiekezie, N.R. and Eyo-Udo, N.L., 2023. Blockchain technology in agriculture: Enhancing supply chain transparency and traceability. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*, 5(12), pp.479-501.
- [5] Adewusi, A.O., Chiekezie, N.R. and Eyo-Udo, N.L., 2023. Cybersecurity in precision agriculture: Protecting data integrity and privacy. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, 5(10), pp.693-708.
- [6] Adomavicius, G. and Yang, M., 2022. Integrating behavioral, economic, and technical insights to understand and address algorithmic bias: a human-centric perspective. *ACM Transactions on Management Information Systems (TMIS)*, 13(3), pp.1-27.
- [7] Ahmed, Z., Mohamed, K., Zeeshan, S. and Dong, X., 2020. Artificial intelligence with multi-functional machine learning platform development for better healthcare and precision medicine. *Database*, 2020, p.baaa010.
- [8] Alexander, C.B., 2019. The general data protection regulation and California consumer privacy act: The economic impact and future of data privacy regulations. *Loy. Consumer L. Rev.*, 32, p.199.
- [9] Aslam, N. and Tokura, T., 2020. Leveraging Machine Learning and Blockchain to Revolutionize Retail Marketing Strategies with Cloud Computing.
- [10] Bachmann, N., Tripathi, S., Brunner, M. and Jodlbauer, H., 2022. The contribution of data-driven technologies in achieving the sustainable development goals. *Sustainability*, 14(5), p.2497.
- [11] Balasubramanian, N., Ye, Y. and Xu, M., 2022. Substituting human decision-making with machine learning: Implications for organizational learning. *Academy of Management Review*, 47(3), pp.448-465.
- [12] Behera, R.K., Bala, P.K., Rana, N.P. and Kizgin, H., 2022. Cognitive computing based ethical principles for improving organisational reputation: A B2B digital marketing perspective. *Journal of business research*, 141, pp.685-701.
- [13] Boppana, V.R., 2021. Ethical Considerations in Managing PHI Data Governance during Cloud Migration. *Educational Research (IJMCER)*, 3(1), pp.191-203.
- [14] Boppiniti, S.T., 2020. Big Data Meets Machine Learning: Strategies for Efficient Data Processing and Analysis in Large Datasets. *International Journal of Creative Research In Computer Technology and Design*, 2(2).

- [15] Carrigan, C., Green, M.W. and Rahman-Davies, A., 2021. “The revolution will not be supervised”: Consent and open secrets in data science. *Big data & society*, 8(2), p.20539517211035673.
- [16] Chandrasekaran, V., Jia, H., Thudi, A., Travers, A., Yaghini, M. and Papernot, N., 2021. SoK: Machine learning governance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.10870*.
- [17] Chang, V., 2021. An ethical framework for big data and smart cities. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 165, p.120559.
- [18] Char, D.S., Abràmoff, M.D. and Feudtner, C., 2020. Identifying ethical considerations for machine learning healthcare applications. *The American Journal of Bioethics*, 20(11), pp.7-17.
- [19] Chauhan, C. and Gullapalli, R.R., 2021. Ethics of AI in pathology: current paradigms and emerging issues. *The American journal of pathology*, 191(10), pp.1673-1683.
- [20] Chevrier, R., Foufi, V., Gaudet-Blavignac, C., Robert, A. and Lovis, C., 2019. Use and understanding of anonymization and de-identification in the biomedical literature: scoping review. *Journal of medical Internet research*, 21(5), p.e13484.
- [21] Cooper, A.F., Levy, K. and De Sa, C., 2021, October. Accuracy-Efficiency Trade-Offs and Accountability in Distributed ML Systems. In *Proceedings of the 1st ACM Conference on Equity and Access in Algorithms, Mechanisms, and Optimization* (pp. 1-11).
- [22] Deekshith, A., 2021. Data engineering for AI: Optimizing data quality and accessibility for machine learning models. *International Journal of Management Education for Sustainable Development*, 4(4), pp.1-33.
- [23] Dwivedi, Y.K., Hughes, L., Ismagilova, E., Aarts, G., Coombs, C., Crick, T., Duan, Y., Dwivedi, R., Edwards, J., Eirug, A. and Galanos, V., 2021. Artificial Intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. *International journal of information management*, 57, p.101994.
- [24] Franco, D., Oneto, L., Navarin, N. and Anguita, D., 2021. Toward learning trustworthily from data combining privacy, fairness, and explainability: an application to face recognition. *Entropy*, 23(8), p.1047.
- [25] Gözl, P., Kahng, A. and Procaccia, A.D., 2019. Paradoxes in fair machine learning. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 32.
- [26] Grandhi, B., Patwa, N. and Saleem, K., 2021. Data-driven marketing for growth and profitability. *EuroMed Journal of Business*, 16(4), pp.381-398.
- [27] Habibzadeh, H., Nussbaum, B.H., Anjomshoa, F., Kantarci, B. and Soyata, T., 2019. A survey on cybersecurity, data privacy, and policy issues in cyber-physical system deployments in smart cities. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 50, p.101660.
- [28] Heinze, D., Classen, J. and Hollick, M., 2020. {ToothPicker}: Apple Picking in the {iOS} Bluetooth Stack. In *14th USENIX Workshop on Offensive Technologies (WOOT 20)*.
- [29] Hertweck, C., Baumann, J., Loi, M., Viganò, E. and Heitz, C., 2022. A justice-based framework for the analysis of algorithmic fairness-utility trade-offs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.02891*.
- [30] Horvath, S., Laskaridis, S., Almeida, M., Leontiadis, I., Venieris, S. and Lane, N., 2021. Fjord: Fair and accurate federated learning under heterogeneous targets with ordered dropout. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34, pp.12876-12889.
- [31] Hutchinson, B., Smart, A., Hanna, A., Denton, E., Greer, C., Kjartansson, O., Barnes, P. and Mitchell, M., 2021, March. Towards accountability for machine learning datasets: Practices from software engineering and infrastructure. In *Proceedings of the 2021 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency* (pp. 560-575).
- [32] Jain, A.K., Sahoo, S.R. and Kaubiyal, J., 2021. Online social networks security and privacy: comprehensive review and analysis. *Complex & Intelligent Systems*, 7(5), pp.2157-2177.
- [33] Janssen, M., Brous, P., Estevez, E., Barbosa, L.S. and Janowski, T., 2020. Data governance: Organizing data for trustworthy Artificial Intelligence. *Government information quarterly*, 37(3), p.101493.
- [34] Katyal, S.K., 2019. Private accountability in the age of artificial intelligence. *UCLA L. Rev.*, 66, p.54.
- [35] Khanna, S. and Srivastava, S., 2020. Patient-centric ethical frameworks for privacy, transparency, and bias awareness in deep learning-based medical systems. *Applied Research in Artificial Intelligence and Cloud Computing*, 3(1), pp.16-35.

- [36] Kuzio, J., Ahmadi, M., Kim, K.C., Migaud, M.R., Wang, Y.F. and Bullock, J., 2022. Building better global data governance. *Data & Policy*, 4, p.e25.
- [37] Laato, S., Birkstedt, T., Mäntymäki, M., Minkkinen, M. and Mikkonen, T., 2022, May. AI governance in the system development life cycle: Insights on responsible machine learning engineering. In *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on AI Engineering: Software Engineering for AI* (pp. 113-123).
- [38] Liu, X., Xie, L., Wang, Y., Zou, J., Xiong, J., Ying, Z. and Vasilakos, A.V., 2020. Privacy and security issues in deep learning: A survey. *IEEE Access*, 9, pp.4566-4593.
- [39] Mehrabi, N., Morstatter, F., Saxena, N., Lerman, K. and Galstyan, A., 2021. A survey on bias and fairness in machine learning. *ACM computing surveys (CSUR)*, 54(6), pp.1-35.
- [40] Minow, M., 2021. Equality vs. equity. *American Journal of Law and Equality*, 1, pp.167-193.
- [41] Mulligan, S.P., Freeman, W.C. and Linebaugh, C.D., 2019. Data protection law: An overview. *Congressional Research Service*, 45631, p.25.
- [42] Munappy, A., Bosch, J., Olsson, H.H., Arpteg, A. and Brinne, B., 2019, August. Data management challenges for deep learning. In *2019 45th Euromicro Conference on Software Engineering and Advanced Applications (SEAA)* (pp. 140-147). IEEE.
- [43] Ntoutsis, E., Fafalios, P., Gadiraju, U., Iosifidis, V., Nejdil, W., Vidal, M.E., Ruggieri, S., Turini, F., Papadopoulos, S., Krasanakis, E. and Kompatsiaris, I., 2020. Bias in data-driven artificial intelligence systems—An introductory survey. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, 10(3), p.e1356.
- [44] Orphanou, K., Otterbacher, J., Kleanthous, S., Batsuren, K., Giunchiglia, F., Bogina, V., Tal, A.S., Hartman, A. and Kuflik, T., 2022. Mitigating bias in algorithmic systems—a fish-eye view. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 55(5), pp.1-37.
- [45] Oyeniran, C.O., Adewusi, A.O., Adeleke, A.G., Akwawa, L.A. and Azubuko, C.F., 2022. Ethical AI: Addressing bias in machine learning models and software applications. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, 3(3), pp.115-126.
- [46] Oyeniran, C.O., Adewusi, A.O., Adeleke, A.G., Akwawa, L.A. and Azubuko, C.F., 2022. Ethical AI: Addressing bias in machine learning models and software applications. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, 3(3), pp.115-126.
- [47] Percy, C., Dragicevic, S., Sarkar, S. and d'Avila Garcez, A., 2022. Accountability in AI: From principles to industry-specific accreditation. *AI Communications*, 34(3), pp.181-196.
- [48] Pessach, D. and Shmueli, E., 2022. A review on fairness in machine learning. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, 55(3), pp.1-44.
- [49] Petersen, E., Potdevin, Y., Mohammadi, E., Zidowitz, S., Breyer, S., Nowotka, D., Henn, S., Pechmann, L., Leucker, M., Rostalski, P. and Herzog, C., 2022. Responsible and regulatory conform machine learning for medicine: a survey of challenges and solutions. *IEEE Access*, 10, pp.58375-58418.
- [50] Pike, E.R., 2019. Defending data: Toward ethical protections and comprehensive data governance. *Emory LJ*, 69, p.687.
- [51] Pugliese, R., Regondi, S. and Marini, R., 2021. Machine learning-based approach: Global trends, research directions, and regulatory standpoints. *Data Science and Management*, 4, pp.19-29.
- [52] Sapienza, S., 2022. Current Trends, Machine Learning, and Food Safety Data Governance. In *Big Data, Algorithms and Food Safety: A Legal and Ethical Approach to Data Ownership and Data Governance* (pp. 123-160). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- [53] Schiff, D., Rakova, B., Ayes, A., Fanti, A. and Lennon, M., 2020. Principles to practices for responsible AI: closing the gap. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.04707*.
- [54] Shneiderman, B., 2020. Bridging the gap between ethics and practice: guidelines for reliable, safe, and trustworthy human-centered AI systems. *ACM Transactions on Interactive Intelligent Systems (TiiS)*, 10(4), pp.1-31.
- [55] Shodunke, A.O., 2022. Enforcement of COVID-19 pandemic lockdown orders in Nigeria: Evidence of public (non) compliance and police illegalities. *International journal of disaster risk reduction*, 77, p.103082.
- [56] Simons, J., Adams Bhatti, S. and Weller, A., 2021, July. Machine learning and the meaning of equal treatment. In *Proceedings of the 2021 AAAI/ACM Conference on AI, Ethics, and Society* (pp. 956-966).

- [57] Smuha, N.A., 2021. From a 'race to AI' to a 'race to AI regulation': regulatory competition for artificial intelligence. *Law, Innovation and Technology*, 13(1), pp.57-84.
- [58] Susnjak, T., Ramaswami, G.S. and Mathrani, A., 2022. Learning analytics dashboard: a tool for providing actionable insights to learners. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 19(1), p.12.
- [59] Tatineni, S., 2019. Ethical Considerations in AI and Data Science: Bias, Fairness, and Accountability. *International Journal of Information Technology and Management Information Systems (IJITMIS)*, 10(1), pp.11-21.
- [60] Thota, S., Vangoor, V.K.R., Reddy, A.K. and Ravi, C.S., 2019. Federated Learning: Privacy-Preserving Collaborative Machine Learning. *Distributed Learning and Broad Applications in Scientific Research*, 5, pp.168-190.
- [61] Tsamados, A., Aggarwal, N., Cowls, J., Morley, J., Roberts, H., Taddeo, M. and Floridi, L., 2021. The ethics of algorithms: key problems and solutions. *Ethics, governance, and policies in artificial intelligence*, pp.97-123.
- [62] Wachter, S., Mittelstadt, B. and Russell, C., 2020. Bias preservation in machine learning: the legality of fairness metrics under EU non-discrimination law. *W. Va. L. Rev.*, 123, p.735.
- [63] Walker, K.L. and Moran, N., 2019. Consumer information for data-driven decision making: Teaching socially responsible use of data. *Journal of Marketing Education*, 41(2), pp.109-126.
- [64] Yeung, K. and Bygrave, L.A., 2022. Demystifying the modernized European data protection regime: Cross-disciplinary insights from legal and regulatory governance scholarship. *Regulation & Governance*, 16(1), pp.137-155.
- [65] Young, M., Rodriguez, L., Keller, E., Sun, F., Sa, B., Whittington, J. and Howe, B., 2019, January. Beyond open vs. closed: Balancing individual privacy and public accountability in data sharing. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency* (pp. 191-200).